

Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 29, N° 1, pp. 49-56, May 2010.
Universidad Católica del Norte
Antofagasta - Chile
DOI: 10.4067/S0716-09172010000100006

A NOTE ON THE UPPER RADICALS OF SEMINEARRINGS

MUHAMMAD ZULFIQAR
GOVT. COLLEGE UNIVERSITY LAHORE, PAKISTAN
Received : June 2009. Accepted : December 2009

Abstract

In this paper we work in the class of seminearrings. Hereditary properties inherited by the lower radical generated by a class M have been considered in [2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12]. Here we consider the dual problem, namely strong properties which are inherited by the upper radical generated by a class M .

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

V. G. Van Hoorn and B. Van Rootselaar [11] discussed general theory of seminearrings. The theory was further enriched by many authors (see [1, 3, 4, 13, 14]). The upper radicals were investigated by (see [2, 9, 12]) for radical classes of rings. Here we are interested in generalizing several results from [2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12] in the framework of seminearring, which is quite different from the ring theoretical approach discussed in [2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12]. Throughout this paper N will denote an seminearrings and ω be the universal class of all seminearrings. An semi-ideal I of N is denoted by $I \leq N$. In the following we shall be working within the class of all seminearrings.

Consider non-associative seminearrings as general algebras $(N, +, \cdot)$, where $(N, +)$ is a semigroup, (N, \cdot) is a groupoid, and only the one-side distributive law holds.

Lower radical classes for seminearrings can be constructed similar to the construction of lower radicals for rings (see [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15,16]). First we include necessary preliminary, let ω be the universal class of all seminearrings and M be a sub-class of ω and let M_0 be the homomorphic closure of M in ω . For each $N \in \omega$, let $D_1(N)$ be the set of all semi-ideals of N . Inductively we define

$D_{n+1}(N) = \{I : I \text{ is an semi-ideal of some seminearring in } D_n(N)\}$
 Let $D(N) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n(N)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. By using rings theoretical approach discussed in [12], we have

$$\mathcal{L}M = \{N \in \omega : D(N/I) \cap M_0 \neq 0, \text{ for each proper semi - ideal } I \text{ of } N\},$$

is the Lee construction for lower radical determined by M , and $M \subseteq \mathcal{L}M$ (see also [8, 15, 16]).

First we give a construction for the upper radical, dual to the construction of [10] for the lower radical. From this the theorem on the inheritance of the left strong property is deduced.

We define the following classes from a given class M of seminearrings:
 $IM = \{N : N \text{ is a subsemi-ideal of some seminearring of } M\};$

$TM = \{N : N \text{ contains an semi-ideal } B \text{ such that } B \varepsilon M \text{ and } N/B \varepsilon M\}$;

$SM = \{N : N \text{ contains a descending chain of semi-ideals } B_i \text{ such that } B_i = 0 \text{ and } N/B_i \varepsilon M\}$.

It is clear that M is contained in IM and SM and that M is contained in TM if 0 belongs to M . The class M is semi-ideally closed if and only if $M = IM$. If M is semi-ideally closed then it follows easily that TM and SM are also semi-ideally closed. For undefined terms of seminearrings we may refer (see [1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16]).

2. Upper Radicals

We extend the results of [2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12] by using the above construction of upper radical for seminearring which is indeed provides an excellent and different approach to handle the many results of [2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12] in the frame work of seminearring.

Definition 2.1. If ρ is a radical class of seminearring then it admits a semisimple class:

$$S\rho = \{N \varepsilon \omega : \rho(N) = 0\}.$$

The following theorems were proved by N. J. Divinsky [2] for rings. Here we generalize it for seminearring which can be obtained on the line of rings theoretical approach discussed in [2].

Theorem 2.2. For any radical property N , every semi-ideal of an N -semisimple is itself N -semisimple.

Proof. The proof of our Theorem 2.2 is very similar to the proof of [2].

Theorem 2.3. The class M is the class of all S -semisimple seminearrings with respect to some radical property S if and only if M satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) Every non-zero semi-ideal of a seminearring of M can be mapped homomorphically on to some non-zero seminearring of M .
- (2) If every non-zero semi-ideal of a seminearring N can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero seminearring of M , then the seminearring

N must be in M .

Proof. The proof of our Theorem 2.3 is very similar to the proof of [2].

Theorem 2.4. A non-empty class of seminearrings M is the semisimple class with respect to some radical if and only if $M = IM = TM = SM$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 a semisimple class is semi-ideally closed. Also if B and N/B are semisimple and $\rho(N)$ is the radical of N , $(\rho(N) + B)/B$ is semisimple, being a semi-ideal of N/B and is also radical being isomorphic to $\rho(N)/(\rho(N) \cap B^*)$, (where B^* is a k -semi-ideal generated by B (see [8, 15, 16]). Hence $\rho(N) \subseteq B$. As B is semisimple we have $\rho(N) = 0$. Therefore N is semisimple. If B_i is a family of semi-ideals of N such that N/B_i is semisimple and $\cap B_i = 0$, then as above, $\rho(N) \subseteq B_i$ and so $\rho(N) \subseteq \cap B_i$. Therefore N is semisimple. It follows that a semisimple class M satisfies $M = IM = TM = SM$.

Conversely let M be a class of seminearrings such that $M = IM = TM = SM$. We show that M is a semisimple class by verifying the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.3. Since $M = IM$ condition (1) of Theorem 2.3 is clear. Now let N be a seminearring such that every non-zero semi-ideal of N can be mapped onto some non-zero seminearring of M . To complete the proof we must show that N is in M . Consider the family of proper semi-ideals G of N such that $N/G \in M$. From $M = SM$ and using Zorn's Lemma we see that there is a semi-ideal B minimal in this family. If $B = 0$, we are finished. If not there is a semi-ideal B minimal in the family of proper semi-ideals of N whose quotients belong to M . J is not a semi-ideal of N . Since $M = TM$ would then imply $N/J \in M$, contradicting the minimality of B . Hence either NJJ or JNJ . We may assume without loss of generality that there exists $n \in N$ with nJJ . Consider $(nJ + J)/J$. We have $nJB \subseteq nJ$ and $BnJ \subseteq BJ \subseteq J$. Hence $(nJ + J)/J$ is a semi-ideal of B/J . Since $B/J \in M$ and $IM = M$ we have $(nJ + J)/J \in M$. Consider the mapping from J to $(nJ + J)/J$ given by $\eta(x) = nx + J$, $\eta(xy) = nxy + J \subseteq nJJ + J \subseteq BJ + J \subseteq J$; $\eta(x)\eta(y) = nxy + J \subseteq nJNJ + J \subseteq BJ + J \subseteq J$. Therefore η is an epimorphism. Let K be the kernel of η , i.e. $K = \{x \in J : nx \in J\}$. Let $x \in K$, $b \in B$; then $nbx \subseteq BJ \subseteq J$, $nxb \subseteq JB \subseteq J$. Hence K is a semi-ideal of B . However we have $B/J \in M$ and

$$J/K \cong (nJ + J)/J \in M$$

Since $TM = M$ it follows that $B/K \in M$. This contradicts the minimality of J . Therefore $N \in M$ and M is a semisimple class.

Now we can give the upper radical construction. Let M be a non-empty class of seminearrings. Let $M_1 = IM$. We define M_α inductively on ordinals $\alpha > 1$ as follows. If α is not a limit ordinal $M_\alpha = TM_{\alpha-1}$. If α is a limit ordinal $M_\alpha = S(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta)$. Finally we set $\bar{M} = \bigcup M_\alpha$.

Theorem 2.5. For any non-empty class M of seminearrings, \bar{M} is the smallest semisimple class containing M .

Proof. It is clear that if M is contained in semisimple class so also are IM , TM , and SM . Therefore \bar{M} is contained in every semisimple class containing M . It remains to show that \bar{M} is a semisimple class. It is clear that $IM_\alpha = M_\alpha$ for all α and hence that $I\bar{M} = \bar{M}$. Let $N \in TM\bar{M}$. Then N contains a semi-ideal B with B and N/B in \bar{M} . Therefore there exists an ordinal α with both B and $N/B \in M_\alpha$. Therefore $N \in TM_\alpha = M_{\alpha+1}$. Hence $N \in \bar{M}$. Therefore $\bar{M} = T\bar{M}$. Let $N \in S\bar{M}$. Let B_i be the descending chain of semi-ideals of N with $\bigcap B_i = 0$ and $N/B_i \in \bar{M}$. Then $N/B_i \in M_{\alpha_i}$, for some α_i . Since the indices i form a set there exists a limit ordinal α with α_i for all i . Then $N/B_i \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$ and so $N \in S(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta) = M_\alpha$. Therefore $A \in \bar{M}$ and $\bar{M} = S\bar{M}$. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that \bar{M} is a semisimple class of seminearrings.

Definition 2.6. A radical ρ is said to be left strong, if every radical left semi-ideal of a seminearring N is contained in the radical of N . Equivalently semisimple seminearrings contain no non-zero radical left semi-ideal of every seminearring in M has a non-zero image in IM . Clearly a radical is strong if and only if its semisimple class is left strong.

Theorem 2.7. If a non-empty class M of seminearrings is left strong then the upper radical generated by M is left strong.

Proof. Let ρ denote the upper radical generated by M . By Theorem 2.5 the semisimple class of the radical ρ is \bar{M} . We need to show that if K is a non-zero left semi-ideal of a seminearring N in \bar{M} then K has a non-zero image in \bar{M} . Let $N \in M_\alpha$. The proof is by transfinite induction on α . First suppose that $N \in M_1 = IM$. Then N is a subsemi-ideal of a seminearring in M . Let $N = N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_n$, where $N_n \in M$ and N_i is a semi-ideal of N_{i+1} . We prove this case by induction on n . If $n = 1$ the required result

holds. $K + N_2K$ is a left semi-ideal of N_2 . By the inductive assumption $K + N_2K$ has a non-zero image $(K + N_2K)/J$ in \bar{M} . If $K \subseteq J$ then

$$K/(K \cap J^*) \cong (K + J)/J$$

(where J^* is a k -semi-ideal generated by J (see [8, 15, 16])) is a non-zero semi-ideal of $(K + N_2K)/J$ and so is in \bar{M} . If $K \subseteq J$ then there exist $b \in N_2$ with $bK \subseteq J$; then $(J + bK)/J$ is a semi-ideal of $(K + N_2K)/J$ and so is in \bar{M} . As before, the mapping η from K to $(J + bK)/J$ given by $\eta(x) = bx + J$ is an epimorphism and so K has a non-zero image in M as required. Now let $N \in M_\alpha$ and assume that the result has been proved for ordinals less than α . If α is not a limit ordinal then N contains a semi-ideal B and N/B in $M_{\alpha-1}$. If $K \subseteq B$ the required result holds. Otherwise

$$K/(K \cap B^*) \cong (K + B)/B,$$

(where B^* is a k -semi-ideal generated by B (see [8, 15, 16])) which is a non-zero left semi-ideal of N/B . Again the required result follows. If α is a limit ordinal then N contains a descending chain of semi-ideals B_i with $\bigcap B_i = 0$ and $N/B_i \in M_{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i < \alpha$. For some i , $K \subseteq B_i$. Then $(K + B_i)/B_i$ is a non-zero left semi-ideal of N/B_i . Thus in all cases K has a non-zero image in \bar{M} . Therefore the upper radical generated by M is left strong.

Acknowledgement. The author thank the referee for his useful comments and suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] Birkenmeier, G. F., "SEMINEARINGS AND NEARRINGS" induced by the circle operation, preprint, (1989).
- [2] Divinsky, N. J., "RINGS AND RADICALS", Toronto, (1965).
- [3] Golan, J. S., "THE THEORY OF SEMIRINGS WITH APPLICATIONS IN MATHEMATICS AND THEORETICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE", Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Maths. 54, New-York, (1986).

- [4] Hebisch, U. and Weinert, H. J., "SEMIRINGS ALGEBRAIC THEORY AND APPLICATIONS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE", Vol. 5 (Singapore 1998).
- [5] Hoffman, A. E. and Leavitt, W. G., "Properties inherited by the Lower Radical", *Port. Math.* 27, pp. 63-66, (1968).
- [6] Krempa, N. J. and Sulinski, A., "Strong radical properties of alternative and associative rings", *J. Algebra* 17, pp. 369-388, (1971).
- [7] Leavitt, W. G., "Lower Radical Constructions", *Rings, Modules and Radicals*, Budapest, pp. 319-323, (1973).
- [8] Olson, D. M. and Jenksins, T. L., "Radical Theory for Hemirings", *Jour. of Nat. Sciences and Math.*, Vol. 23, pp. 23-32, (1983).
- [9] Szasz, F. A., "RADICALS OF RINGS", *Mathematical Institute Hungarian Academy of Sciences*, (1981).
- [10] Tangeman, R. L. and Kreiling, D., "Lower radicals in non-associative rings", *J. Australian Math. Soc.* 14, pp. 419-423, (1972).
- [11] Hoorn, V. G. and Rootselaar, B., "Fundamental notions in the theory of seminearrings", *Compositio Math.* 18, pp. 65-78, (1966).
- [12] Wiegandt, R., "RADICAL AND SEMISIMPLE CLASSES OF RINGS", *Queens University, Ontario, Canada*, (1974).
- [13] Weinert, H. J., "Seminearrings, seminearfield and their semigroup-theoretical background.", *Semigroup Forum* 24, pp. 231-254, (1982).
- [14] Weinert, H. J., "Extensions of seminearrings by semigroups of right quotients", *Lect. Notes Math.* 998, pp. 412-486, (1983).
- [15] Yusuf, S. M. and Shabir, M., "Radical classes and semisimple classes for hemiring", *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica* 23, pp. 231-235, (1988).
- [16] Zulfiqar, M., "The sum of two radical classes of hemirings", *Kyungpook Math. J.* Vol. 43, pp. 371-374, (2003).

Permanent address :
Department of Mathematics
Govt.College University Lahore
Pakistan
e-mail : mzulfiqarshafi@hotmail.com