

Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics Vol. 42, N° 3, pp. 599-608, June 2023. Universidad Católica del Norte Antofagasta - Chile

Quasi-k-normal ring

Kumar Napoleon Deka Gauhati University, India and Helen K. Saikia Gauhati University, India Received : March 2021. Accepted : December 2021

Abstract

In [4] Wei and Libin defined Quasi normal ring. In this paper we attempt to define Quasi-k-normal ring by using the action of k-potent element .A ring is called Quasi-k-normal ring if $ae = 0 \Rightarrow eaRe = 0$ for $a \in N(R)$ and $e \in K(R)$, where $K(R) = \{e \in R | e^k = e\}$. Several analogous results give in[4] is defined here. we find here that a ring is quasi-k-normal if and only if $eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0$ for each $e \in K(R)$. Also we get a ring is quasi-k-normal ring if and only if $T_n(R, R)$ is quasi-k-normal ring.

Key Words: Abelian rings; Quasi-k-normal rings; Π -Regular rings

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: *16A30; 16A50; 16E50; 16D30*

1. Introduction

In [3] Parmenter and Stewart defined the notion of normal ring as for $x, y \in R$ and xRy = 0 then ann(x) + ann(y) = R where $ann(x) = \{z \in R : zRx = 0\}$. In [4] Wei and Libin generalized normal rings and defined quasi normal ring on the basis of normal ring. Here we define quasi-k-normal ring in terms of k-potent elements as defined in [2]. Here we study several properties of quasi-k-normal rings.

2. Preliminaries

All rings considered here are associative with identity. We use the symbols K(R), E(R), N(R) for set of all k-potents, idempotents and nilpotents respectively. Again for any subset X of a ring R, we denote $r(X) = r_R(X)$ and $l(X) = l_R(X)$ for right annihilator and left annihilator of X respectively. Again $E_{ij}(1i, jn)$ denote $n \times n$ matrix units over R, and write $V = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{i,i+1}$ for n2. Also we use the symbol $T_n(R, R)$ to denote the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices whose principal diagonal elements are equals and others belong to R and $V_n(R, R) = RE_n + RV + RV^2 + \ldots + RV^{n-1}$ for n2, where E_n is $n \times n$ identity matrix over E.

A ring is called quasi-k-normal if $ae = o \Rightarrow eaRe = 0$ for $e \in K(R)$ and $a \in N(R)$. Again a ring is semiabelian if every idempotents of R is either left semicentral or right semicentral. A ring is called abelian [1] if every idempotent of R is central. Semiabelian rings are quasi-k-normal. An example of quasi-k-normal ring is reversible ring R ($ab = 0 \Rightarrow ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$).

3. Results and Discussion

We start with the following results.

Theorem 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R :

- 1. R is quasi-k-normal.
- 2. $eR(1 e^{k-1})Re = 0; \forall e \in K(R).$
- 3. $eR(1 e^{k-1})$ is right ideal of R; $\forall e \in K(R)$.
- 4. $(1 e^{k-1})Re$ is left ideal of $\mathbb{R} \ \forall e \in K(R)$.

5.
$$[(1 - e^{k-1})R \cap N(R)]e \subseteq r(eR) \cap N(R)e \ \forall e \in K(R).$$

6. $ea = 0 \Rightarrow eRae = 0 \ \forall a \in N(R) \text{ and } e \in K(R).$

Proof:

$$(1) \Rightarrow (2): \text{ For any } a \in R, e \in K(R), \text{ let } h = e^{k-1}a - e^{k-1}ae^{k-1} = e^{k-1}a(1 - e^{k-1}). \text{ So } h^2 = e^{k-1}a(e^{k-1} - e^{2k-2})a(1 - e^{k-1}) = 0 \Rightarrow h \in N(R) \text{ and } he = e^{k-1}a(e - e^k) = 0. \text{ Now } h \in N(R), he = 0. \text{ So by definition of quasi-k-normal ring, } ehRe = 0 \Rightarrow e.e^{k-1}a(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow ea(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0 \text{ as } a \in R \text{ is arbitrary.}$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow (2): \text{ Let } h = ae^{k-1} - e^{k-1}ae^{k-1} = (1 - e^{k-1})ae^{k-1}; e \in K(R). \text{ Then } eh = 0, h^2 = 0. \text{ So } eh = 0, h \in N(R) \Rightarrow eRhe = 0 \Rightarrow eR(1 - e^{k-1})ae^k = 0 \Rightarrow eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0 \text{ as } a \in R \text{ is arbitrary.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \Rightarrow (3) : & \text{Let } e \in K(R). \text{ By } (2), eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow eR(1-e^{k-1})Re^{k-1} = 0 \\ & \text{Now } eR(1-e^{k-1})R = eR(1-e^{k-1})R(1-e^{k-1}) \subseteq eR(1-e^{k-1}) \\ & [R(1-e^{k-1})R \subseteq R] \\ & \text{Again } eR(1-e^{k-1}) = eR(1-e^{k-1}).1 \subseteq eR(1-e^{k-1})R \\ & \text{Therefore } eR(1-e^{k-1}) = eR(1-e^{k-1})R. \text{ So } eR(1-e^{k-1}) \text{ is left ideal } \\ & \text{of } R. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (3) \Rightarrow (4): \mbox{ Following (3), we have } eR(1-e^{k-1}) = eR(1-e^{k-1})R \Rightarrow eR(e-e^k) = \\ eR(1-e^{k-1})Re \Rightarrow eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow e^{k-1}R(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0. \\ \mbox{ Now, } R(1-e^{k-1})Re = (1-e^{k-1})R(1-e^{k-1})Re \subseteq (1-e^{k-1})Re \\ [R(1-e^{k-1})R \subseteq R]. \mbox{ Therefore } eR(1-e^{k-1})Re \subseteq (1-e^{k-1})Re. \\ \mbox{ Again, } (1-e^{k-1})Re = 1.(1-e^{k-1})Re \subseteq R(1-e^{k-1})Re. \\ \mbox{ Therefore } (1-e^{k-1})Re = R(1-e^{k-1})Re \mbox{ which implies } (1-e^{k-1})Re, \\ \mbox{ is right left ideal of } R. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (4) \Rightarrow (5): \mbox{ Let } x \in (1-e^{k-1})R \cap N(R) \\ & \mbox{ Therefore } x = (1-e^{k-1})b \mbox{ for some } b \in R \mbox{ and } xe \in N(R)e. \mbox{ So} \\ ex = (e-e^k)b = 0 \Rightarrow e^{k-1}x = 0. \mbox{ So } x = x-e^{k-1} = (1-e^{k-1})x. \mbox{ Again } \\ (1-e^{k-1})Re \mbox{ is left ideal of } R. \mbox{ So } eR(1-e^{k-1})Re \subseteq (1-e^{k-1})Re. \mbox{ Now } \\ eRxe = eR(1-e^{k-1})xe \subseteq eR(1-e^{k-1})Re \subseteq (1-e^{k-1})Re. \mbox{ Therefore } \\ e^kRxe \subseteq (e^{k-1}-e^{2k-2})Re \Rightarrow eRxe \subseteq (e^{k-1}-e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow eRxe = \\ 0 \Rightarrow xe \in r(eR). \mbox{ Hence } xe \in r(eR) \cap N(R)e \mbox{ and finally we get } \\ [(1-e^{k-1})R \cap N(R)]e \subseteq r(eR) \cap N(R)e. \end{array}$$

 $(5) \Rightarrow (6): \text{Let } ea = 0 \Rightarrow e^{k-1}a = 0 \text{ for some } a \in N(R), e \in K(R). \text{ Now} \\ ae = ae - e^{k-1}ae = (1 - e^{k-1})ae \in [(1 - e^{k-1})R \cap N(R)]e \subseteq r(eR) \cap \\ N(R)e \Rightarrow ae \in r(eR) \cap N(R)e \Rightarrow ae \in r(eR) \Rightarrow eRae = 0. \end{cases}$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let ae = 0 for some $a \in N(R), e \in K(R)$. So by hypothesis $eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0$. Now $ae^{k-1} = 0$, which implies $a = a - ae^{k-1} = a(1 - e^{k-1})$. Therefore $eaRe = ea(1 - e^{k-1})Re \subseteq eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re$. Hence eaRe = 0.

Corollary 2.2

- 1. The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R
 - (i) R is quasi-k-normal.
 - (ii) For any $e \in K(R)$; $x, y \in R$, $exye = exe^{k-1}ye$.
- 2. Semiabelian rings are quasi-k-normal.
- 3. Let R be quasi-k-normal ring. If $e \in E(R)$ with ReR = R then $e^{k-1} = 1$.

Proof.

- 1. R is quasi-k-normal $\Leftrightarrow eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow exye = exe^{k-1}ye; x, y \in R$. For k = 2 we get the following beautiful result by Junchao [4] $(ex)^n e = ex^n e = e(xe)^n$.
- 2. As *R* is semiabelian so for $e_1 \in E(R)$, we have $e_1r = e_1re_1$ or $re_1 = e_1re_1 \ \forall r \in R$. Let $e \in K(R) \Rightarrow e^k = e \Rightarrow (e^{k-1})^2 = e^{k-1} \Rightarrow e^{k-1} \in E(R)$. Now $eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = e.e^{k-1}R(1-e^{k-1})Re \subseteq e.e^{k-1}Re^{k-1}(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0$ or $eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = eR(1-e^{k-1})Re^{k-1}.e \subseteq eR(1-e^{k-1})e^{k-1}Re^{k-1} = 0$. So $eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0$ in both cases implies *R* is quasi-k-normal.
- 3. As *R* is quasi-k-normal ring so by **Theorem 2.1**, $eR(1-e^{k-1})Re = 0$. As ReR = R so $R(1-e^{k-1})R = ReR(1-e^{k-1})ReR = 0$, which implies $1(1-e^{k-1})1 = 0 \Rightarrow e^{k-1} = 0$.

A ring is called directly finite if $xy = 1 \Rightarrow yx = 1 \forall x, y \in R$. A ring is called left min abel if for every $e \in ME_1(R) = \{e \in E(R): Re \text{ is minimal left ideal of } R \}$, e is left semicentral in R.

Theorem 2.4 Quasi-k-normal rings are directly finite.

Proof. Let ab = 1 where $a, b \in R$. Let e = ba. Now $e^2 = baba = ba = e \Rightarrow e^k = e$ so $e \in K(R)$ as idempotents are always k-potent; ae = aba = a, eb = bab = b. As R is quasi-k-normal so $eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0 \Rightarrow eb(1 - e)ae = 0 \Rightarrow b(1 - e)a = 0 \Rightarrow ba = bea = b^2a^2 \Rightarrow bab = b^2a^2b \Rightarrow b = b^2a \Rightarrow ab = ab^2a \Rightarrow ab = ba \Rightarrow ba = 1$. Therefore R is directly finite.

Theorem 2.5 Quasi-k-normal ring are left min-abel.

Proof. Let $e \in ME_1(R)$ and $a \in R$. As e is idempotent it is clearly k-potent and in that case quasi-k-normal ring takes the form of quasi normal ring which is left min abel due to Junchao[4]. Hence the result.

A ring R is called left idempotent reflexive if aRe = 0 implies eRa = 0 for all $a \in R$ and $e \in K(R)$.

Theorem 2.6: The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R

- 1. R is abelian.
- 2. R is semiabelian and left idempotent reflexive.
- 3. R is quasi-k-normal and left idempotent reflexive.

Proof: By Corollary 2.2, $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: As for idempotents, quasi-k-normal ring takes the form of quasi normal ring. So we have the result directly from Junchao[4].

Theorem 2.7: A ring R is quasi-k-normal ring if and only if $T_n(R, R)$ is quasi-k-normal ring.

Proof. Suppose R is quasi-k-normal. We show that $S = T_n(R, R)$ is quasi-k-normal by inducting on n. For n = 1 it is trivial. Suppose $S_1 = T_{n-1}(R, R)$ is quasi-k-normal for any n2, that is for any

$$E_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} (e & e_{12} & e_{13} & \dots \\ e_{1n-1} & & & \\ 0 & e & e_{23} & \dots \\ e_{2n-1} & & & \\ 0 & 0 & e & \dots \\ e_{3n-1} & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ e & & & & \end{pmatrix} \in K(S_{1}),$$

Thus we have

(3.1)
$$E_1 S_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) S_1 E_1 = 0.$$

(3.2)
$$E_r S_r (1 - E_r^{k-1}) B^{n-1} e = 0.$$

(3.2)
$$E_1 S_1 (1 - E_1^{n-1}) R^{n-1} e = 0.$$

(3.3) $E_1 D_1^{n-1} (1 - e^{k-1}) D_2 = 0.$

(3.3)
$$E_1 R^{n-1} (1-e^{n-1}) Re = 0.$$

(3.4)
$$eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re = 0.$$

Now for n, let $E = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & \alpha \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix} \in K(S)$ where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ and $E_1 \in S_1$, $e \in K(R)$ and

$$E^{k} = E.$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} E_{1}^{k} & E_{1}^{k-1}\alpha + E_{1}^{k-2}\alpha e + \dots + E_{1}\alpha e^{k-2} + \alpha e^{k-1} \\ 0 & e^{k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & \alpha \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3.5) \qquad \Rightarrow E_{1}^{k-1}\alpha + E_{1}^{k-2}\alpha e + \dots + E_{1}\alpha e^{k-2} + \alpha e^{k-1} = \alpha$$

Now suppose that $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \xi_1 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \xi_2 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in S$, where $A_1, B_1 \in S_1; \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}; a, b \in R$.

Now

$$EA(1 - E^{k-1})BE$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \xi_1 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - E_1^{k-1} & -y \\ 0 & 1 - e^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \xi_2 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & \alpha \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) B_1 E_1 & x \\ 0 & ea(1 - e^{k-1}) be \end{pmatrix}$$

Where

$$x = E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) B_1 \alpha + E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) \xi_2 e - E_1 A_1 y b e$$

(3.6)
$$+ E_1 \xi_1 (1 - e^{k-1}) b e + \alpha a (1 - e^{k-1}) b e$$

and

.

(3.7)
$$y = E_1^{k-2}\alpha + E_1^{k-3}\alpha e + \dots + E_1\alpha e^{k-3} + \alpha e^{k-2}$$

Now,
$$E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) B_1 E_1 \in E_1 S_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) S_1 E_1$$
. So by(1), we have,
(3.8) $E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) B_1 E_1 = 0$

Again $ea(1 - e^{k-1})be \in eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re$, So by (4) we have

(3.9)
$$ea(1-e^{k-1})be = 0.$$

Now for x, by using(5)

$$E_{1}A_{1}(1 - E_{1}^{k-1})B_{1}\alpha = E_{1}A_{1}(1 - E_{1}^{k-1})B_{1}(E_{1}^{k-1}\alpha + E_{1}^{k-2}\alpha e + \dots + E_{1}\alpha e^{k-2} + \alpha e^{k-1})$$
$$= E_{1}A_{1}(1 - E_{1}^{k-1})B_{1}E_{1}^{k-1}\alpha + E_{1}A_{1}(1 - E_{1}^{k-1})B_{1}E_{1}^{k-2}\alpha e + \dots + E_{1}A_{1}(1 - E_{1}^{k-1})B_{1}\alpha e^{k-1}$$

(3.10)

$$= 0$$

[Using (6) and $B_1 \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ so $E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) B_1 \alpha e \in E_1 S_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) R^{n-1} e = 0$ using(2)]

Again $E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) \xi_2 e \in E_1 S_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) R^{n-1} e$. So by using(2) we have (3.11) $E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) \xi_2 e = 0.$

Again $E_1\xi_1(1-e^{k-1})be \in E_1R^{n-1}(1-e^{k-1})Re$. So by using (3)we have (3.12) $E_1\xi_1(1-e^{k-1})be = 0.$

Again $\alpha a(1 - e^{k-1})be \in eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re$. So by using(4)we have

(3.13)
$$\alpha a(1 - e^{k-1})be = 0.$$

Using(10),(11),(12,(13)we get

$$x = -E_1 A_1 y b e$$

So we have to show $E_1A_1ybe = 0$.

Using(5)
$$E_1^{k-1}\alpha + E_1^{k-2}\alpha e + \dots + E_1\alpha e^{k-2} + \alpha e^{k-1} = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow E_1(E_1^{k-2}\alpha + E_1^{k-3}\alpha e + E_1^{k-4}\alpha e^2 + \dots + E_1\alpha e^{k-2}) = \alpha(1 - e^{k-1})$$

$$(3.14) \qquad \Rightarrow E_1 y = \alpha (1 - e^{k-1})$$

Similarly,
$$(E_1^{k-2}\alpha + E_1^{k-3}\alpha e + E_1^{k-4}\alpha e^2 + \dots + E_1\alpha e^{k-2})e = (1 - E_1^{k-1})\alpha$$

$$(3.15) \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow ye = (1 - E_1^{k-1})\alpha$$

Now $b \in R; y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}; A_1 \in M_{(n-1)\times(n-1)}$, so $A_1y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$. So by using(3)we get $E_1A_1y(1-e^{k-1})be=0$

$$\Rightarrow E_1 A_1 y b e$$

= $E_1 A_1 y e^{k-1} b e$
= $E_1 A_1 y e^{k-2} b e \ [k \ge 2]$
= $E_1 A_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) \alpha e^{k-2} b e \ [Using(15)]$
 $\subseteq E_1 S_1 (1 - E_1^{k-1}) R^{n-1} e \ [A_1 \in S_1; \alpha e^{k-2} b \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}]$
Using(3) we get $E_1 A_1 y b e = 0$
Therefore $x = 0$
Hence $EA(1 - E^{k-1}) BE = 0.$

So $T_n(R, R)$ is quasi-k-normal. Converse part is quite obvious.

By using the above theorem we can the get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.8: A ring R is quasi-k-normal if and only if $V_n(R, R)$ is quasi-k-normal for $n \ge 2$.

Since there is a ring isomorphism $\theta: V_n(R, R) = RE_n + RV + RV^2 + \dots + RV^{n-1} \rightarrow R[x](x^n)$ defined by $\theta(r_0E_n + r_1V + r_2V^2 + \dots + r_{n-1}V^{n-1}) = r_0 + r_1x + r_2x^2 + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (x^n)$, So using **Corollary 2.8** we get following.

Corollary 2.9: A ring R is quasi-k-normal if and only if $R[x](x^n)$ is quasi-k-normal for $n \ge 2$.

Theorem 2.10 If R is subdirect product of family of a quasi-k-normal rings $\{R_i : i \in I\}$ then R is quasi-k-normal.

Proof. Let $R_i = RA_i$ where A_i are ideals such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = 0$.Let $e \in K(R)$. Then $e_i = e + A_i \in K(R_i)$ for $i \in I$. As each R_i is quasi-k-normal, $e_i R_i (1-e_i^{k-1}) R_i e_i = 0$ for $i \in I$ which implies $eR(1-e^{k-1}) Re \subseteq A_i$ $\forall i \in I$ implies $eR(1-e^{k-1}) Re \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. Therefore $eR(1-e^{k-1}) Re = 0$.

Theorem 2.11: Let I be an ideal of a ring R and k-potents can be lifted modulo I. If R is quasi-k-normal, then RI is quasi-k-normal.

Proof. let g + I is k-potent of RI so there exist $e \in K(R)$ such that g + I = e + I. Now $(g + I)(RI)((1 + I) - (g + I)^{k-1})(RI)(g + I) = (e + I)(RI)((1 + I) - (e + I)^{k-1})(RI)(e + I) = eR(1 - e^{k-1})Re + I = I$ as R is quasi-k-normal. So RI is quasi-k-normal.

4. Acknowledgments

The 1st author acknowledges UGC for granting fund.

References

- [1] J. Han, Y. Lee and S. Park, "Structure of abelian rings", *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, vol. 12, pp. 117-134, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s11464-016-0586-z
- [2] D. Mosi, "Characterizations of k-potent elements in rings", Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, vol. 194, pp. 1157-1168, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s10231-014-0415-5

- [3] M. M. Parmenter and P. N. Stewart, "Normal rings and local ideals", *Mathematica Scandinavica*, vol. 60, 1987.
- [4] J. Wei and L. Li, "Quasi-normal rings", *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1855-1868, 2010. doi: 10.1080/00927871003703943

Kumar Napoleon Deka Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University India e-mail: kumarnapoleondeka@gmail.com Corresponding author

and

Helen K. Saikia

Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University India e-mail: hsaikia@yahoo.com

608