

# Continuity in fuzzy bitopological ordered spaces

Runu Dhar<sup>1</sup> orcid.org/0000-0002-2445-3608

<sup>1</sup>Maharaja Bir Bikram Univesity, Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Agartala, TR, India. runu.dhar@gmail.com

Received: 06 August 2020 | Accepted: 30 November 2020

### **Abstract:**

The aim of the present paper is to introduce and study different forms of continuity in fuzzy bitopological ordered spaces. The concepts of different mappings such as pairwise fuzzy I -continuous mappings, pairwise fuzzy D -continuous mappings, pairwise fuzzy D -continuous mappings, pairwise fuzzy D -open mappings, pairwise fuzzy B -open mappings, pairwise fuzzy I -closed mappings, pairwise fuzzy D -closed mappings and pairwise fuzzy B -closed mappings have been introduced. Some of the basic properties and characterization theorems of these mappings have been investigated.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy topological ordered space; Fuzzy bitopological ordered space; Pairwise fuzzy x continuity (x = I, D, B). MSC (2020): 54A40.

#### Cite this article as (IEEE citation style):

R. Dhar, "Continuity in fuzzy bitopological ordered spaces", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta, On line)*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 681-696, 2021, doi: 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-4386



Article copyright: © 2021 Runu Dhar. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License, which permits unrestricted use and distribution provided the original author and source are credited.



# 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The fundamental concept of fuzzy sets was first introduced by Zadeh [10] in 1965. In 1968, Chang [2] introduced the notion of fuzzy topological spaces as a generalization of topological spaces. Since then many topologist have contributed to the theory of fuzzy topological spaces. Kelly [5] first introduced the concept of bitopological spaces. The concept of fuzzy bitopological spaces was introduced by Kandil [4] in 1989 as a natural generalization of fuzzy topological spaces. After the introduction of the definition of a fuzzy bitopological space by Kandil, a large number of topologists have turned their attention to the generalization of different concepts of a single fuzzy topological spaces. Kumar [7, 8] introduced and studied different types mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces. Also Dhar [3] introduced and studied some pairwise weakly fuzzy mappings. Singal and Singal [9] initiated the study of bitopological ordered spaces. Bakier and Sayed [1] introduced and studied the notion of continuity, openness and closedness in bitopological ordered spaces. The study of the relationship between fuzzy topology and order was initiated by Katsaras [6] in 1981. In this paper, pairwise fuzzy I-continuous mappings, pairwise fuzzy D-continuous mappings, pairwise fuzzy B-continuous mappings, pairwise fuzzy D-open mapping, pairwise fuzzy B-open mappings, pairwise fuzzy *I*-closed mappings, pairwise fuzzy *D*-closed mappings and pairwise fuzzy B-closed mappings for fuzzy bitopological ordered spaces have been introduced and investigated.

**Definition 1.1.** [1] Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set (i.e. a set X together with a reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation). For a subset  $A \subseteq X$ , we write:  $L(A) = \{y \in X : y \leq x \text{ for some } x \in A\}$ ,  $M(A) = \{y \in X : x \leq y \text{ for some } x \in A\}$ . In particular, if A is a singleton set, say  $\{x\}$ , then we write L(x) and M(x) respectively. A subset A of X is said to be decreasing (resp. increasing) if A = L(A) (resp. A = M(A)). The complement of a decreasing (respectively an increasing) set is an increasing (respectively a decreasing) set.

**Definition 1.2.** [6] Let X be a non-empty set. A preorder on X is a relation  $\leq$  on X which is reflexive and transitive. A preorder on X which is also anti-symmetric is called a partial order or simply an order. By an ordered set we mean a set X with an order on it and we denote it by  $(X, \leq)$ . An ordered set on which there is given a fuzzy topology is called a fuzzy topological ordered space and we denote it by  $(X, \tau, \leq)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [6] A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a pre-ordered set  $(X, \leq)$ , is called

- (i) increasing if  $x \le y\mu(x) \le \mu(y)$ ,
- (ii) decreasing if  $x \le y\mu(x) \ge \mu(y)$ ,
- (iii) order-convex if  $x \le y \le z\mu(y) \ge \min \{\mu(x), \mu(z)\}.$

The smallest increasing fuzzy set, in  $(X, \leq)$ , which contains  $\mu$  is called the increasing hull denoted by  $i(\mu)$ . The decreasing (respectively orderconvex) hull  $d(\mu)$  (resp.  $c(\mu)$ ) is defined analogously.

**Proposition 1.4.** [6] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in a preordered set  $(X, \leq)$ . Then, for each  $x \in X$ , we have

(i)  $i(\mu)(x) = \sup \{\mu(y) : y \le x\};$ 

(ii)  $d(\mu)(x) = \sup \{\mu(y) : y \ge x\};$ 

(iii)  $c(\mu)(y) = \min \{\mu(x), \mu(z)\}, x \le y \le z.$ 

**Definition 1.5.** [6] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set, in a preordered set  $(X, \leq)$  with a fuzzy topology  $\tau$ . Then we have

(i)  $D(\mu) = \inf \{ \rho : \rho \ge \mu, \rho \text{ is closed and decreasing} \};$ 

(ii)  $I(\mu) = \inf \{ \rho : \rho \ge \mu, \rho \text{ is closed and increasing } \}.$ 

Clearly,  $D(\mu)$  (respectively  $I(\mu)$ ) is the smallest closed decreasing (respectively increasing) fuzzy set in  $(X, \geq)$  which contains  $\mu$ .

# 2. Pairwise fuzzy *I*- continuous, pairwise fuzzy *D*-continuous and pairwise fuzzy *B*- continuous mappings

**Definition 2.1.** A fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is a fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  equipped with a partial order  $\leq$  (that is, reflexive, transitive and antisymetric).

**Definition 2.2.** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is said to be increasing if  $x \leq y\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$ , for  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is said to be decreasing if  $x \leq y\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ , for  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For a fuzzy subset A of a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ ,

 $\begin{aligned} H_i^l(A) &= \wedge \{F : F \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-decreasing fuzzy closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A \}, \\ H_i^m(A) &= \wedge \{F : F \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-increasing fuzzy closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A \}, \\ H_i^b(A) &= \wedge \{F : F \text{ is a fuzzy closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A \text{ with } F = L(F) = M(F) \}, \end{aligned}$ 

 $O_i^l(A) = \lor \{G : G \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-decreasing fuzzy open subset of } X \text{ contained in } A\},$  $O_i^m(A) = \lor \{G : G \text{ is } \tau_i \text{-increasing fuzzy open subset of } X \text{ contained in } A\},$  $O_i^b(A) = \lor \{G : G \text{ is both } \tau_i \text{-increasing and } \tau_i \text{-decreasing fuzzy open subset of } X \text{ contained in } A\}.$ 

Clearly,  $H_i^m(A)$  (respectively  $H_i^l(A)$ ,  $H_i^b(A)$ ) is the smallest  $\tau_i$ -increasing (respectively  $\tau_i$ -decreasing, both  $\tau_i$ -increasing and  $\tau_i$ -decreasing) fuzzy closed set containing A. Moreover  $\overline{A_i} \leq H_i^m(A) \leq H_i^b(A)$  and where  $\overline{A_i}$  stands for the  $\tau_i$ -closure of A in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq), i = 1, 2$ . Further A is  $\tau_i$ -decreasing (respectively  $\tau_i$ -increasing) fuzzy closed if and only if  $A = H_i^m(A) = H_i^l(A)$ . Clearly,  $O_i^m(A)$  (respectively  $O_i^l(A), O_i^b(A)$ ) is the largest  $\tau_i$ -increasing (respectively  $\tau_i$ -decreasing, both  $\tau_i$ -increasing and  $\tau_i$ -decreasing) fuzzy open set contained in A. Moreover  $O_i^b(A) \leq O_i^m(A) \leq A_i^o$  and  $O_i^b(A) \leq O_i^l(A)$ , where  $A_i^o$  denotes the  $\tau_i$ -interior of A in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq), i \neq j$ . If A and Bare two  $\tau_1$  fuzzy subsets of a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq), i \neq j$  such that  $A \leq B$ , then  $O_i^m(A) \leq O_i^l(B) \leq B_i^o$ .  $\Omega(O_i^m(X))$  (respectively  $\tau_i$ -decreasing, both  $\tau_i$ -increasing and  $\tau_i$ -decreasing) fuzzy open subsets of a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  from a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  to another fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  to another fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  is called a pairwise fuzzy *I*-continuous (respectively a pairwise fuzzy *D*-continuous, a pairwise fuzzy *B*-continuous) mapping if  $f^{-1}(G) \in \Omega(O_i^m(X))$  (respectively  $f^{-1}(G) \in \Omega(O_i^l(X)), f^{-1}(G) \in \Omega(O_i^b(X))$ , whenever *G* is a  $\tau_i^*$ -fuzzy open subset of  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*), i = 1, 2$ .

It is evident that every pairwise fuzzy x-continuous mapping is pairwise fuzzy continuous for x = I, D, B and that every pairwise fuzzy B-continuous mapping is both pairwise fuzzy I-continuous and pairwise fuzzy D- continuous.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are fuzzy sets defined as follows:

$\lambda(a) = 0.3,$	$\lambda(b) = 0.4,$	$\lambda(c) = 0.5$
$\mu(a) = 0.7,$	$\mu(b) = 0.8,$	$\mu(c) = 0.9.$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0, \lambda, 1\}, \tau_2 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$ . Clearly  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  is a fuzzy bitopological ordered space. Let f be the identity mapping from  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  onto itself.  $\lambda$  is  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy open and  $\mu$  is  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy open. But  $f^{-1}(\lambda)(a) = \lambda(f(a)) = \lambda(a) = 0.3$  which is neither a  $\tau_1$ - increasing nor a  $\tau_1$ - decreasing fuzzy open set.

Also  $f^{-1}(\mu)(a) = \mu(f(a)) = \mu(a) = 0.7$  which is neither a  $\tau_2$ - increasing nor a  $\tau_2$ -decreasing fuzzy open set. Thus f is not pairwise fuzzy x continuous for x = I, D, B. However f is fuzzy continuous.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\} = X^*$  and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are fuzzy sets defined as follows:

$$\lambda(a) = 0.3, \qquad \lambda(b) = 0.4, \qquad \lambda(c) = 0.5$$

$$\mu(a) = 0.7, \qquad \mu(b) = 0.8, \qquad \mu(c) = 0.9$$

Let  $\tau_1 = \{0, \lambda, 1\} = \tau_1^*, \tau_2 = \{0, \mu, 1\} = \tau_2^* \text{ and } \leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, c)\}.$  $\leq^* = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}.$  Clearly  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  and  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  are fuzzy bitopological ordered spaces. Let g be the identity mapping from  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  onto  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ . g is not pairwise fuzzy B-continuous. However g is a pairwise fuzzy D-continuous mapping. The following example supports that a pairwise fuzzy I-continuous mapping need not be a pairwise fuzzy B-continuous mapping.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\} = X^*$ . Let  $\tau_1 = \{0, (0.3, 0.4, 0.5), 1\}, \tau_1^* = \{0, (0, 0, 0.5), 1\}, \tau_2 = \{0, (0.7, 0.8, 0.9), 1\}, \tau_2^* = \{0, (0, 0.2, 0.9), 1\}$  and  $\leq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\} = \leq^*$ . Clearly  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  and  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  are fuzzy bitopological ordered spaces. Define  $h : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \rightarrow (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  by h(a) = b, h(b) = a and h(c) = c. h is pairwise fuzzy I-continuous but not a pairwise fuzzy B-continuous mapping.

Thus we have the following diagram:

For a mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , where  $P \to Q$  (respectively  $P \not\leftrightarrow Q$ ) represents P implies Q but Q need not imply P (respectively P and Q are independent of each other).



# Figure 1

The following Theorem characterizes pairwise fuzzy I-continuous mapping.

**Theorem 2.9.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ , the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is pairwise fuzzy I-continuous.

(2)  $f(H_i^l(A)) \leq \overline{(f(A)_i)}$  for any fuzzy subset  $A \leq X$ , i = 1, 2.

(3)  $H_i^l(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\overline{B})_i$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \leq X^*$ , i = 1, 2.

(4) For every  $\tau_i^*$  fuzzy closed subset K of  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a  $\tau_i$  decreasing fuzzy closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ , i = 1, 2.

**Proof.** (1) (2). Since  $X^* \setminus \overline{(f(A))_i}$  is  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open in  $X^*$  and f is pairwise fuzzy I-continuous, then  $f^{-1}(X \setminus \overline{(f(A))_i})$  is a  $\tau_i$ - increasing fuzzy open set in X. Then  $X \setminus f^{-1}(X \setminus \overline{((f(A))_i}))$  is a  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed subset of X. Since  $X \setminus f^{-1}(X \setminus \overline{((f(A))_i)}) = f^{-1}\overline{(f(A))_i}$ , so  $f^{-1}\overline{(f(A))_i}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed subset of X. Since  $A \leq f^{-1}\overline{(f(A))_i}$  and is the smallest  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed set containing A, then  $H_i^l(A) \leq f^{-1}\overline{(f(A))_i}$ ,  $f(H_i^l(A)) \leq f(f^{-1}\overline{(f(A))_i}) \leq \overline{(f(A))_i}$ . Thus  $f(H_i^l(A)) \leq \overline{(f(A))_i}$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} (2) \Rightarrow (3). \ \text{Let} \ \underline{A} = f^{-1}(B). \ \text{Then} \ f(A) = f(f^{-1}(B)) \leq B. \ \text{This implies} \\ \hline plies \ \overline{(f(A))_i} \leq \overline{(B)_i}. \ \text{Now} \ H^l_i(f^{-1}(B)) \leq H^l_i(A) \leq f^{-1}(f(H^l_i(A))) \leq \\ f^{-1} \overline{(f(A))_i} \ [ \ \text{by} \ (2)]. \ \text{But} \ f^{-1} \overline{(f(A))_i} \leq f^{-1} \overline{(B)_i}. \ \text{Thus} \ H^l_i(f^{-1}(B)) \leq \\ f^{-1} \overline{(B)_i}. \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (3) \Rightarrow (4). \ H_i^l(f^{-1}(K)) \leq f^{-1}\overline{(K)_i} \ \text{for any} \ \tau_i^*\text{-fuzzy closed set} \ K \ \text{of} \\ (X^*,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*,\leq). \ \text{Thus} \ f^{-1}(K) \ \text{is a} \ \tau_i\text{-decreasing fuzzy closed set in} \\ (X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\leq) \ \text{whenever} \ K \ \text{is a} \ \tau_i^*\text{-fuzzy closed set in} \ (X^*,\tau_1^*,\tau_2^*,\leq). \end{array}$ 

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let *G* be a  $\tau_i^*$ -fuzzy open set in  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(X \setminus (G))$ is a  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ , since  $X^* \setminus (G)$  is a fuzzy closed set in  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ . But  $X \setminus (f^{-1}(G)) = f^{-1}(X \setminus (G))$ . Thus  $X \setminus (f^{-1}(G))$  is a  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ . So  $f^{-1}(G)$ is a  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy open set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ . Thus *f* is pairwise fuzzy *I*-continuous.  $\Box$ 

The following two theorems characterize pairwise fuzzy D-continuous mapping and pairwise fuzzy B-continuous mapping, whose proofs are similar to as that of the above Theorem 2.9.

**Theorem 2.10.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , the following statements are equivalent :

- (1) f is pairwise fuzzy D-continuous.
- (2)  $f(H_i^m(A)) \leq (f(A))_i$  for any fuzzy subset  $A \leq X$ , i = 1, 2.
- (3)  $H_i^m(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\overline{B})_i$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \leq X^*, i = 1, 2$ .

(4) For every  $\tau_i^*$ -fuzzy closed subset K of  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ , i = 1, 2.

**Theorem 2.11.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ , the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is pairwise fuzzy B-continuous.

(2)  $f(H_i^b(A)) \leq \overline{(f(A))_i}$  for any  $A \leq X$ , i = 1, 2.

(3)  $H_i^b(f^{-1}(B)) \leq f^{-1}(\overline{B})_i$  for any  $B \leq X^*, i = 1, 2$ .

(4) For every  $\tau_i^*$ -fuzzy closed subset K of  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq)$ ,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is both  $\tau_i$ -increasing and  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ , i = 1, 2.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Y, \nu_1, \nu_2, \leq_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \nu_1, \nu_2, \leq_2) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  be any two mappings. Then

(1)  $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x-continuous for x = I, D, B.

(2)  $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x-continuous and g is pairwise fuzzy continuous for x = I, D, B.

(3)  $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x-continuous and g is pairwise fuzzy y-continuous for  $x, y \in \{I, D, B\}$ .

# 3. Pairwise fuzzy *I*-open, pairwise fuzzy *D*-open and pairwise fuzzy *B*-open mappings

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  from a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  to another fuzzy bitopo-

logical ordered space  $(X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  is called a pairwise fuzzy *I*-open (respectively a pairwise fuzzy *D*-open, a pairwise fuzzy *B*-open) mapping if  $f(G) \in \Omega(O_i^m(X^*))$  (respectively  $f(G) \in \Omega(O_i^l(X^*)), f(G) \in \Omega(O_i^b(X^*)))$ , whenever *G* is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq), i = 1, 2$ .

It is evident that every pairwise fuzzy x-open mapping is a pairwise fuzzy open mapping for x = I, D, B and that every pairwise fuzzy B-open mapping is both pairwise fuzzy I-open and pairwise fuzzy D-open.

The following example shows that a pairwise fuzzy D-open mapping need not be a pairwise fuzzy B-open mapping.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  be a fuzzy bitopological ordered space and f be a mapping as in Example 2.6. Then f is a pairwise fuzzy open mapping but f is not pairwise fuzzy x-open for x = I, D, B.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X, X^*, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq$  and  $\leq^*$  be as in Example 2.7. Let  $\theta$  be the identity mapping from  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  onto  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ .  $\theta$  is pairwise fuzzy *D*-open but not a pairwise fuzzy *B*-open mapping.

The following example shows that a pairwise fuzzy I-open mapping need not be a pairwise fuzzy B-open mapping.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X, X^*, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq$  and  $\leq^*$  be as in Example 2.8. Let  $\pi : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  by  $\pi(a) = b, \pi(b) = a$  and  $\pi(c) = c$ .  $\pi$  is a pairwise fuzzy *I*-open mapping but not a pairwise fuzzy *B*-open mapping.

Thus we have the following diagram:

For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , where  $P \to Q$ (respectively  $P \not\leftrightarrow Q$ ) represents P implies Q but Q need not imply P(respectively P and Q are independent of each other).



Figure 2

Before characterizing pairwise fuzzy I-open (respectively pairwise fuzzy D-open, pairwise fuzzy B-open) mapping, we establish the following useful Lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let A be any fuzzy subset of a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$ . Then

(1)  $X \setminus H_i^l(A) = O_i^m(X \setminus A), i = 1, 2.$ (2)  $X \setminus H_i^m(A) = O_i^l(X \setminus A), i = 1, 2.$ (3)  $X \setminus H_i^b(A) = O_i^b(X \setminus A), i = 1, 2.$ 

**Proof.** (1)  $X \setminus H_i^l(A) = X \setminus (\land \{F : F \text{ is a } \tau_i \text{-decreasing fuzzy closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A\}$ 

 $= \vee (X \setminus F : F \text{ is a } \tau_i \text{-decreasing fuzzy closed subset of } X \text{ containing } A \}$ =  $\vee (G : G \text{ is a } \tau_i \text{- increasing fuzzy open subset of } X \text{ contained in } X \setminus A \}$ =  $O_i^m(X \setminus A).$ 

The proofs for (2) and (3) are analogous to that of (1) and so omitted.  $\Box$ The following Theorem characterizes pairwise fuzzy *I*-open mappings.

**Theorem 3.6.** For any mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is pairwise fuzzy I-open mapping.

(2)  $f(A_i^o) \leq O_i^m(f(A))$  for any fuzzy subset  $A \leq X, i = 1, 2$ .

(3)  $(f^{-1}(B))_i^o \le f^{-1}(O_i^m(B))$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \le X^*, i = 1, 2$ .

(4)  $f^{-1}(H_i^l(B)) \leq H_i^l(f^{-1}(B))$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \leq X^*, i = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** (1)(3) . Since  $(f^{-1}(B))_i^o$  is  $\tau_i$  fuzzy open in X and f is pairwise fuzzy I- open, then  $f((f^{-1}(B))_i^o)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy open set in  $X^*$ . Also  $f((f^{-1}(B))_i^o) \leq f((f^{-1}(B)) \leq B$ . Then  $f((f^{-1}(B))_i^o \leq O_i^m(B)$  since  $O_i^m(B)$  is the largest  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy open set contained in B. Therefore  $(f^{-1}(B))_i^o \le f^{-1}(O_i^m(B)).$ 

(3)(4). Replacing B by  $X \setminus B$  in (3), we get,  $(f^{-1}(X \setminus B))_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^m(X \setminus B))$ . Since  $f^{-1}(X \setminus B) = X \setminus (f^{-1}(B))$ , then  $(X \setminus (f^{-1}(B)))_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^m(X \setminus B))$ . Now  $X \setminus (H_i^l(f^{-1}(B))) = O_i^m(X \setminus f^{-1}(B)) \leq (X \setminus (f^{-1}(B)))_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^m(X \setminus B)) = f^{-1}(X \setminus (H_i^l(B))) = X \setminus (f^{-1}(H_i^l(B)))$  using the above Lemma 3.5. Therefore  $f^{-1}(H_i^l(B)) \leq H_i^l(f^{-1}(B))$ .

(4)(3). All the steps in (3)(4) are reversible.

(3)(2). Replacing B by f(A) in (3), we get  $(f^{-1}(f(A)))_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^m(f(A)))$ . Since  $A_i^o \leq (f^{-1}(f(A)))_i^o$ , then we have  $A_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^m(f(A)))$ . This implies that  $f(A_i^o) \leq f(f^{-1}(O_i^m(f(A)))) \leq O_i^m(f(A))$ . Hence  $f(A_i^o)) \leq O_i^m(f(A))$ . (2)(1) Let G be any  $\tau_i$  fuzzy open subset of X. Then  $f(G) = f(G_i^o) \leq O_i^m(f(G))$ . So f(G) is a  $\tau_i^*$  increasing fuzzy open set in X<sup>\*</sup>. Therefore f is a pairwise fuzzy I- open mapping.  $\Box$ 

The following two Theorems give characterizations for pairwise fuzzy D-open mapping and pairwise fuzzy B-open mapping, whose proofs are similar to as that of the above Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 3.7.** For any mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is pairwise fuzzy D-open.

(2)  $f((A_i^o)) \leq O_i^l(f(A))$  for any fuzzy subset  $A \leq X$ , i = 1, 2.

(3)  $(f^{-1}(B))_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^l(B))$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \leq X^*, i = 1, 2$ .

(4)  $f^{-1}(H_i^m(B)) \le H_i^m(f^{-1}(B))$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \le X^*$ , i = 1, 2.

**Theorem 3.8.** For any mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is pairwise fuzzy B-open.

(2)  $f((A_i^o)) \leq O_i^b(f(A))$  for any fuzzy subset  $A \leq X$ , i = 1, 2.

(3)  $(f^{-1}(B))_i^o \leq f^{-1}(O_i^b(B))$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \leq X^*, i = 1, 2$ .

(4)  $f^{-1}(H_i^b(B)) \le H_i^b(f^{-1}(B))$  for any fuzzy subset  $B \le X^*$ , i = 1, 2.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Y, \nu_1, \nu_2, \leq_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \nu_1, \nu_2, \leq_2) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  be any two mappings. Then

(i)  $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x-open if f is pairwise fuzzy open and g is pairwise fuzzy x-open for x = I, D, B.

(ii)  $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x-open if both f and g are pairwise fuzzy x-open for x = I, D, B.

(iii)  $g \circ f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x-open if f is pairwise fuzzy y- open and g is pairwise fuzzy x- open for  $x, y \in \{I, D, B\}$ .

**Proof.** Omitted.  $\Box$ 

# 4. Pairwise fuzzy *I*- closed, pairwise fuzzy *D*- closed and pairwise fuzzy *B*- closed mappings

**Definition 4.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  from a fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  to another fuzzy bitopological ordered space  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  is called a pairwise fuzzy *I*-closed (respectively a pairwise fuzzy *D*-closed, a pairwise fuzzy *B*-closed) mapping if  $f(G) \in \Omega(H_i^m(X^*))$  (respectively  $f(G) \in \Omega(H_i^l(X^*)), f(G) \in \Omega(H_i^b(X^*))$ , whenever *G* is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open subset of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau)$ , where  $\Omega(H_i^m(X^*))$  (respectively  $\Omega(H_i^l(X^*)), \Omega(H_i^b(X^*))$  is the collection of all  $\tau_i$ -increasing (respectively  $\tau_i$ -decreasing, both  $\tau_i$ - increasing and  $\tau_i$ -decreasing) closed fuzzy subsets of  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*), i = 1, 2$ . It is evident that every pairwise fuzzy *X*- closed mapping is a pairwise fuzzy closed mapping for x = I, D, B and every pairwise fuzzy *D*-closed. The following example shows that a pairwise fuzzy closed mapping need not be pairwise fuzzy *x*- closed mapping for x = I, D, B.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  be a fuzzy bitopological ordered space and f be a mapping as in Example 2.6. Then f is a pairwise fuzzy closed mapping, f is not pairwise x- closed for x = I, D, B.

The following example shows that a pairwise fuzzy *I*-closed mapping need not be a pairwise fuzzy *B*- closed mapping.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $X, X^*, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq$  and  $\leq^*$  be as in Example 3.3. Let  $\theta$  be the identity mapping from  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq)$  onto  $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ .  $\theta$  is pairwise fuzzy *I*- closed but not a pairwise fuzzy *B*-closed mapping.

The following example shows that a pairwise fuzzy I-closed mapping need not be a pairwise fuzzy B- closed mapping.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $X, X^*, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq \leq^*$  and  $\phi$  be as in Example 3.4.  $\phi$  is a pairwise fuzzy *D*-closed mapping but not a pairwise fuzzy *B*-closed mapping.

Thus we have the following diagram: For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$ , where  $P \to Q$  (respectively  $P \nleftrightarrow Q$ ) represents P implies Q but Q need not imply P (respectively P and Q are independent of each other).



Figure 3

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be any mapping. Then f is pairwise fuzzy *I*-closed if and only if  $H_i^m(f(A)) \leq f(\overline{(A)_i})$  for every  $A \leq X, i = 1, 2$ .

### Proof.

**Necessity.** Since f is pairwise fuzzy *I*-closed, then  $f(A)_i$  is a  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy closed subset of X and  $f(A) \leq f(\overline{A})_i$ . Therefore  $H_i^m(f(A)) \leq f(\overline{A})_i$  since  $H_i^m(f(A))$  is the smallest  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy closed set in  $X^*$  containing f(A).

**Sufficency.** Let F be any fuzzy  $\tau_i$ -closed subset of  $X^*$ . Then  $f(F) \leq H_i^m(f(F)) \leq \overline{f(F)_i} = f(F)$ . Thus  $f(F) = H_i^m(f(F))$ . So f(F) is a  $\tau_i$ -increasing fuzzy closed subset of  $X^*$ . Therefore f is a pairwise fuzzy I-closed mapping.  $\Box$ 

The following two Theorems characterize pairwise fuzzy D-closed mapping and pairwise fuzzy B-closed mapping.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be any mapping. Then f is pairwise fuzzy I closed if and only if  $H_i^l(f(A)) \leq f(A)_i$  for every  $A \leq X, i = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** Omitted.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be any mapping. Then f is pairwise fuzzy B-closed if and only if  $H_i^b(f(A)) \leq f(A)_i$  for every  $A \leq X, i = 1, 2$ .

### **Proof.** Omitted. $\Box$

**Theorem 4.8.** . Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy bijection mapping. Then

(1) f is pairwise fuzzy I-open if and only if f is pairwise fuzzy D- closed.

- (2) f is pairwise fuzzy I-closed if and only if f is pairwise fuzzy D-open.
- (3) f is pairwise fuzzy B-open if and only if f is pairwise fuzzy B-closed.

# Proof.

**Necessity.** Let F be any  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy closed subset of X. Then  $f(X \setminus F)$  is a  $\tau_i^*$ -increasing fuzzy open subset of  $X^*$  since f is a pairwise fuzzy I-open mapping and  $X \setminus F$  is a  $\tau_i$  fuzzy open subset of X. Since f is a pairwise fuzzy bijection, then we have  $f(X \setminus F) = X^* \setminus (f(F))$ . So f(F) is a  $\tau_i^*$ -decreasing fuzzy closed subset of  $X^*$ . Therefore f is pairwise fuzzy D-closed.

**Sufficiency.** Let G be any  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open subset of X. Then  $f(X \setminus G)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy closed subset of  $X^*$  since f is a pairwise fuzzy D-closed mapping and  $X \setminus G$  is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy closed subset of X. Since f is a pairwise fuzzy bijection, then we have  $f(X \setminus G) = X \setminus (f(G))$ . So f(G) is a  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy open subset of  $X^*$ . Therefore f is a pairwise fuzzy I-open mapping.

The proofs for (2) and (3) are similar to that of (1).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Y, \nu_1, \nu_2, \leq_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \nu_1, \nu_2, \leq_2) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  be any two mappings. Then

(1)  $gof: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x- closed if f is pairwise fuzzy closed and g is pairwise fuzzy x- closed for x = I, D, B.

(2)  $gof: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x- closed if both f and g are pairwise fuzzy x- closed for x = I, D, B.

(3)  $gof: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq_1) \to (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \leq_3)$  is pairwise fuzzy x- closed if f is pairwise fuzzy y-closed and g is pairwise fuzzy x- closed for  $x, y \in \{I, D, B\}$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy bijection mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is a pairwise fuzzy I- open mapping.

(2) f is a pairwise fuzzy D- closed mapping.

(3)  $f^{-1}$  is a pairwise fuzzy *I*- continuous.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy bijection mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent :

(1) f is a pairwise fuzzy D- open mapping.

(2) f is a pairwise fuzzy I- closed mapping.

(3)  $f^{-1}$  is a pairwise fuzzy *D*- continuous.

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy bijection mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (1) f is a pairwise fuzzy B- open mapping.
- (2) f is a pairwise fuzzy B- closed mapping.
- (3)  $f^{-1}$  is a pairwise fuzzy *B* continuous.

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy *I*-closed mapping and *B*, *C* are two fuzzy subsets of  $X^*$ . Then

(1) if U is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open neighbourhood of  $f^{-1}(B)$ , then there exists a  $\tau_i^*$ -decreasing fuzzy neighbourhood V of B such that  $f^{-1}(B) \leq f^{-1}(V) \leq U, i = 1, 2$ ,

(2) if  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  have disjoint  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy neighbourhoods, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  have disjoint  $\tau_i$ -decreasing fuzzy open neighbourhoods, i = 1, 2.

**Proof.** (1) Let U be a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open neighbourhood of  $f^{-1}(B)$ . Take  $X^* \setminus V = f(X \setminus U)$ . Since f is a pairwise fuzzy I- closed mapping and  $X \setminus U$  is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy closed set, then  $X^* \setminus V = f(X \setminus U)$  is a  $\tau_i^*$ -increasing fuzzy closed subset of  $X^*$ . Thus V is a  $\tau_i^*$ -decreasing fuzzy open subset of  $X^*$ . Since  $f^{-1}(B) \leq U$ , then  $X^* \setminus V = f(X \setminus U) \leq f(f^{-1}(X * \setminus B)) \leq X^* \setminus B$ . So  $B \leq V$ . Thus V is a  $\tau_i^*$ -decreasing fuzzy open neighbourhood of B. Further  $X \setminus U \leq f^{-1}(f(X \setminus U)) = f^{-1}(X * \setminus V) = X \setminus (f^{-1}(V))$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(B) \leq f^{-1}(V) \leq U$ . (2) Omitted.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.14.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy *D*-closed mapping and *B*, *C* are two fuzzy subsets of *X*<sup>\*</sup>. Then

(1) if U is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open neighbourhood of  $f^{-1}(B)$ , then there exists a  $\tau_i^*$ - decreasing fuzzy neighbourhood V of B such that  $f^{-1}(B) \leq f^{-1}(V) \leq U, i = 1, 2,$ 

(2) if  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  have disjoint  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy neighbourhoods, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  have disjoint  $\tau_i$ - increasing fuzzy open neighbourhoods, i = 1, 2.

**Theorem 4.15.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \leq) \to (X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*, \leq^*)$  be a pairwise fuzzy *B*-closed mapping and *B*, *C* are two fuzzy subsets of  $X^*$ . Then (1) if *U* is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open neighbourhood of  $f^{-1}(B)$ , then there exists a

 $\tau_i^*$ - fuzzy open neighbourhood V of B which is both  $\tau_i^*$ -increasing and  $\tau_i^*$ decreasing such that  $f^{-1}(B) \leq f^{-1}(V) \leq U, i = 1, 2.$ 

(2) if  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  have disjoint  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy neighbourhoods, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  have disjoint  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open neighbourhoods which is both  $\tau_i^*$ -increasing and  $\tau_i^*$ -decreasing i = 1, 2.

### Acknowledgment.

The author thanks the reviewer for the comments those improved the presentation the article.

### References

- [1] M. Y. Bakier and A. F. Sayed, "Some weaker forms of continuity in bitopological ordered spaces", *International journal of advances in mathematics*, no. 2, pp. 42-52, 2018.
- [2] C. L. Chang, "Fuzzy topological spaces", *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, vol. 24, no.1, pp. 182-190, 1968, doi: 10.1016/0022-247X(68)90057-7
- [3] R. Dhar, "Some pairwise weakly fuzzy mappings", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta, On line)*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 707-717, 2019, doi: 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2019-04-0046
- [4] A. Kandil, "On Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces", *Simon Stevin*, vol. 63, pp. 45-66, 1989.
- [5] J. C. Kelly, "Bitopological spaces", *Proceeding London Mathematics Society*, vol. s3-13, no. 1, pp. 71-89, 1963, doi: 10.1112/plms/s3-13.1.71
- [6] A. K. Katsaras, "Ordered fuzzy topological spaces", Journal mathematical analysis applications, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 44-58, 1981, doi: 10.1016/0022-247X(81)90150-5
- S. S. Kumar, "On fuzzy pairwise -continuity and fuzzy pairwise precontinuity", *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 231-238, 1994. doi: 10.1016/0165-0114(94)90063-9
- [8] S. S. Kumar, "Semi-open sets, semi-continuity and semi-open mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces", *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 421-426, 1994, doi: 10.1016/0165-0114(94)90166-X

- [9] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, "Bitopological ordered spaces", *Mathematical student*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 440-447, 1971.
- [10] L. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets", *Information and control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338-353, 1965, doi: 10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X