



Creating a new two-step recursive memory method with eight-order based on Kung and Traub's method

Vali Torkashvand¹ orcid.org/0000-0001-8033-8279

Mohammad Momenzadeh² orcid.org/0000-0002-7830-9820

Taher Lotf³

¹Islamic Azad University, Shahr-e-Qods Branch, Young Researchers and Elite Club, Tehran, Iran.

torkashvand1978@gmail.com

Islamic Azad University, Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Hamedan Branch, Hamedan, Iran.

² m.momenzadeh12@yahoo.com; ³ lotfi@iauh.ac.ir

Received: June 2019 | Accepted: May 2020

The proof of Theorem (2.1) by Mathematica:

We use the self-explained mathematical approach to avoid the tedious and humdrum algebraic manipulation. Defining functions is one of the strong features of Mathematica. Let us start with a simple example of defining the formula $f(n) = n^2 + 4$ as a function

$$f[n_] := n^2 + 4$$

First notice that in defining a function we use $:=$. The symbol n is a dummy variable and, as expected, one plugs in the data in place of n .

First, we define the Taylor's series of $f(x)$ as follows:

$f(x_k) = f'(\alpha)(e_k + c_2 e_k^2 + c_3 e_k^3 + c_4 e_k^4) + O(e_k^5)$ In the software "Mathematica" is defined as follows:

$$f[e_] = fla(e + c_2 e^2 + \dots + c_4 e^4).$$

let us define the errors $fla = f'(\alpha)$, $e = x - \alpha$, $ew = w - \alpha$, $ey = y - \alpha$, and $e1 = \hat{x} - \alpha$, and introduce the following abbreviations used in $c_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!f'(\alpha)}$ and $g0 = g(0)$, $g1 = g'(0)$. Note that since α is a simple zero of $f(x)$, the $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$, $f(\alpha) = 0$. We define

$$In[1] : f[e_] = fla(e + c_2 e^2 + \dots + c_4 e^4),$$

$$In[2] : f[x_, y_] = \frac{f[x] - f[y]}{x - y};$$

$$In[3] : ew = e + \beta f[e];$$

Cite this article as (IEEE citation style):

V. Torkashvand, M. Momenzadeh, and T. Lotf, "Creating a new two-step recursive memory method with eight-order based on Kung and Traub's method", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta, On line)*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1167-1189, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2020-05-0072.



Article copyright: © 2020 Vali Torkashvand, Mohammad Momenzadeh, and Taher Lotf. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Licence, which permits unrestricted use and distribution provided the original author and source are credited.



$$In[4] : ey = e - Series[\frac{f[e]}{f[e, ew] + \gamma f[ew]}, \{e, 0, 4\}];$$

$$In[5] : t = \frac{f[ey]}{f[e]};$$

$$In[6] : g[t_-] = g0 + g1 * t + g2 * \frac{t^2}{2};$$

$$In[7] : g0 = 1;$$

$$In[8] : g1 = -1;$$

$$In[9] : e1 = ey - g[t_-] * (\frac{1}{1 - \frac{f[ey]}{f[e]}})^2 *$$

$$Series[\frac{f[ey]}{f[ew, ey] + \gamma f[ew] + \lambda(ey - ew)(ey - e)}, \{e, 0, 4\}] // FullSimplify$$

$$Out[9]: e_{k+1} =$$

$$\left[\frac{(1 + \beta fla)^2(\gamma + c_2)(fla g2(1 + \beta fla)\gamma^2 - 2\lambda + flac_2(2(-2 + g2(1 + \beta fla))\gamma + (-4 + g2(1 + \beta fla))c_2 + 2flac_3))}{-2fla} \right] e_k^4 + O(e_k^5)$$

This completes the proof.