

Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 36, N° 3, pp. 485-498, September 2017.
Universidad Católica del Norte
Antofagasta - Chile

A generalization of variant of Wilson's type Hilbert space valued functional equations

Hajira Dimou

University of Ibn Tofail, Morocco

and

Samir Kabbaj

University of Ibn Tofail, Morocco

Received : December 2016. Accepted : May 2017

Abstract

In the present paper we characterize, in terms of characters, multiplicative functions, the continuous solutions of some functional equations for mappings defined on a monoid and taking their values in a complex Hilbert space with the Hadamard product. In addition, we investigate a superstability result for these equations.

Keywords : *D'Alembert's functional equation, Hilbert space, Hadamard product, superstability.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications : *Primary, 39B72, 39B82; Secondary 46E40.*

1. Introduction

Let M be a monoid i.e., is a semigroup with an identify element that we denote by e and $\sigma, \tau : M \rightarrow M$ are two involutive automorphisms. That is $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$, $\tau(xy) = \tau(x)\tau(y)$ and $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = x$, $\tau(\tau(x)) = x$ for all $x, y \in M$. By a variant of Wilson's functional equation on M we mean the functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2f(x)g(y), \quad x, y \in M,$$

where $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are the unknown functions. A special case of Wilson's functional equation is d'Alembert's functional equation:

$$(1.2) \quad f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in M,$$

The solutions of equation (1.2) are known [2]. Further contextual and historical discussion on the functional equation (1.1) and (1.2) can be found, e.g., in [6.2].

The present paper studies an extension to a situation where the unknown functions f, g map a possibly non-abelian group or monoid into a complex Hilbert space H with the Hadamard product. Our considerations refer mainly to results by Rezaei [4], Zeglami [11]. It has been proved [3] that the functional equation (1.2) with $\sigma = id$ is superstable in the class of functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, if every such function satisfies the inequality

$$|f(xy) + f(\tau(y)x) - 2f(x)f(y)| \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } x, y \in G,$$

where ϵ is a fixed positive real number. Then either f is a bounded function or

$$f(xy) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with a orthonormal basis $\{e_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. For two vectors $x, y \in H$, the Hadamard product, also known as the entrywise product on the Hilbert space H is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad x * y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x, e_n \rangle \langle y, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad x, y \in H.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality together with the Parseval identity ensure that the Hadamard multiplication is well defined. In fact,

$$(1.4) \quad \|x * y\| \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle y, e_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x\| \|y\|.$$

The purpose of this work is first to give a characterization, in terms of multiplicative functions, the solutions of the Hilbert space valued functional equation by Hadamard product:

$$(1.5) \quad f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2g(x) * f(y), \quad x, y \in M.$$

When f we determine the solutions of the functional equation

$$(1.6) \quad f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2f(x) * g(y), \quad x, y \in M,$$

where $f, g : M \rightarrow H$ are the unknown functions. Second, we determine a characterization of the following d'Alembert-Hilbert-valued functional equation:

$$(1.7) \quad f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2f(x) * f(y), \quad x, y \in M.$$

Throughout the paper, \mathbf{N} , \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{C} stand for the sets of positive integers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. We let G denote a group and S denote a semigroup i.e., a set with an associative composition rule.

A function $A : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is called additive, if it satisfies $A(xy) = A(x) + A(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$.

A multiplicative function on M is a map $\chi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\chi(xy) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$.

A monoid M is generated by its squares if for every $x \in I_\chi$, $x = x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in M$.

A character on a group G is a homomorphism from G into the multiplicative of non-zero complex numbers. While a non-zero multiplicative function on a group can never take the value 0, it is possible for a multiplicative function on a monoid M to take the value 0 on a proper, non-empty subset of M . If $\chi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is multiplicative and $\chi \neq 0$, then

$$I_\chi = \{x \in M / \chi(x) = 0\}$$

is either empty or a proper subset of M . The fact that χ is multiplicative establishes that I_χ is a two-sided ideal in M if not empty (for us an ideal is never the empty set). It follows also that $M \setminus I_\chi$ is a subsemigroup of M .

Let $C(M)$ denote the algebra of continuous functions from M into \mathbf{C} .

2. Solutions of (1.5) and (1.6)

In this section, we solve the functional equation (1.5) by expressing its solutions in terms of multiplicative functions.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a monoid, let $\sigma, \tau : M \rightarrow M$ be involutive automorphisms. Assume that the functions $f, g : M \rightarrow H$ satisfy (1.5). Then, there exists a positive integer N such that*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n \text{ and } x \rightarrow \langle g(x), e_{N+k} \rangle \text{ is arbitrary}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $k > 0$. Furthermore, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we have the following possibilities:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle = \frac{\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau(x)}{2} \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = \frac{\alpha_k(\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau(x))}{2} \end{array} \right. ; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ is an arbitrary function,} \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

for all $x \in M$, where χ_k is a non-zero multiplicative function of M such that $\chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau = \chi_k \circ \tau \circ \sigma$ and $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If M is a topological monoid and $f \in C(M)$, then $\chi_k, \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau \in C(M)$.

Proof. For every integer $k \geq 0$, consider the functions $f_k, g_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$f_k(x) = \langle f(x), e_k \rangle \text{ and } g_k(x) = \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

Since (f, g) satisfies (1.5), for all $x, y \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \{ \langle f(x\sigma(y)), e_k \rangle + \langle f(\tau(y)x), e_k \rangle \} e_k &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \langle \{ f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) \}, e_k \rangle e_k \\ &= f(x\sigma(y)) + f(\tau(y)x) \\ &= 2g(x) * f(y) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \langle f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

This yields for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$(2.1) \quad f_k(x\sigma(y)) + f_k(\tau(y)x) = 2g_k(x)f_k(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

If we put $y = e$ in (2.1), we find that $f_k(x) = f_k(e)g_k(x)$. So, if we take $\alpha_k = f_k(e)$, equation (2.1) can be written as follows:

$$\alpha_k g_k(x\sigma(y)) + \alpha_k g_k(\tau(y)x) = 2\alpha_k g_k(x)g_k(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

Then, either $\alpha_k = 0$ or g_k is a solution of equation (1.6). In view of [2, Theorem 3.2], one of the following statements holds:

(a) We have that

$$f_k = 0 \text{ and } g_k \text{ is an arbitrary function.}$$

(b) There exists a multiplicative function χ_k such that $g_k(x) = \frac{\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau(x)}{2}$ and $f_k(x) = \frac{\alpha_k(\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau(x))}{2}$ for $x \in M$.

If H is infinite-dimensional, then

$$\langle g(x), e_k \rangle = g_k(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

for every $x \in M$. Since $g_k(e) = 1$, statement (b) is not possible for infinitely many positive integers k . Hence, there exists some positive integer N such that $f_k = 0$ for every $k > N$. Thus, g_k is an arbitrary function for any $k > N$, f can be represented as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n,$$

and the expressions of the component functions f_n and g_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$, of f and g come from statements (a) and (b) above. In the case where H is finite-dimensional, the proof is clear.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1 we derive formulas for the solutions of d'Alembert's Hilbert space valued functional equation (1.7). \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a monoid, let $\sigma, \tau : M \rightarrow M$ be involutive automorphisms. Assume that the functions $g : M \rightarrow H$ satisfy (1.7). Then, there exists a positive integer N such that*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n \text{ and } x \rightarrow \langle g(x), e_{N+k} \rangle \text{ is arbitrary}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $k > 0$. Furthermore, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, such that

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon_k (\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau(x)) e_k, \quad x \in M,$$

where $\epsilon_k = 1$ or 0 for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. for all $x \in M$, where χ_k is a non-zero multiplicative function of M such that $\chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau = \chi_k \circ \tau \circ \sigma$

If M is a topological monoid and $f \in C(M)$, then $\chi_k, \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau \in C(M)$.

Proof. The proof follows by putting $f = g$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let M be a monoid, let $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be involutive automorphisms. Assume that the functions $f, g : M \rightarrow H$ satisfy

$$f(xy) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2g(x) * f(y).$$

Then, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n \text{ and } x \rightarrow \langle g(x), e_{N+k} \rangle \text{ is arbitrary}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $k > 0$. Furthermore, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we have the following possibilities:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle = \frac{\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \tau(x)}{2} \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = \frac{\alpha_k(\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \tau(x))}{2} \end{array} \right. ; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ is an arbitrary function,} \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

for all $x \in M$, where χ_k is a non-zero multiplicative function of M and $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

If M is a topological monoid and $f \in C(M)$, then $\chi_k, \chi_k \circ \tau \in C(M)$.

Proof. The proof follows by putting $\sigma = id$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

We complete this section with a result concerning Wilson Hilbert space valued functional equation (1.6).

Theorem 2.4. Let M be a monoid which is generated by its squares, let $\sigma, \tau : M \rightarrow M$ be involutive automorphisms. Assume that the pair $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, satisfy Wilson's Hilbert valued functional equation (1.6). Then, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n \text{ and } \langle g(x), e_{N+k} \rangle \text{ is arbitrary}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $k > 0$. Furthermore, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we have the following possibilities:

(i)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ is an arbitrary function,} \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = 0 \end{array} \right. ; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle = \frac{\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau(x)}{2}, \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = \alpha_k \chi_k \circ \sigma(x) \end{array} \right.$$

where $\chi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a non-zero multiplicative function with $\chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau = \chi_k \circ \tau \circ \sigma$. and for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) There exists a non-zero multiplicative function $\chi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau = \chi_k \circ \tau \circ \sigma$ such that

$$g_k = \frac{\chi_k + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau}{2}.$$

Furthermore, we have

(1) If $\chi_k \neq \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau$, then

$$f_k = \alpha_k \chi_k \circ \sigma + \beta_k \chi_k \circ \tau$$

for some $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(2) If $\chi_k = \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau$, then there exists a non-zero additive function $A_k : M \setminus I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $A_k \circ \tau = -A_k \circ \sigma$ such that

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} (\alpha_k + A_k(x))\chi_k(\sigma(x)) & \text{for } x \in M \setminus I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma} \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma} \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C}$.

Conversely, if f and g have the forms described above, then the pair (f, g) is a solution of equation (1.6). Moreover, if M is a topological monoid generated by its squares, and $f, g \in C(M)$, then $\chi_k, \chi_k \circ \sigma, \chi_k \circ \tau, \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau \in C(M)$, while $A_k \in C(M \setminus I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma})$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. For every integer $k \geq 0$, we consider the functions $f_k, g_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, defined by

$$f_k(x) = \langle f(x), e_k \rangle \text{ and } g_k(x) = \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ for } x \in M.$$

Since the pair (f, g) satisfies (1.6), for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad f_k(x\sigma(y)) + f_k(\tau(y)x) = 2f_k(x)g_k(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

By [6, Theorem 3.4] we infer that there are only the following cases

(a)

$$f_k = 0 \text{ and } g_k \text{ is an arbitrary function.}$$

(b) There exists a non-zero multiplicative function $\chi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$f_k = \alpha_k \chi_k \circ \sigma \text{ and } g_k = \frac{\chi_k + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau}{2}$$

for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(c) There exists a non-zero multiplicative function $\chi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $\chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau = \chi_k \circ \tau \circ \sigma$ such that

$$g_k = \frac{\chi_k + \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau}{2}.$$

Furthermore, we have.

(i) If $\chi_k \neq \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau$, then

$$f_k = \alpha_k \chi_k \circ \sigma + \beta_k \chi_k \circ \tau$$

for some $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) If $\chi_k = \chi_k \circ \sigma \circ \tau$, then there exists a non-zero additive function $A_k : M \setminus I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $A_k \circ \tau = -A_k \circ \sigma$ such that

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} (\alpha_k + A_k(x))\chi_k(\sigma(x)) & \text{for } x \in M \setminus I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma} \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in I_{\chi_k \circ \sigma} \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C}$. Conversely, the functions given with properties satisfy the functional equation (2.2). The continuation of the proof depends on the dimension of H . In fact, if H is infinite-dimensional, then

$$\langle g(x), e_k \rangle = g_k(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

for every $x \in M$. Statements (b) and (c) are not possible for infinitely positive integers n . Hence, there exists some positive integer N such that $f_k = 0$ for every $k > N$. Thus, f can be represented as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n,$$

g_k is an arbitrary function for any $k > N$, and expressions of the component functions f_n and g_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$ of f and g follow from the previous discussion. In the case where H is a finite-dimensional space, the proof is clear. \square

Corollary 2.5. Let M be a monoid which is generated by its squares, let $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be an involutive automorphism, and let the pair $f, g : M \rightarrow H$ satisfy the functional equation

$$f(xy) + f(\tau(y)x) = 2f(x) * g(y), \quad x, y \in M.$$

Then, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f(x), e_n \rangle e_n \text{ and } x \rightarrow \langle g(x), e_{N+k} \rangle \text{ is arbitrary}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $k > 0$. Furthermore, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we have the following possibilities:

$$(i) \begin{cases} \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ is an arbitrary function,} \\ \langle f(x), e_k \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

(ii) There exists a non-zero multiplicative function $\chi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$g_k = \frac{\chi_k + \chi_k \circ \tau}{2}.$$

Furthermore, we have.

(1) If $\chi_k \neq \chi_k \circ \tau$, then

$$f_k = \alpha_k \chi_k + \beta_k \chi_k \circ \tau,$$

for some $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(2) If $\chi_k = \chi_k \circ \tau$, then there exists an additive function $A_k : M \setminus I_{\chi_k} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $A_k \circ \tau = -A_k$ such that

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} (\alpha_k + A_k(x))\chi_k(x) \text{ for } x \in M \setminus I_{\chi_k} \\ 0 \text{ for } x \in I_{\chi_k} \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{C}$.

Conversely, if f and g have the forms described above, then the pair (f, g) is a solution. Moreover, if M is a topological monoid generated by its squares, and $f, g \in C(M)$, then $\chi_k, \chi_k \circ \tau \in C(M)$, while $A_k \in C(M \setminus I_{\chi_k})$.

Proof. The proof follows by putting $\sigma = id$ in Theorem 2.4. \square

3. Superstability of Hilbert valued cosine type functional equations

The main result of this section is Theorem 3.3 that contains a superstability result for the functional equation (1.6). For the proof of our result we will begin by pointing out a superstability result for the equation

$$(3.1) \quad f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x)g(y)$$

where $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are the unknown functions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\delta > 0$ be given, let M be a monoid and let σ is an involutive morphism of M . Assume that the functions $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$|f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x)g(y)| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in M,$$

and that g is unbounded. Then, the ordered pair (f, g) satisfies equation (3.1).

Proof. The proof is part of the proof of [3, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.7] if we put $\chi = 1$ that deals with M being a group. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\delta > 0$ be given and let G be a monoid. Assume that the function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$|f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Then, either

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\delta}}{2} \text{ for all } x \in G,$$

or f has the form

$$f = \frac{\mu + \mu \circ \sigma}{2},$$

where μ is a multiplicative function.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 and Theorem [1, Theorem 4]. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $\delta > 0$ be given and let M be a monoid. Assume that the functions $f, g : M \rightarrow H$ satisfy the inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \|f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x) * g(y)\| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

Then, either

- (i) there exists $k \geq 1$ such that the function $x \mapsto \langle g(x), e_k \rangle$ is bounded,
- or
- (ii) the pair (f, g) is a solution of the functional equation:

$$(3.3) \quad f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x) * g(y).$$

Proof. Suppose that the pair (f, g) satisfies (3.2). By applying the Parseval identity and the definition of Hadamard product with the inequality (3.2), we find that the scalar valued functions f_k, g_k defined by

$$f_k(x) = \langle f(x), e_k \rangle \text{ and } g_k(x) = \langle g(x), e_k \rangle \text{ for } x \in M,$$

satisfy the inequality

$$|f_k(xy) + f_k(\sigma(y)x) - 2f_k(x)g_k(y)| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

According to Proposition 3.1, for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we have that either the function $x \mapsto \langle g(x), e_k \rangle$ is bounded or the pair (f_k, g_k) is a solution of (3.1). Then, we conclude that the pair (f, g) satisfies equation (3.3) if assertion (i) fails. \square

In [4] it was proved that if $g : H \rightarrow H$ is surjective, then every component function $x \mapsto \langle g(x), e_n \rangle$ is unbounded. By applying Theorem (3.3), this leads to the following result.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Assume that functions $f, g : H \rightarrow H$, where g is surjective, satisfy the inequality

$$\|f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) - 2f(x) * g(y)\| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

Then, the pair (f, g) satisfies the equation

$$f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x) * g(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

Proof. Since g is surjective, then every component function $x \mapsto \langle g(x), e_n \rangle$ is unbounded. Thus, the proof follows immediately from Theorem 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\delta > 0$ be given and let G be a topological group. Assume that the function $g : G \rightarrow H$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|g(xy) + g(\sigma(y)x) - 2g(x) * g(y)\| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Then, either there exists $k \geq 1$ such that

$$|\langle g(x), e_k \rangle| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\delta}}{2} \text{ for all } x \in G$$

or there exist a multiplicative function $\chi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and a positive integer N such that

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \epsilon_n (\chi_k(x) + \chi_k \circ \sigma(x)) e_n, \text{ for all } x \in G,$$

where $\epsilon_n = 1$ or 0 for every $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Proof. If we put $f = g$ in Theorem 3.3, we immediately have that either there exists $k \geq 1$ such that the function $x \mapsto \langle g(x), e_k \rangle$ is bounded or g is a solution of the equation

$$g(xy) + g(\sigma(y)x) = 2g(x) * g(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

The remainder of the proof follows if we put $\chi = 1$ from Corollary [3, Corollary 3.8] and Corollary 2.3. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\delta > 0$ be given and let G be a group with identity element. Let $g : G \rightarrow H$ such that*

$$\|g(xy) + g(yx) - 2g(x) * g(y)\| \leq \delta \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Then either g is bounded or g is multiplicative.

Proof. From Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.5 and then using [3, Corollary 3.9]. \square

References

- [1] J. A. Baker, *The stability of the Cosine Equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80, 3, pp. 411-416, (1980).
- [2] A. Chahbi, B. Fadli, S. Kabbaj, *A generalization of the symmetrized multiplicative Cauchy equation*. Acta Math. Hung. 149 (1), pp. 170-176, (2016).
- [3] E. Elqorachi and A. Redouani, *Solutions and stability of variant of Wilson's functional equation (14 May 2015)*, arXiv:1505.06512v1 [math. CA]. Demonstratio Math. (to appear).
- [4] H. Rezaei and M. Sharifzadeh, *On the super-stability of exponential hilbert valued functional equations*, J. Inequal. Appl. Article ID 114. (2011).
- [5] L. Székelyhidi, *D'Alembert's functional equation on compact groups*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 1, no. 2, pp. 221-226, (2007).
- [6] KH. Sabour, B. Fadli and S. Kabbaj, *Wilson's Functional equation on monoids with involutive automorphisms*. Aequationes. Math. 90, no. 5, pp. 189-196, (2016).
- [7] H. Stetkaer, *D'Alembert's and Wilson's functional equations on step 2 nilpotent groups*, Aequationes. Math. 67, No. 3, pp. 1001-1011, (2004).
- [8] H. Stetkaer, *Functional Equations on Groups*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, (2013).
- [9] H. Stetkaer, *A link between Wilson's functional and d'Alembert's functional equations*, Aequationes. Math. 90, No. 2, pp. 407-409, (2016).
- [10] W. H. Wilson, *On a certain related functional equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26, pp. 300-312, (1920).
- [11] D. Zeglami, M. Tial and B. Fadli, *Wilson's type Hilbert space valued functional equations*. Adv. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (3), pp. 189-196, (2016).

Hajira Dimou

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences
Ibn Tofail University,
Kenitra,
Morocco
e-mail : dimouhajira@gmail.com

and

Samir Kabbaj

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences
Ibn Tofail University,
Kenitra,
Morocco
e-mail : samkabbaj@yahoo.fr