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#### Abstract

A symmetry of a Riemann surface $X$ is an antiholomorphic involution $\phi$. The species of $\phi$ is the integer $\varepsilon k$, where $k$ is the number of connected components in the set $\operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$ of fixed points of $\phi$ and $\varepsilon=-1$ if $X \backslash \operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$ is connected and $\varepsilon=1$ otherwise. A compact Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g>1$ is said to be p-hyperelliptic if it admits a conformal involution $\rho$, called a p-hyperelliptic involution, for which $X / \rho$ is an orbifold of genus $p$. Symmetries of p-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces has been studied by Klein for $p=0$ and by Bujalance and Costa for $p>0$. Here we study the species of symmetries of so called pq-hyperelliptic surface defined as a Riemann surface which is $p$ - and $q$-hyperelliptic simultaneously.


keywords : p-hyperelliptic Riemann surface, automorphisms of Riemann surface, fixed points of automorphism, symmetry

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

A symmetry of a Riemann surface $X$ is an antiholomorphic involution $\phi$. It is known that projective complex algebraic curves bijectively and functorially correspond to compact Riemann surfaces. Under this correspondence the fact that a surface $X$ is symmetric means that the corresponding curve can be defined over the reals numbers. Furthermore the non-conjugate, in the group of all automorphisms of $X$, symmetries correspond to nonisomorphic, over the reals numbers, real curves called real forms. Finally if $X$ has genus $g$ then the set $\operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$ of fixed points of $\phi$ consists of $k$ disjoint Jordan curves called ovals, where by the classical Harnack Theorem [4], $k$ varies between 0 and $g+1$. This set is homeomorphic to a smooth projective real model of the corresponding curve. Let $\varepsilon$ be the separability character of $\phi$ defined as $\varepsilon=-1$ if $X \backslash \operatorname{Fix}(\phi)$ is connected and $\varepsilon=1$ otherwise. A conjugate in $\mathrm{Aut}^{ \pm} X$ of $\phi$ is also a symmetry with the same $k$ and $\varepsilon$. We define the species $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)$ of the real form represented by $\phi$ to be the integer $\varepsilon k$.

A compact Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$ is said to be $p$-hyperelliptic if $X$ admits a conformal involution $\rho$, called a p-hyperelliptic involution, such that $X / \rho$ is an orbifold of genus $p$. This notion has been introduced by H. Farkas and I. Kra in [3] where they also proved that for $g>4 p+1$, $p$-hyperelliptic involution is unique and central in the full automorphism group of $X$. In particular cases $p=0$ and $p=1, X$ are called hyperelliptic and elliptic-hyperelliptic Rieman sufaces respectively. Let $\phi$ be a symmetry commuting with $p$-hyperelliptic involution $\rho$. F.Klein in [5] studied the species of the symmetries $\phi$ and $\phi \rho$ in the hyperelliptic case. E.Bujalance and A.Costa [2] found all possible species of such pair in the general case $p \geq$ 0 . In particular they determined the symmetry types of any $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g>4 p+1$. We show that their results can be applied for $g$ in range $3 p+1<g \leq 4 p+1$, since in this case any symmetry and $p$-hyperelliptic involution commute if $g$ is even while for odd $g$, except $g=3 p+2$ and $p \equiv 1$ (4), $X$ always admits some conformal involution commuting with any symmetry. Moreover, for any $g$ in this range, there exists a Riemann surface of genus $g$ admitting exactly two $p$-hyperelliptic involutions whose product is $(g-2 p)$-hyperelliptic involution. We present an argumentation providing more detailed results concerning symmetry types of such surface. In particular we obtain the symmetry types of any $p$-hyperelliptic surface of genus $g$ in range $4 p-2 \leq g \leq 4 p+1$.

Furthermore, we study the species of symmetries of so called
$p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface defined as a Riemann surface which is $p$ and $q$-hyerelliptic simultaneously. In [7] we proved that for $q>p$, the genus $g$ of such surface is bounded by $2 q-1 \leq g \leq 2 p+2 q+1$ and for any $g$ in this range, there exists a Riemann surface of genus $g$ admitting commuting $p$ - and $q$-hyperelliptic involutions $\delta$ and $\rho$ whose product is $t$-hyperelliptic involution if and only if $t=(g-p-q+2 k)$ for some integer $k$ in range $0 \leq k \leq(2 p+2 q+1-g) / 4$. Moreover, we justified that $p$ - and $q$-hyperelliptic involutions of a Riemann surface of genus $g>3 q+1$ are central and unique in the full automorphism group and so they commute with any symmetry $\phi$. We study the possible species of symmetries $\phi, \phi \rho, \phi \delta$ and $\phi \rho \delta$ in the case when the product $\delta \rho$ is $(g-p-q)$-hyperelliptic involution. In particular we determine the symmetry types of any $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g$ in range $2 p+2 q-2 \leq g \leq 2 p+2 q+1$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We shall approach the problem using Riemann uniformization theorem by which each compact Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$ can be represented as the orbit space of the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal{H}$ under the action of some Fuchsian surface group $\Gamma$. Furthermore a group of automorphisms (including possibly anticonformal automorphisms) of a surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ can be represented as $\Lambda / \Gamma$ for an NEC group $\Lambda$ containing $\Gamma$ as a normal subgroup. An NEC group is a discrete subgroup of the group of isometries $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with compact quotient space, including those reversing orientation. Let $\mathcal{G}^{+}$denote a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of orientation-preserving isometries. Then an NEC group is called a Fuchsian group if it is contained in $\mathcal{G}^{+}$ and a proper NEC group otherwise. Macbeath and Wilkie associated to every NEC group a signature which determines its algebraic and geometric structure. It has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g ; \pm ;\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right] ;\left\{\left(n_{11}, \ldots, n_{1 s_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(n_{k 1}, \ldots, n_{k s_{k}}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numbers $m_{i} \geq 2$ are called the proper periods, the brackets $\left(n_{i 1}, \ldots, n_{i s_{i}}\right)$ the period cycles, the numbers $n_{i j} \geq 2$ the link periods and $g \geq 0$ is said to be the orbit genus of $\Lambda$. The orbit space $\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$ is a surface having $k$ boundary components, orientable or not according to the sign being + or - and having topological genus $g$.

NEC groups with the signatures $(g ; \pm ;[-] ;\{(-), \ldots,(-)\})$ are called surface NEC groups. A Fuchsian group can be regarded as an NEC group
with the signature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g ;+;\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right] ;\{-\}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Lambda$ is a proper NEC group with the signature (2.1) then its canonical Fuchsian subgroup $\Lambda^{+}=\Lambda \cap \mathcal{G}^{+}$has the signature
$(2.3)\left(\gamma ;+;\left[m_{1}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}, m_{r}, n_{11}, \ldots n_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, n_{k 1}, \ldots, n_{k s_{k}}\right] ;\{-\}\right)$,
where $\gamma=\alpha g+k-1$ and $\alpha=2$ if the sign is + and $\alpha=1$ otherwise. The group with the signature (2.1) has a presentation given by generators:
(i) $\quad x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r, \quad$ (elliptic generators)
(ii) $c_{i j}, i=1, \ldots, k ; j=0, \ldots s_{i}, \quad$ (reflection generators )
(iii) $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k, \quad$ (boundary generators)
(iv) $a_{i}, b_{i}, i=1, \ldots g$ if the sign is,$+ \quad$ (hyperbolic generators)
$d_{i}, i=1, \ldots g$ if the sign is,$- \quad$ (glide reflection generators)
and relations
(1) $x_{i}^{m_{i}}=1, i=1, \ldots, r$,
(2) $c_{i s_{i}}=e_{i}^{-1} c_{i 0} e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$,
(3) $c_{i j-1}^{2}=c_{i j}^{2}=\left(c_{i j-1} c_{i j}\right)^{n_{i j}}=1, i=1, \ldots, k ; j=1, \ldots, s_{i}$,
(4) $x_{1} \ldots x_{r} e_{1} \ldots e_{k} a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}^{-1} b_{1}^{-1} \ldots a_{g} b_{g} a_{g}^{-1} b_{g}^{-1}=1$, if the sign is + , $x_{1} \ldots x_{r} e_{1} \ldots e_{k} d_{i}^{2} \ldots d_{g}^{2}=1$, if the sign is - .

Any system of generators of an NEC group satisfying the above relations will be called a canonical system of generators.

Every NEC group has a fundamental region, whose hyperbolic area is given by
$(2.4) \mu(\Lambda)=2 \pi\left(\alpha g+k-2+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-1 / m_{i}\right)+1 / 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{s_{i}}\left(1-1 / n_{i j}\right)\right)$,
where $\alpha$ is defined as in (2.3). It is known that an abstract group with the presentation given by the generators $(i)-(i v)$ and the relations (1) - (4) can be realized as an NEC group with the signature (2.1) if and only if the right-hand side of (2.4) is positive. If $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of finite index in an NEC group $\Lambda$ then it is an NEC group itself and the Riemann-Hurwitz formula says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\Lambda: \Gamma]=\mu(\Gamma) / \mu(\Lambda) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the following theorem of Macbetath [6] on the number of fixed points [6].

Theorem 2.1. Let $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ be a Riemann surface with the group of conformal automorphisms $G=\Lambda / \Gamma$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ be elliptic canonical generators of the Fuchsian group $\Lambda$ with periods $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ respectively. Let $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ be the canonical epimorphism and for $1 \neq h \in G$ let $\varepsilon_{i}(h)$ be 1 or 0 according as $h$ is or is not conjugate to a power of $\theta\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then the number $F(h)$ of points of $X$ fixed by $h$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(h)=\left|N_{G}(\langle h\rangle)\right| \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_{i}(h) / m_{i} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Symmetry types of $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces

Let $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ be a $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g>3 q+1$ for some $q>p$. By Theorem 3.7 in [7], $p$ - and $q$-involutions of $X$ are central and unique in the full automorphism group and so their product is $t$-hyperelliptic involution, where the possible values of $t$ are given in the next

Lemma 3.1. For any integers $g, p, q$ such that $0 \leq p \leq q, 2 q \leq g \leq$ $2 p+2 q+1$ and $g>1$, there exists a Riemann surface of genus $g$ admitting commuting $p$ - and $q$-involutions whose product is a $t$-involution if and only if $t=g-p-q+2 k$ for some integer $k$ in range $0 \leq k \leq(2 p+2 q+1-g) / 4$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 in [7], such surface exists if and only if $t$ is a nonnegative integer with $(g+1) / 2-(p+1) \leq t \leq(g+1) / 2$ for which $p+q+t-g$ is even and nonnegative. Thus $t=g-p-q+2 k$ for some integer $k$. If $l$ denotes an integer such that $(2 p+2 q+1)-4(l+1)<g \leq(2 p+2 q+1)-4 l$ then $k \leq l$ and so $0 \leq k \leq(2 p+2 q+1-g) / 4$.

In particular for any $p, q, g$ such that $2 \leq p<q<2 p$ and $g>3 q+1$, there exists a $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g$ with central $p$ and $q$-involutions whose product is a $(g-p-q)$-involution. The next theorem determines the symmetry types of such surface.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be a symmetric Riemann surface of genus $g$ admitting $p$ - and $q$-hyperelliptic involutions $\delta$ and $\rho$ such that $\rho \delta$ is a $(g-p-q)$ hyperelliptic involution for some integers $p, q, g$ such that $p<q<2 p$, $3 q+1<g \leq 2 p+2 q+1$, and let $\phi$ be a symmetry of $X$. Then $\rho, \delta$ and $\phi$ pairwise commute and the possible species of symmetries $\phi, \phi \rho, \phi \delta$ and $\phi \rho \delta$ are:
(i) If $g \equiv 0$ (2):
$(0,-1,-1,-1),(-1,0,-1,-1),(-1,-1,0,-1),(-1,-1,-1,0)$.
(ii) If $g \equiv 1$ (2) and $g \neq 2 p+2 q+1$ :
$(0,0,0,0),(-1,-1,-1,-1)$,
$(0,-2,-2,-2),(-2,0,-2,-2),(-2,-2,0,-2),(-2,-2,-2,0)$,
$(-2 a,-2 a, 0,0),(0,0,-2 a,-2 a), \quad 1 \leq a \leq(g+1-2 q) / 2$,
$(-2 b, 0,-2 b, 0),(0,-2 b, 0,-2 b), \quad 1 \leq b \leq(g+1-2 p) / 2$,
$(-2 c, 0,0,-2 c),(0,-2 c,-2 c, 0) \quad 1 \leq c \leq(2 p+2 q+1-g) / 2$,
$(+d, 0,0,0),(0,+d, 0,0),(0,0,+d, 0),(0,0,0,+d)$,
where $d=2$ or $d=4$ according to $p q \equiv 0$ (2) or $p q \equiv 1$ (2).
(iii) If $g=2 p+2 q+1$ :
$(+(2 q+2), 0,+(2 q+2), 0),(0,+(2 q+2), 0,+(2 q+2))$,
$(+(2 p+2),+(2 p+2), 0,0),(0,0,+(2 p+2),+(2 p+2))$ and those listed in
(ii) except $(-2 c, 0,0,-2 c),(0,-2 c,-2 c, 0)$.

In particular this theorem determines the symmetry types of any $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2 p+2 q-2$.
Proof. Let $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ be a Riemann surface defined in the theorem and let $t=g-p-q$. Then there exist Fuchsian groups $\Gamma_{p}, \Gamma_{q}$ and $\Gamma_{t}$ admitting $\Gamma$ as a subgroup of index 2 such that $\langle\delta\rangle \simeq \Gamma_{p} / \Gamma,\langle\rho\rangle \simeq \Gamma_{q} / \Gamma$ and $\langle\rho \delta\rangle \simeq$ $\Gamma_{t} / \Gamma$. By the Hurwitz Riemann formula, $\sigma\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=\left(j ;+;\left[2,{ }^{2 g+2-4 j}, 2\right]\right)$ for $j=p, q, t$ and so $j$-hyperelliptic involution admits $2 g+2-4 j$ fixed points. By Theorem $3.7[7], p$ - and $q$-hyperelliptic involutions of $X$ are unique and central in the full automorphism group. Thus $\rho, \delta$ and $\phi$ generate the group $G=Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$ which is isomorphic to $\Lambda / \Gamma$ for an NEC group $\Lambda$ with a signature

$$
\left(g^{\prime} ; \pm ;[2, \ldots . r, 2] ;\left\{\left(2, \cdot r_{1} ., 2\right), \ldots,\left(2, r_{s}, 2\right)\right\}\right),
$$

where $g^{\prime}, r, r_{i}$ are nonnegative integers for which $\mu(\Lambda)$ given by (2.4) is positive. Let $\Lambda^{+}$be the canonical Fuchsian subgroup of $\Lambda$. Then $G^{+}=$ $\Lambda^{+} / \Gamma$ is a subgroup of $G$ generated by $\rho$ and $\delta$. By Theorem 2.1 and the Hutwitz-Riemann formula, $\Lambda^{+}$has the signature ( $0 ;+;[2, g+\underset{g+3}{2}, 2]$ ). Thus by (2.3), $g+3=2 r+\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}$ and $0=\alpha g^{\prime}+s-1$, where $\alpha=2$ or 1 according to the sign in $\sigma(\Lambda)$ being + or - . So there are only two possible signatures of $\Lambda$ :

$$
\tau_{1}=\left(1 ;-;\left[2,{ }^{(g+3) / 2}, 2\right] ;\{-\}\right) \text { or } \tau_{2}=\left(0 ;+;\left[2,{ }^{\left(g+3-r_{1}\right) / 2}, 2\right] ;\left\{\left(2, r^{r_{1}}, 2\right)\right\}\right) .
$$

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ and $\phi_{4}$ denote the symmetries $\phi, \phi \rho, \phi \delta$ and $\phi \rho \delta$ respectively and let $S$ be the sequence of species $\left(s p\left(\phi_{1}\right), s p\left(\phi_{2}\right), s p\left(\phi_{3}\right), s p\left(\phi_{4}\right)\right)$. For
$i=1,2,3,4$, let $\Lambda_{i}$ denote an NEC group such that $\phi_{i} \cong \Lambda_{i} / \Gamma$. By the Hurwitz-Riemann formula, $\Lambda_{i}$ has one of the following signatures
$\left(\left(g+1-k_{i}\right) / 2 ;+;[-] ;\left\{(-) . ._{i} .,(-)\right\}\right)$ or $\left(g+1-k_{i} ;-;[-] ;\left\{(-) . ._{i} .,(-)\right\}\right)$.
The number $k_{i}$ of empty period cycles and the sign in $\sigma\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$ determine the species of $\phi_{i}$. We shall find them using theorems of section 2 in [1]. If $\sigma(\Lambda)=\tau_{1}$ then $g$ is odd and $S=(0,0,0,0)$. So assume that $\sigma(\Lambda)=\tau_{2}$. Let $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ be the canonical epimorphism and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, e, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{r_{1}}$ denote the canonical generators of $\Lambda$. First suppose that $r_{1}=0$. Then $r=(g+3) / 2$ and so $g$ is odd. Let $l \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ be an integer such that $\theta\left(c_{0}\right)=\phi_{l}$. Then $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=0$ for $i \neq l$ and $k_{l}=4$ or 2 according to $\theta(e)$ is or is not the identity. By Theorem 2.1, $\theta$ maps $(g+1) / 2-j$ of elliptic generators onto $j$-hyperelliptic involution for $j=p, q, t$ and so $\theta(e)=\theta\left(x_{r}\right) . \stackrel{r}{.} \theta\left(x_{1}\right)$ is identity only if both integers $p$ and $q$ are odd. Since any nonorientable word does not belong to $\Lambda_{l}$, it follows that $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{l}\right)=+4$ or +2 according to $p q$ being odd or even.

Next assume that $r_{1} \neq 0$. For any pair $(l, m)$ of indices from the set $\{1,2,3,4\}$, let $\Lambda_{l, m}$ denote $\theta^{-1}\left(\left\langle\phi_{l}, \phi_{m}\right\rangle\right)$ and suppose that $\phi_{l} \phi_{m}$ is $j(l, m)$ hyperelliptic involution for some $j(l, m) \in\{p, q, t\}$. The epimorphism $\theta$ cannot transform all the canonical reflections of $\Lambda$ onto the same symmetry $\phi_{l}$ since otherwise $\sigma\left(\Lambda_{l}\right)$ would have nonempty period-cycle. First suppose that every canonical reflection belongs to $\Lambda_{l, m}$ for some fixed pair (l,m). Since $\Gamma$ is a surface group, it follows that $\theta\left(c_{0}\right)=\theta\left(c_{2 i}\right)$ and $\theta\left(c_{2 i-1}\right)=$ $\theta\left(c_{0}\right) \phi_{l} \phi_{m}$ for $i=1, \ldots,\left[r_{1} / 2\right]$. Thus the relation $\theta\left(c_{r_{1}}\right)=\theta(e)^{-1} \theta\left(c_{0}\right) \theta(e)$ implies that $r_{1}$ is even, which needs odd $g$ ones again. By Theorem 2.3.3 in [1], $k_{l}=k_{m}=r_{1}$ and $k_{i}=0$ for $i \neq l, m$. Since every period of the period-cycle in $\sigma(\Lambda)$ provides two proper periods in the signature of $\Gamma_{j(l, m)}$, it follows that $r_{1}$ does not exceed $F_{j(l, m)} / 2$. If $r_{1}<F_{j(l, m)} / 2$ then there exists an elliptic generator $x_{k} \in \Lambda$ such that $\theta\left(x_{k}\right)=\phi_{l} \phi_{m}$. So $x_{k} c_{0}$ and $x_{k} c_{1}$ are nonorientable words such that one of them belongs to $\Lambda_{l}$ while the other one to $\Lambda_{m}$ and consequently $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{l}\right)=\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=-r_{1}$. Now assume that $r_{1}=F_{j(l, m)} / 2$. If $g \neq 2 p+2 q+1$ then the sets $F_{p}, F_{q}$ and $F_{t}$ are nonempty and so there exist two elliptic generators of $\Lambda$, say $x_{k}$ and $x_{n}$, such that $\theta\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $\theta\left(x_{n}\right)$ are two different involutions from the set $\{\rho, \delta, \rho \delta\} \backslash\left\{\phi_{l} \phi_{m}\right\}$. Since $\theta\left(x_{k}\right) \theta\left(x_{n}\right)=\phi_{l} \phi_{m}$, it follows that $x_{k} x_{n} c_{0}$ and $x_{k} x_{n} c_{1}$ are two nonorientable words such that one of them belongs to $\Lambda_{l}$ and the other one to $\Lambda_{m}$. Consequently we obtain the same sequence $S$ of species as before. If $g=2 p+2 q+1$ then $F_{t}=0$ and so neither $\Lambda_{l}$ nor $\Lambda_{m}$ does not admit any nonorientable word and consequently $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{l}\right)=\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=+r_{1}$.

Now suppose that for every pair $(l, m)$ of indices from $\{1,2,3,4\}$, there exists a canonical reflection not belonging to $\Lambda_{l, m}$. Since the periods in a period-cycle can be cyclically reordered we can assume that there exist $\alpha, \beta$ in range $0 \leq \alpha<\beta<r_{1}$ such that $c_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, c_{\beta} \notin \Lambda_{l, m}$ and $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}, c_{\beta+1}, \ldots, c_{r_{1}} \in \Lambda_{l, m}$. Since $\theta\left(c_{i}\right) \neq \theta\left(c_{i+1}\right)$ for every $i=0, \ldots, r_{1}-$ 1, it follows that every period in the period-cycle but $n_{0 \alpha+1}$ and $n_{0 \beta+1}$ provides proper periods in the signature of $\Gamma_{j(l, m)}$ while the exceptional periods $n_{0 \alpha+1}$ and $n_{0 \beta+1}$ provide the proper periods in the signature of $\Gamma_{j(a, b)}$ for some $a \in\{l, m\}$ and $b \notin\{l, m\}$. Repeating above argumentation for the pair $(a, b)$ we obtain that $r_{1}-2$ periods of period-cycle provide the proper periods in the signature of $\Gamma_{j(a, b)}$ which implies that $r_{1}-2=1$ or $r_{1}-2=2$. Since $r_{1}=g+3-2 r$, it follows that $g$ is even in the first case and odd in the second one. If $r_{1}=3$ then there exists $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ such that none of canonical reflections does not belong to $\Lambda_{i}$ and so $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=0$. For $k \neq i$, there exists nonorientable word in $\Lambda_{k}$ expressible as a composition of elliptic generators and a reflection and so $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{k}\right)=-1$. Next assume that $r_{1}=4$. If there exists $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ such that $\theta\left(c_{t}\right) \neq \phi_{i}$ for $t=0, \ldots, r_{1}$ then $\operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=0, \operatorname{sp}\left(\phi_{k}\right)=-2$ for $k \neq i$ and otherwise $S=(-1,-1,-1,-1)$.

Finally for any sequence $S$ listed in the theorem, there exists an NEC group $\Lambda$ and an epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$ such that $X=\mathcal{H} / \operatorname{ker} \theta$ is a $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface with symmetries $\phi, \phi \rho, \rho \delta \phi \rho \delta$ having species $S$.

By Theorem 3.7 in [7] and Lemma 3.1, for any $p q$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g$ in range $2 p+2 q-2 \leq g \leq 2 p+2 q+1$, the product of $p$ and $q$-involutions is $(g-p-q)$-involution and so this theorem determines the symmetry types of such surface.

## 4. On symmetric $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces

Let $\phi$ and $\rho$ be a symmetry and $p$-hyperelliptic involution of a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g>1$. E. Bujalance and A. Costa in [2] determined the possible species of the pair of symmetries $\phi$ and $\phi \rho$ in the case when $\phi$ and $\rho$ commute. In particular, they determined the symmetry types of any $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g>4 p+1$. The next lemma shows that their results can be applied for some lower genera either.

Lemma 4.1. Let $X$ be a symmetric $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g$ in range $3 p+1<g \leq 4 p+1$. If $g$ is even then any symmetry $\phi$ and $p$-hyperelliptic involution $\rho$ of $X$ commute. If $\phi$ and $\rho$ do not commute for
some odd $g$, then $(\phi \rho)^{2}$ is $(g-2 p+2 k)$-hyperelliptic involution for some integer $k$ in range $0 \leq k \leq(4 p+1-g) / 4$ and $(\phi \rho)^{2}$ is central in the full automorphism group of $X$ except $g=3 p+2$ and $p \equiv 1$ (4).

Proof. Let $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ be a $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g>3 p+1$ and suppose that $\phi$ is a symmetry not commuting with $p$ hyperelliptic involution $\rho$. Then $\rho^{\prime}=\phi \rho \phi$ is another $p$-hyperelliptic involution of $X$. By Theorem 3.2 in [8], every two $p$-involutions of $X$ commute. Thus $\phi$ and $\rho$ generate the dihedral group $G$ of order 8 which can be identified with $\Lambda / \Gamma$ for some NEC group $\Lambda$. Let $\Lambda^{+}$be the canonical subgroup of $\Lambda$. Then $\Lambda^{+} / \Gamma$ is isomorphic to the group $Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$ generated by $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.1, the product $\rho \rho^{\prime}$ is $(g-2 p+2 k)$-hyperelliptic involution, for some integer $k$ in range $0 \leq k \leq(4 p+1-g) / 4$. Thus by Theorem 2.1 and the Hurwitz-Riemann formula, $\sigma\left(\Lambda^{+}\right)=\left(k ;+;\left[2,{ }^{g+3-4 k}, 3\right]\right)$ and consequently by $(2.3), \sigma(\Lambda)=\left(\gamma ; \pm ;[2, . \stackrel{r}{.}, 2] ;\left\{\left(2, ._{.} . ., 2\right)_{i=1, \ldots, s},(-), .^{u} .,(-)\right\}\right)$, for some integers $r, r_{i}, s, u$ such that $\alpha \gamma+s+u=k$ and $2 r+\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}=$ $g+3-4 k$. The canonical epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ maps the canonical reflections of $\Lambda$ onto $\phi$ or $\rho \phi \rho$. Since $\Gamma$ is a surface Fuchsian group, it follows that $\theta\left(c_{i j-1}\right) \neq \theta\left(c_{i j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r_{i}$. Furthermore $\theta\left(c_{i r_{i}}\right)=\theta\left(e_{i}\right)^{-1} \theta\left(c_{i 0}\right) \theta\left(e_{i}\right)^{-1}$, which implies that $r_{i}$ is even for $i=1, \ldots, s$ and consequently $g=2 r+\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}+4 k-3$ is odd. Thus any symmetry of $p$-hyperelliptic surface of even genus $g>3 p+1$ commutes with $p$-hyperelliptic involution. Finally by Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.5 in [8], for any $g>3 p+1$ except $g=3 p+2$ and $p \equiv 1$ (4), $X$ can admit at most two $p$-involutions which means that $\rho \rho^{\prime}$ is central in the full automorphism group of $X$.

So if a symmetry $\phi$ and $p$-hyperelliptic involution $\rho$ of a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g>3 p+1$ do not commute then $X$ is $t$-hyperelliptic, where $t=g-2 p+2 k$ for some $k$ in range $0 \leq k \leq(4 p+1-g) / 4$. Furthermore, except the case when $g=3 p+2$ and $p \equiv 1(4), \phi$ is commuting with a $t$-hyperelliptic involution of $X$ and consequently we can determine the possible species of $\phi$ using results of Bujalance and Costa.

For any $p>0$ and $g$ in range $3 p+1<g \leq 4 p+1$, there exists a Riemann surface admitting two $p$-involutions whose product is $(g-2 p)$-involution. The next theorem determines the symmetry types of such surface.

Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be a symmetric Riemann surface of genus $g>3 p+1$, except $g=3 p+2$ and $p \equiv 1(4)$, admitting two $p$-hyperelliptic involutions whose product is $(g-2 p)$-hyperelliptic involution and let $\phi$ be a symmetry
of $X$. Then for even $g, \operatorname{sp}(\phi)=0$ or -1 . If $g$ is odd then $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)$ is one of integers $0,-1,-2 a,+d$, where $d=2$ or $d=4$ according to $p \equiv 0$ (2) or $p \equiv 1$ (2) or $d=2 p+2$ for $g=4 p+1$ and $a$ is positive integer not exceeding $(g+1-2 p) / 2$ if $\phi$ commutes with $p$-involutions and not exceeding $(4 p+1-g) / 2$ otherwise.

Proof. Let $\phi$ be a symmetry of a $p$-hyperelliptic Riemann surface $X$ defined in theorem. If $\phi$ commutes with $p$-involutions of $X$ then we can find $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)$ by repeating the argumentation from the proof of Theorem 3.2 for $q=p$. In particular, using the previous Lemma we obtain that $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)=0$ or -1 if $g$ is even. So suppose that $\phi$ does not commute with some $p$-involution $\rho$ of $X$ and let $\rho^{\prime}=\phi \rho \phi$. Then by the proof of Lemma 4.1, the involutions $\phi$ and $\rho$ generate the dihedral group $G$ of order 8 which can be identified with $\Lambda / \Gamma$ for some NEC group $\Lambda$ with one of signatures $\tau_{1}=\left(1 ;-;\left[{ }^{(g+3) / 2}, 2\right] ;\{-\}\right)$ or $\tau_{2}=\left(0 ;+;[2, . r ., 2] ;\left\{\left(2, r^{r_{1}}, 2\right)\right\}\right)$, where $2 r+r_{1}=g+3$. Let $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$ be the canonical epimorphism. Then $\Lambda^{\prime}=\theta^{-1}(\langle\phi, \rho \phi \rho\rangle)$ and $\Gamma_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}=\theta^{-1}\left(\rho \rho^{\prime}\right)$ are normal subgroups of $\Lambda$ of indices 2 and 4 respectively. If $\sigma(\Lambda)=\tau_{1}$ then $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has not any period cycle and consequently $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)=\operatorname{sp}(\rho \phi \rho)=0$. So assume that $\sigma(\Lambda)=\tau_{2}$. For any $1 \neq h \in G$, let $s_{h}$ denote the number of elliptic generators $x_{i}$ of $\Lambda$ such that $\theta\left(x_{i}\right)=h$. Then by Theorem 2.2.4 in [1], the number of periods in the signature of $\Gamma_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}$ is equal to $4 s_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}+2 r_{1}$. On the other hand such number is equal to $2 g+2-4(g-2 p)=8 p+2-2 g$ and so $s_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}=\left(4 p+1-g-r_{1}\right) / 2$. Thus $s_{\phi \rho \phi}+s_{\rho}=r-s_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}=g+1-2 p$ is even which means that $s_{\phi \rho \phi}$ and $s_{\rho}$ have the same parities and consequently the relation $\theta\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{r} e_{1}\right)=1$ implies that $\theta\left(e_{1}\right)=1$ or $\rho \rho^{\prime}$. So $e_{1} \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and by Theorem 2.3 .2 in [1], $\Lambda^{\prime}$ admits two period-cycles of the form ( $2, . r_{1}, 2$ ). Since every period in the period cycle of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ provides one proper period in the signature of $\Gamma_{\rho \rho^{\prime}}$, it follows that $r_{1} \leq F\left(\rho \rho^{\prime}\right) / 2=4 p+1-g$. For $g=4 p+1, F\left(\rho \rho^{\prime}\right)=0$, which means that there is no proper periods nor link periods in the signature of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and consequently $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)=\operatorname{sp}(\rho \phi \rho)=+1$ or +2 . For $g \neq 4 p+1$, $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)=\operatorname{sp}(\rho \phi \rho)=-1$ or -2 if $r_{1}=0$ and $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)=\operatorname{sp}(\rho \phi \rho)= \pm r_{1}$ otherwise, where the sign is - for $r_{1}<4 p+1-g$ and the sign is + for $r_{1}=4 p+1-g$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\phi$ be a symmetry of a Riemann surface of genus $g$ in range $4 p-2 \leq g \leq 4 p+1$ admitting two $p$-hyperelliptic involutions. Then the possible species of $\phi$ are given in the table, where $d=2$ or $d=4$ according to $p$ is or is not even.

| $g$ | $\operatorname{sp}(\phi)$ | Conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4 p+1$ | $0, \pm 1, \pm 2,-2 a,+(2 p+2),+d$ | $1 \leq a \leq p+1, p>0$ |
| $4 p$ | $0,-1$ | $p>1$ |
| $4 p-1$ | $0,-1, \pm 2,-2 a,+d$ | $1 \leq a \leq p, p>2$ |
| $4 p-2$ | $0,-1$ | $p>3$ |
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