Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics Vol. 35, N^o 4, pp. 469-479, December 2016. Universidad Católica del Norte Antofagasta - Chile DOI: 10.4067/S0716-09172016000400008

Asymptotically Double Lacunary Statistically Equivalent Sequences of Interval Numbers

Ayhan Esi
Adiyaman University, Turkey
Shyamal Debnath
Tripura University, India
and
Subrata Saha
Tripura University, India

Received: June 2016. Accepted: October 2016

Abstract

In this paper we have introduced the concept of asymptotically double lacunary statistically equivalent of interval numbers and strong asymptotically double lacunary statistically equivalent of interval numbers. We have investigated the relations related to these spaces.

Subjclass [2010]: 40C05, 46A45.

Keywords: asymptotically, Lacunary, Interval number.

1. Introduction

Interval arithmetic was first suggested by Dwyer [22] in 1951. Development of interval arithmetic as a formal system and evidence of its value as a computational device was provided by Moore [25] in 1959 and Moore and Yang [26] in 1962. Furthermore, Moore and others [23, 24] have developed applications to differential equations.

Chiao in [18] introduced sequence of interval numbers and defined usual convergence of sequences of interval number. Şengönül and Eryilmaz in [21] introduced and studied bounded and convergent sequence spaces of interval numbers and showed that these spaces are complete metric space.

In the recent days, Esi in [1] and [2] introduced and studied strongly almost λ - convergence and statistically almost λ - convergence of interval numbers and lacunary sequence spaces of interval numbers, respectively. For more information about interval numbers one may refer to Debnath and Saha [31], Debnath et al. [29, 30].

The idea of statistical convergence for ordinary sequences was introduced by Fast [12] in 1951. Schoenberg [15] studied statistical convergence as a summability method and listed some of elementary properties of statistical convergence. Both of these authors noted that if bounded sequence is statistically convergent, then it is Cesaro summable. Existing work on statistical convergence appears to have been restricted to real or complex sequence, but several authors extended the idea to apply to sequences of fuzzy numbers and also introduced and discussed the concept of statistically convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers. For some very interesting investigations concerning statistical convergence, one may consult the papers of Cakalli [11], Miller [13], Maddox [14] and many others, where more references on this important summability method can be found.

In 1993, Marouf [19] presented definitions for asymptotically equivalent sequences and asymptotic regular matrices. In 2003, Patterson [27] extended these concepts by presenting as asymptotically statistical equivalent analog of these definitions and natural regularity conditions for nonnegative summability matrices.

2. Preliminaries

We denote the set of all real valued closed intervals by **R**. Any elements of **R** is called interval number and denoted by $\overline{A} = [x_l, x_r]$. Let x_l and x_r be first and last points of \overline{x} interval number, respectively. For $\overline{A}_1, \overline{A}_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

 $\overline{A}_1 = \overline{A}_2 \Leftrightarrow x_{1_l} = x_{2_l}, x_{1_r} = x_{2_r}. \overline{A}_1 + \overline{A}_2 = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : x_{1_l} + x_{2_l} \le x \le x_{1_r} + x_{2_r}\},$ and if $\alpha \ge 0$, then

 $\alpha \overline{\underline{A}} = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : \ \alpha x_{1_l} \le x \le \alpha x_{1_r} \} \text{ and if } \alpha < 0, \text{ then } \alpha < 0 \}$

 $\alpha \overline{A} = \{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \alpha x_{1_r} \le x \le \alpha x_{1_l} \},$

$$\overline{A}_1.\overline{A}_2$$

 $= \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \min \left\{ x_{1_l}.x_{2_l}, x_{1_l}.x_{2_r}, x_{1_r}.x_{2_l}, x_{1_r}.x_{2_r} \right\} \le x \\ \le \max \left\{ x_{1_l}.x_{2_l}, x_{1_l}.x_{2_r}, x_{1_r}.x_{2_l}, x_{1_r}.x_{2_r} \right\}.$

The set of all interval numbers \mathbf{R} is a complete metric space defined by

$$d\left(\overline{A}_{1},\overline{A}_{2}\right)=\max\left\{ \left|x_{1_{l}}-x_{2_{l}}\right|,\left|x_{1_{r}}-x_{2_{r}}\right|\right\} .$$

In the special case $\overline{A}_1 = [a, a]$ and $\overline{A}_2 = [b, b]$, we obtain usual metric of \mathbf{R} . Let us define transformation $f: \mathbf{N} \to I\mathbf{R}$ by $k \to f(k) = \overline{A^k}$, $\overline{A} = \left(\overline{A}_k\right)$. Then $\overline{A} = \left(\overline{A}_k\right)$ is called sequence of interval numbers. The \overline{A}_k is called k^{th} term of sequence $\overline{A} = \left(\overline{A}_k\right)$. w^i denotes the set of all interval numbers with real terms.

A double sequence of real numbers is a function x: $N \times N \to R$. We shall use the notation $x = (x_{k,l})$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ has a Pringsheim limit L (denoted by P-lim x = L) provided that given an $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $N_0 \in N$ such that $|(x_{k,l}) - L| < \varepsilon$ whenever k, l > L. We shall describe such an $x = (x_{k,l})$ more briefly as "P-convergent". The double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is bounded if there exists a positive number M such that $|(x_{k,l}) - L| < M$ for all k and l, and

$$||x|| = \sup_{k,l} |x_{k,l}| < \infty.$$

Let $p = (p_{k,l})$ be a double sequence of positive real numbers. If $0 < h = \inf_{k,l} p_{k,l} \le p_{k,l} \le H = \sup_{k,l} p_{k,l} < \infty$ and $D = max(1, 2^{H-1})$, then for all $a_{k,l}, b_{k,l} \in C$ for all $k, l \in N$, we have

$$|a_{k,l} + b_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} \le D (|a_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} + |b_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}}).$$

We should note that in contrast to the case for single sequences, a convergent double sequence need not be bounded. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \le n : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set. Later, Mursaleen and Edely [20] defined the statistical analogue for double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ as follows:

A real double sequence $x=(x_{k,l})$ is said to be P - statistical convergence to L provided that for each $\varepsilon>0$

$$P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} |\{(k,l) : k < m, l < n; |x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

In this case, we write $St_2 - \lim_{k,l} x_{k,l} = L$ and we denote the set of all P- statistical convergent double sequences by St_2 .

By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$; r = 0, 1, 2, ... where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r , where r > 1. The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequence space N_θ was defined by Freedman et al. [10] as follows:

$$N_{\theta} = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \to L_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L\}.$$

The double sequence $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing of integers such that $\mathbf{k}_o = 0, \ h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ and $\mathbf{l}_o = 0, \ \overline{h_s} = l_s - l_{s-1} \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$.

Notations: $k_{r,s} = k_r l_s$, $h_{r,s} = h_r \overline{h_s}$ and $\theta_{r,s}$ is determined by $I_{r,s} = \{(k,l): k_{r-1} < k \le k_r \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \le l_s\}$, $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \overline{q_s} = \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}}$ and $q_{r,s} = q_r \overline{q_s}$.

The set of all double lacunary sequences denoted by $N_{\theta_{r,s}} = \{x = (x_k) : P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \Sigma_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} | x_{k,l} - L | = 0, \text{ for some } L\}$

Definition 1.1. [1] A sequence $\overline{A} = (\overline{A}_k)$ of interval numbers is said to be convergent to the interval number \overline{A}_o if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k_o such that $d(\overline{A}_k, \overline{A}_o) < \varepsilon$ for all $k \ge k_o$ and we denote it by $\lim_k \overline{A}_k = \overline{A}_o$.

Thus,
$$\lim_k \overline{A}_k = \overline{A}_o \Leftrightarrow \lim_k x_{k_l} = x_{o_l}$$
 and $\lim_k x_{k_r} = x_{o_r}$.

For more information about interval numbers one can refer to Esi [1-9] and Debnath and Saha [29-31]:

Definition 1.2. [19] Two non-negative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent if $\lim_{k} \frac{x_k}{y_k} = 1$ (denoted by $x \sim y$).

Definition 1.3. [16] The sequence $x = (x_k)$ has statistical limit L, denoted by st - $\lim x = L$ provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \{ the number of \ k \le n : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon \} = 0.$$

Definition 1.4.[28] Two non-negative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \{ the number of \ k \le n : \ |\frac{x_k}{y_k} - L| \ge \varepsilon \} = 0.$$

(denoted by xS_Ly), and simply asymptotically statistical equivalent if L=1.

Definition 1.5.[28] Let θ be a lacunary sequence; the two non-negative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent of multiple L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0$$

(denoted by $xS_{\theta}^{L}y$) and simply asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent if L=1.

Definition 1.6. Let θ be a lacunary sequence; two number sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are strong asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple L provided that

$$\lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| = 0$$

(denoted by $xN_{\theta}^{L}y$) and simply strong asymptotically lacunary equivalent if L=1.

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1 Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ be a double lacunary sequence. Two non negative double interval sequences $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_{kl})$ and $\overline{y} = (\overline{y}_{kl}) \neq \overline{0} = [0,0]$ are said to be asymptotically double lacunary statistical equivalent of interval number \overline{x}_0 provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_0\right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0$$

(denoted by $\overline{x}S_{\theta_{r,s}}\overline{y}$) and simply $\theta_{r,s}$ —asymptotically double lacunary statistical equivalent if

 $\overline{x}_0 = \overline{1}$. In the special case $\theta_{r,s} = \{(2^r, 2^s)\}$, we shall write $S_{r,s}$ instead of $S_{\theta_{r,s}}$.

Definition 3.2 Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ be a double lacunary sequence and p $= (p_{k,l})$ be any double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Two non negative double sequences of interval numbers $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_{kl})$ and $\overline{y} = (\overline{y}_{kl})$ are said to be strong asymptotically double lacunary statistical equivalent of interval number \overline{x}_0 provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{k \in I_{r,s}} \left[d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_0\right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} = 0$$

(denoted by $\overline{x}2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^p}\overline{y}$) and simply $\theta_{r,s}$ — strong asymptotically double lacunary statistical equivalent if $\overline{x}_0 = \overline{1}$. In the special case $\theta_{r,s} = \{(2^r, 2^s)\}$, we shall write 2^{N^p} instead of $2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^p}$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ be a double lacunary sequence. Then (i)If $\overline{x}2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^p}\overline{y}$ then $\overline{x}S_{\theta_{r,s}}\overline{y}$

- (ii) If $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_{kl}) \in \overline{l}_{\infty}$ and $\overline{x} S_{\theta_{r,s}} \overline{y}$ then $\overline{x} 2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^p} \overline{y}$.
- (iii) If $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_{kl}) \in \overline{l}_{\infty}$ then $S_{\theta_{r,s}} \cap l_{\infty} = 2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^p} \cap \overline{l}_{\infty}$, where \overline{l}_{∞} denote the set of bounded sequences.

Proof: (i) Let
$$\epsilon > 0$$
 and $\overline{x}2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{p}}\overline{y}$, then $\left|\left\{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}: d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right| \geq \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s} \text{ and } d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \epsilon} d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right)$ and P- $\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{k \in I_{r,s}} \left[d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right)\right]^{p_{k,l}} = 0$

This implies that $\operatorname{P-lim}_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_0 \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0$ Therefore, $\overline{x} S_{\theta_{r,s}} \overline{y}$

(ii) Suppose that $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_{kl})$ and $\overline{y} = (\overline{y}_{kl})$ in \overline{l}_{∞} and $\overline{x}S_{\theta_{r,s}}\overline{y}$. Then there is a constant M > 0 such that $d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_0\right) \leq M$

Given
$$\epsilon > 0$$
. So we have
$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} \left[d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \right]^{p_{k,l}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} and \ d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \ge \epsilon \left[d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \right]^{p_{k,l}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} and \ d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) < \epsilon \left[d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \right]^{p_{k,l}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} and \ d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \ge \epsilon \max(M^{h}, M^{H})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} and \ d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) < \epsilon$$

$$\leq \max(M^{h}, M^{H}) \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : \ d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| + \max(\epsilon^{h}, \epsilon^{H})$$

Therefore, $\overline{x}2^{N_{\theta_{r,s}}^p}\overline{y}$.

(iii) It follows from (i) and (ii).

Theorem 3.2 Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ be a double lacunary sequence with \lim $\inf_r q_r > 1$ and $\lim \inf_s \overline{q}_s > 1$ then $\overline{x} S_{r,s} \overline{y}$ implies $\overline{x} S_{\theta_{r,s}} \overline{y}$.

Proof: Suppose that $\liminf_{r} q_r > 1$ and $\liminf_{s} q_s > 1$ then there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $q_r>1+\delta,\,\overline{q}_s>1+\delta$ for sufficiently large r and s, which implies $\frac{h_r}{k_r} \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}$ and $\frac{\overline{h}_s}{l_s} \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}$. Since $h_{r,s} = k_r l_s - k_r l_{s-1} - k_{r-1} l_s - k_{r-1} l_{s-1}$

Since
$$h_{r,s} = k_r l_s - k_r l_{s-1} - k_{r-1} l_s - k_{r-1} l_{s-1}$$

We granted the following

$$\frac{k_r l_s}{h_{r,s}} \le \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{\delta^2} \text{ and } \frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \le \frac{1}{\delta}$$

 $\frac{k_r l_s}{h_{r,s}} \leq \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{\delta^2} \text{ and }$ $\frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$ If $\overline{x} S_{r,s} \overline{y}$ then for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for sufficiently large r and s, we have

$$\frac{1}{k_{r}l_{s}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s}; k \leq k_{r} \text{ and } l \leq l_{s} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\
\geq \frac{1}{k_{r}l_{s}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\
\geq \frac{(1+\delta)^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

Hence, $\overline{x}S_{\theta_r}, \overline{y}$.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ be a double lacunary sequence with $\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{r} ds$ $\sup_{r} q_r < \infty$ and $\lim \sup_{s} \overline{q}_s < \infty$ then $\overline{x} S_{\theta_{r,s}} \overline{y}$ implies $\overline{x} S_{r,s} \overline{y}$.

Proof: If $\lim \sup_{r} q_r < \infty$ and $\lim \sup_{s} \overline{q}_s < \infty$ then there exists D > 0 such that $q_r < D$ and $\overline{q}_s < D$ for all r, $s \ge 1$. Let $\overline{x}S_{\theta_{r,s}}\overline{y}$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $r_0 < 0$ and $s_0 > 0$ such that for every $i \ge r_0$ and $j \ge s_0$

$$C_{i,j} = \frac{1}{h_{i,j}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{i,j} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_0 \right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| < \varepsilon$$

Let $M = \max \{C_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le r_0 \text{ and } 1 \le j \le s_0\}$ and m and n be such that $k_{r-1} < m \le k_r$ and $l_{s-1} < n \le l_s$. Thus we obtain the following

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{i,j}; k \leq m \text{ and } l \leq n : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{i,j}; k \leq k_{r} \text{ and } l \leq l_{s} : d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(1 \leq t \leq r_{0}) \bigcup (1 \leq u \leq s_{0})} h_{t,u} C_{t,u} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_{0} < t \leq r) \bigcup (s_{0} < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} C_{t,u} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(1 \leq t \leq r_{0}) \bigcup (1 \leq u \leq s_{0})} h_{t,u} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_{0} < t \leq r) \bigcup (s_{0} < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} C_{t,u} \\ &\leq \frac{Mk_{r_{0}}l_{s_{0}}r_{0}s_{0}}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \left(sup_{t \geq r_{0} \bigcup u \geq s_{0}} C_{t,u}\right) \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_{0} < t \leq r) \bigcup (s_{0} < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} \\ &\leq \frac{Mk_{r_{0}}l_{s_{0}}r_{0}s_{0}}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_{0} < t \leq r) \bigcup (s_{0} < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} \\ &\leq \frac{Mk_{r_{0}}l_{s_{0}}r_{0}s_{0}}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \varepsilon D^{2} \end{split}$$

Since k_r and l_s both approach infinity as both m and n approach infinity it follows that

$$\frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{i,j}; k \leq m \text{ and } l \leq n: \ d\left(\frac{\overline{x}_{k,l}}{\overline{y}_{k,l}}, \overline{x}_0\right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \to 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ be a double lacunary sequence with $1 < \lim \inf_{r,s} q_{r,s} \le \lim \sup_{r,s} \overline{q}_{r,s} < \infty$ then $\overline{x} S_{r,s} \overline{y} = \overline{x} S_{\theta_{r,s}} \overline{y}$

Proof: The result clearly follows from theorem 3.2 and theorem 3.3.

References

- [1] A. Esi, Strongly almost convergence and statistically almost convergence of interval numbers, Sci. Magna, 7 (2), pp. 117-122, (2011).
- [2] A. Esi, Lacunary sequence spaces of interval numbers, Thai J. Math, 10 (2), pp. 445-451, (2012).
- [3] A. Esi, λ-Sequence spaces of interval numbers, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci, 8
 (3), pp. 1099-1102, (2014).
- [4] A. Esi, A new class of interval numbers, J. Qafqaz Univ., Math. and Comp. Sci, 33, pp. 98-102, (2012).
- [5] A. Esi, Double lacunary sequence spaces of double sequence of interval numbers, Proyec. J. Math, 31 (1), pp. 297-306, (2012).
- [6] A. Esi, Statistical and lacunary statistical convergence of interval numbers in topological groups, Acta Scien. Techno, 36 (3), pp. 491-495, (2014).
- [7] A. Esi and N.Braha, On asymptotically λ -statistical equivalent sequences of interval numbers, Acta Scien. Techno, 35 (3), pp. 515-520, (2013).
- [8] A. Esi and A. Esi, Asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences of interval numbers, International J. Math. and Appl, 1 (1), pp. 43-48, (2013).
- [9] A. Esi and B. Hazarika, Some ideal convergence of double ∧ -interval number sequences defined by Orlicz function, Global J. Math. Anal, 1 (3), pp. 110-116, (2013).
- [10] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Raphael, Some cesaro type summability, Proc. London Math. Soc., 37 (3), pp. 508-520, (1978).
- [11] H. Cakalli, A study on statistical convergence, Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput. 1 (2), pp. 19-24, (2009).
- [12] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2, pp. 241-244, (1951) .

- [13] H. I. Miller, A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 347 (5), pp. 1811-1819, (1995).
- [14] I. J. Maddox, On strong almost convergence, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 85 (2), pp. 345-350, (1979).
- [15] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Am. Math. Mon. 66, pp. 361-375, (1951).
- [16] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5, pp. 301-313, (1985).
- [17] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence. Pacific J. Math. 160 (1), pp. 43-51, (1993).
- [18] Kuo-Ping Chiao, Fundamental properties of interval vector max-norm, Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematics, 18(2), pp. 219-233, (2002).
- [19] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 16(4), pp. 755-762, (1993).
- [20] M. Mursaleen and O. H.Edely, Statistical convergence of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (1), pp. 223-231, (2003).
- [21] M. Şengönül and A. Eryılmaz, On the sequence spaces of interval numbers, Thai J. Math, 8 (3), pp. 503-510, (2010).
- [22] P. S. Dwyer, Linear Computation, New York, Wiley, (1951).
- [23] P. S. Dwyer, Erros of Matrix Computation, Simultaneous Equations and Eigenvalues, Nat. Bureau Standarts, Appl. Math. Series, 29, pp. 49-58, (1953).
- [24] P. S. Fischer, Automatic Propagated and Round-off Error Analysis, paper presented at the 13th national meeting of the Association for Computing Machinary, (1958).
- [25] R. E. Moore, Automatic Error Analysis in Digital Computation, LSMD-48421, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, (1959).
- [26] R. E. Moore and C. T. Yang, Theory of an Interval Algebra and Its Application to Numeric Analysis, RAAG Memories II, Gaukutsu Bunken Fukeyu-kai, Tokyo, (1962).

- [27] R. F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, Demonstratio Math., 36 (1), pp. 149-153, (2003).
- [28] R. F. Patterson and E Savaş, On asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Thai J. Math., 4(2), pp. 267-272, (2006).
- [29] S. Debnath, A. J. Datta and S. Saha, Regular Matrix of Interval Numbers based on Fibonacci Numbers, Afr. Mat., 26(7), pp. 1379-1385, (2015).
- [30] S. Debnath, B. Sarma and S. Saha, On some sequence spaces of interval vectors, Afr. Mat., 26(5), pp. 673-678, (2015).
- [31] S. Debnath and S. Saha, On Statistically Convergent Sequence Spaces of Interval Numbers, Proceedings of IMBIC, 3, pp. 178-183, (2014).

Ayhan Esi

Department of Mathematics Adiyaman university, Turkey

e-mail: aesi23@hotmail.com

Shyamal Debnath

Department of Mathematics

Tripura University,

India

e-mail: shyamalnitamath@gmail.com

and

Subrata Saha

Department of Mathematics Tripura University, India

e-mail: subratasaha2015@gmail.com