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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ be the sets of all natural, real and complex numbers respectively. We write

$$
\omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): x_{k} \in \mathbf{R} \text { or } \mathbf{C}\right\}
$$

the space of all real or complex sequences. Let $\ell_{\infty}, c$ and $c_{0}$ denote the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences respectively normed by $\|x\|_{\infty}=\sup _{k}\left|x_{k}\right|$. A subspace of $\omega$ for example $X, Y \subset \omega$ is called a sequence space. A sequence space $X$ with linear topology is called a $K$-space provided each of maps $p_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $p_{i}(x)=x_{i}$ is continuous for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. A $K$-space $X$ is called an FK-space provided $X$ is a complete linear metric space. FK-space whose topology is normable is called a BK-space. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two sequence spaces and $A=\left(a_{n k}\right)$ an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers $a_{n k}$, where $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we say that $A$ defines a matrix mapping from $X$ to $Y$ and we denote it by writing $A: X \rightarrow Y$. If for every sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in X$ the sequence $A x=\left\{(A x)_{n}\right\}$ the $A$-transform of $x$ is in $Y$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A x)_{n}=\sum_{k} a_{n k} x_{k}, \quad(n \in \mathbf{N}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $(X, Y)$ we denote the class of matrices $A$ such that $A: X \rightarrow Y$. Thus, $A \in(X, Y)$ if and only if series on the right side of (1.1) converges for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and every $x \in X$.

The approach of constructing the new sequence spaces by means of the matrix domain of a particular limitation method have been recently employed by Basar and Altay [1], Malkowsky [16], Ng and Lee [20] and Wang [33]. Sengonul [27] defined the sequence $y=\left(y_{i}\right)$ which is frequently used as the $Z^{p}$-transformation of the sequence $x=\left(x_{i}\right)$ i.e., $\left(y_{i}\right)=p x_{i}+$ $(1-p) x_{i-1}$ where $x_{-1}=0, p \neq 0,1<p<\infty$ and $Z^{p}$ denotes the matrix $Z^{p}=\left(z_{i k}\right)$ defined by

$$
z_{i k}= \begin{cases}p, & (i=k) \\ 1-p, & (i-1=k) ;(i, k \in \mathbf{N}) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Following Basar and Altay [1], Sengonul [27] introduced the Zweier sequence spaces $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Z} & =\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): Z^{p}(x) \in c\right\} \\
\mathbf{Z}_{0} & =\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): Z^{p}(x) \in c_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Kostyrko et al. [13] introduced the notion of $I$-convergence based on the structure of admissible ideal $I$ of subset of natural numbers $\mathbf{N}$. Later on it was studied by $\breve{S}$ alat [24], $\breve{S}$ alat et. al. [25, 26] and Demirci [2]. Recently it was further studied by Tripathy and Hazarika [29, 30, 31], Mursaleen and Mohiuddine [18], Jalal [6, 7], Khan et. al. [9, 10], Tripathy and Sen [32] and several others.

Let $X$ be a non-empty set. A set $I \subseteq 2^{X}\left(2^{X}\right.$ denoting the power set of $X$ ) is said to be an ideal if and only if $I$ is additive i.e., $A<B \in$ $I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ and hereditary i.e., $A \in I, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$. A nonempty family of sets $J(I) \subseteq 2^{X}$ is said to be filter on $X$ if and only if $\phi \notin J(I)$, for $A, B \in J(I)$ we have $A \cap B \in J(I)$ and for each $A \in J(I)$ and $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \in J(I)$. An Ideal $I \subseteq 2^{X}$ is called non-trivial if $I \neq 2^{X}$. A non-trivial ideal $I \subseteq 2^{X}$ is called admissible if $\{\{x\}: x \in X\} \subseteq I$. A non-trivial ideal $I$ is maximal if there cannot exist any non-trivial ideal $J \neq I$ containing $I$ as a subset. For each ideal $I$, there is a filter $J(I)$ corresponding to $I$ i.e., $J(I)=\left\{K \subseteq \mathbf{N}: K^{c} \in I\right\}$, where $K^{c}=\mathbf{N}-K$.

The idea of modulus function was structured by Nakano in 1953 [19].
A function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a modulus function if
(i) $f(t)=0$ if and only if $t=0$,
(ii) $f(t+u) \leq f(t)+f(u)$ for all $t, u \geq 0$
(iii) $f$ is non-decreasing, and
(iv) $f$ is continuous from the right at zero.

Ruckle [21, 22, 23] used the idea of a modulus function $f$ to construct the sequence space

$$
X(f)=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f\left(\left|x_{k}\right|\right)<\infty\right\} .
$$

This space is an FK-space, and Ruckle [21] proved that the intersection of all such $X(f)$ spaces is $\phi$, the space of all finite sequences. The space $X(f)$ is closely related to the space $\ell_{1}$ which is an $X(f)$ space with $f(x)=x$ for all real $x \geq 0$. Thus Ruckle [21, 22, 23] proved that, for any modulus $f$, $X(f) \subset \ell_{1}$ and $X(f)^{\alpha} \subset \ell_{\infty}$ where

$$
X(f)^{\alpha}=\left\{y=\left(y_{k}\right): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f\left(\left|y_{k} x_{k}\right|\right)<\infty\right\} .
$$

The space $X(f)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm [21]

$$
\|x\|=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f\left(\left|x_{k}\right|\right)<\infty
$$

Spaces of the type $X(f)$ are a special case of the spaces structured by Gramsch [5]. From the point of view of local convexity, spaces of the type $X(f)$ are quite pathological. Therefore symmetric sequence spaces, which are locally convex have been frequently studied by Garling [3, 4], Kothe [14] and Ruckle [21, 22, 23]. Later Kolk [11, 12] gave an extension of $X(f)$ by considering a sequence of modulus $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ and defined the sequence space

$$
X(F)=\left\{x=\left(x_{k}\right): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}\left(\left|x_{k}\right|\right) \in X\right\}
$$

The following well know inequality will be used throughout the article. Let $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be any sequence of positive real numbers with $0 \leq p_{k} \leq$ $\sup _{k} p_{k}=H, D=\max \left\{1,2^{H-1}\right\}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}+b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq D\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_{k}, b_{k} \in \mathbf{C}$. Also $\left|a_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq \max \left\{1,|a|^{G}\right\}$ for all $a \in \mathbf{C}$.

## 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A sequence space $E$ is said to be solid or normal if $\left(x_{k}\right) \in$ $E$ implies $\left(\alpha_{k} x_{k}\right) \in E$ for all sequence of scalars $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ with $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Definition 2.2. A sequence space $E$ is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre images of all its step spaces.

Definition 2.3. A sequence space $E$ is said to be convergence free if $\left(y_{k}\right) \in$ $E$ whenever $\left(x_{k}\right) \in E$ and $x_{k}=0$ implies $y_{k}=0$.

Definition 2.4. A sequence space $E$ is said to be a sequence algebra if $\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right) \in E$ whenever $\left(x_{k}\right),\left(y_{k}\right) \in E$.

Definition 2.5. A sequence space $E$ is said to be symmetric if $\left(x_{\pi(k)}\right) \in E$ whenever $\left(x_{k}\right) \in E$, where $\pi(k)$ is a permutation on $\mathbf{N}$.

Definition 2.6. Let $K=\left\{k_{1}<k_{2}<\cdots\right\} \subset \mathbf{N}$ and let $E$ be a sequence space. A $K$-step of $E$ is a sequence space $\lambda_{K}^{E}=\left\{\left(x_{k_{n}}\right) \in \omega:\left(x_{n}\right) \in E\right\}$.

Definition 2.7. A canonical pre-image of a sequence $\left(x_{k_{n}}\right) \in \lambda_{K}^{E}$ is a sequence $\left(y_{n}\right) \in \omega$ defined by

$$
\left(y_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x_{n} & , \quad \text { if } n \in K \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.8. A canonical pre-image of a step space $\lambda_{K}^{E}$ is a set of canonical pre-images of all the elements in $\lambda_{K}^{E}$ i.e., $y$ is the canonical pre-image $\lambda_{K}^{E}$ if and only if is the canonical pre-image of some $x \in \lambda_{K}^{E}$.

Definition 2.9. A sequence $\left(x_{k}\right) \in w$ is said to be $I$-convergent to a number $L$ if for every $\epsilon>0,\left\{k \in \mathbf{N}:\left|x_{k}-L\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I$. In this case we write $I-\lim x_{k}=L$. The space $c^{I}$ of all $I$-convergent sequences to $L$ is given by

$$
c^{I}=\left\{\left(x_{k}\right) \in w:\left\{k \in \mathbf{N}:\left|x_{k}-L\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I, \text { for some } L \in \mathbf{C}\right\} .
$$

Definition 2.10. A sequence $\left(x_{k}\right) \in w$ is said to be $I$-null if $L=0$ In this case we write $I-\lim x_{k}=0$.

Definition 2.11. A sequence $\left(x_{k}\right) \in w$ is said to be I-Cauchy if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a number $m=m(\epsilon)$ such that $\left\{k \in \mathbf{N}:\left|x_{k}-x_{m}\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \in$ $I$.

Definition 2.12. A sequence $\left(x_{k}\right) \in w$ is said to be $I$-bounded if there exists $M>0$ such that $\left\{k \in \mathbf{N}:\left|x_{k}\right| \geq M\right\} \in I$.

Definition 2.13. A modulus function $f$ is said to satisfy $\Delta_{2}$-condition if for all values of $u$ there exists a constant $K>0$ such that $f(L u) \leq K L f(u)$ for all values of $L>1$.

Definition 2.14. Take for $I$ the class $I_{f}$ of all finite subsets of $\mathbf{N}$ then $I_{f}$ is a non-trivial admissible ideal and $I_{f}$ convergence coincides with the usual convergence with respect to the metric in $X$ [13].

Definition 2.15. For $I=I_{\delta}$ and $A \subset \mathbf{N}$ with $\delta(A)=0$ respectively. $I_{\delta}$ is a non-trivial admissible ideal, $I_{\delta}$-convergence is said to be logarithmic statistical convergence [13].

The following Lemma will be used for establishing some results of this article.

Lemma 2.16. Let $E$ be a sequence space. If $E$ is solid then $E$ is monotone ([8], Page 53).

Throughout the paper $\mathbf{Z}^{I}, \mathbf{Z}_{0}^{I}, \mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{I}, m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{I}$ and $m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{I}$ represent the Zweier $I$ convergent, Zweier $I$-null, Zweier bounded, Zweier bounded $I$-convergent and Zweier bounded $I$-null sequence spaces, respectively .

## 3. New Zweier multiplier sequence spaces

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to $\infty$ such that $\lambda_{r} \leq \lambda_{r+1}, \lambda_{1}=1$. The generalized de la Vallee Poussin mean is defined by $t_{r}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} x_{k}$ where $J_{r}=\left[r-\lambda_{r}+1, r\right]$ for $r=1,2,3, \cdots$. A sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ is said to be $(V, \lambda)-$ summable to a number $L$ if $t_{r}(x) \rightarrow L$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ [15]. If $\lambda_{r}=r$ then $(V, \lambda)$ summablility is reduced to Cesáro summability. We denote by $\wedge$ the set of all increasing sequences of positive real numbers tending to $\infty$ such that $\lambda_{r} \leq \lambda_{r}+1, \lambda_{1}=1$.

Let $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, $v=\left(v_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers and $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers.

In this paper we introduce the following classes of multiplier sequence spaces.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}=\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon, \text { for some } L \in \mathbf{C}\right\} \in I\right\},} \\
& {\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}=\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\},} \\
& {\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}=\left\{x \in \omega: \exists K>0\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq K\right\} \in I\right\} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}=\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} \cap\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}
$$

and

$$
\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}=\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} \cap\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} .
$$

For further properties of multiplier sequence spaces see [17, 28, 31]

Theorem 3.1. Let $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of modulus functions, $v=\left(v_{k}\right)$, be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers and $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, then the classes of sequences $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$, $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are linear spaces over the complex field $\mathbf{C}$.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem for the space $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Let $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{k}\right)$ be two elements in $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and let $\alpha, \beta$ be two scalars in $\mathbf{R}$. Then
$\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L_{1}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\}$ for some $L_{1} \in \mathbf{C}$
and
$\left\{y \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z y)_{k}-L_{1}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\}$, for some $L_{2} \in \mathbf{C}$
Let

$$
A_{1}=\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L_{1}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \in I\right\}
$$

and

$$
A_{2}=\left\{y \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z y)_{k}-L_{2}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \in I\right\}
$$

Since $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ is a sequence of modulus functions, from inequality (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|\left(\alpha v_{k}(Z x)_{k}+\beta v_{k}(Z y)_{k}\right)-\left(\alpha L_{1}+\beta L_{2}\right)\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \\
\leq D\left(T_{\alpha}^{H}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L_{1}\right|\right]^{p_{k}}+D\left(T_{\beta}^{H}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z y)_{k}-L_{2}\right|\right]^{p_{k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $T_{\alpha}$ and $T_{\beta}$ are positive integers such that $|\alpha| \leq T_{\alpha}$ and $|\beta| \leq T_{\beta}$. On the other hand from the above inequality we get
$\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|\left(\alpha v_{k}(Z x)_{k}+\beta v_{k}(Z y)_{k}\right)-\left(\alpha L_{1}+\beta L_{2}\right)\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\}\right\}$ $\subseteq\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: D\left(T_{\alpha}^{H}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L_{1}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\}\right\}$
$\cup\left\{y \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: D\left(T_{\beta}^{H}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z y)_{k}-L_{2}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\}\right\}$.
The last two sets on the right hand side belongs to $I$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\left(f_{k}\right)$ and $\left(g_{k}\right)$ be sequences of modulus functions for some fixed $k$ and satisfy the $\Delta_{2}$-condition. If $X$ is any of the spaces $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I},\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$, then
(i) $X\left(g_{k}\right) \subseteq X\left(f_{k} \circ g_{k}\right)$
(ii) $X\left(f_{k}\right) \cap X\left(g_{k}\right) \subseteq X\left(f_{k}+g_{k}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(G, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{y \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} g_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ and hence choose $0<\delta<1$ such that $f_{k}(t) \leq \epsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$.
We write $y_{k}=g_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]$ and consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}}\left[f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}, y_{k} \leq \delta}\left[f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}, y_{k}>\delta}\left[f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ is continuous, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in J_{r}, y_{k} \leq \delta}\left[f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq\left[f_{k}(2)\right]^{G}+\sum_{k \in J_{r}, y_{k} \leq \delta}\left[\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}, G=\sup _{k} p_{k} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For second summation (i.e $y_{k}>\delta$ ), we have

$$
y_{k}<\frac{y_{k}}{\delta}<1+\frac{y_{k}}{\delta} .
$$

Since $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ is non-decreasing, it follows that

$$
f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)<f_{k}\left(1+\frac{y_{k}}{\delta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} f_{k}(2)+\frac{1}{2} f_{k}\left(2 \frac{y_{k}}{\delta}\right)
$$

Again, since $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ satisfies $\Delta_{2}-$ condition, we can write

$$
f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{2} K\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\delta}\right) f_{k}(2)+\frac{1}{2} K\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\delta}\right) f_{k}(2)=K\left(\frac{y_{k}}{\delta}\right) f_{k}(2)
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in J_{r}, y_{k}>\delta}\left[f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq \max \left\{1,\left(k \delta^{-1} f_{k}(2)\right)^{H}\right\}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}}\left[f_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), it follows that

$$
\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} g_{k}\left(f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}}\right) \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\}
$$

Hence $X\left(g_{k}\right) \subseteq X\left(f_{k} \circ g_{k}\right)$.
(ii) Let $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} \cap\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(G, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Then we have

$$
\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{x \in \omega:\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} g_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I\right\}
$$

The rest of the proof follows from the following relation;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}}\left[f_{k}+g_{k}\right]\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{x \in \omega: r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} g_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.3. $X \subseteq X(F)$, for $X=\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(p, v)\right]^{I},\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(p, v)\right]^{I},\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(p, v)\right]^{I}$.

Theorem 3.4. The spaces $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are solid and monotone.

Proof. We shall prove the result for the space $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$, the result for $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ can be proved similarly. Let $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{k}$ be a sequence of scalars with $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then the result follows from (3.5) and the following inequality

$$
f_{k}\left[\left|\alpha_{k} v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \leq\left|\alpha_{k}\right| f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \leq f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}}
$$

The space $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ is monotone follows from Lemma 2.16.
Theorem 3.5. The spaces $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are neither solid nor monotone in general.

Proof. The proof of this result follows from the following example.
Let $I=I_{f}, f_{k}(x)=x$, for $x \in[0, \infty), p=\left(p_{k}\right)=1$ and $v=\left(v_{k}\right)=1$. Consider the $K$-step space $T_{k}$ of $T$ defined as follows:
Let $\left(x_{k}\right) \in T_{k}$ and $\left(y_{k}\right) \in T_{k}$ be such that

$$
y_{k}= \begin{cases}x_{k} & : \\ 0 & \text { if } k \text { is odd; } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Consider the sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)$ defined as $x_{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ but its $K$-step space preimage does not belong to $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Thus $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ is not monotone. Hence $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ is not solid by Lemma 2.16.

Theorem 3.6. The spaces $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are sequence algebras.

Proof. We prove that $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ is sequence algebra. For $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ the result can be proved similarly. Let $x=\left(x_{k}\right), y=\left(y_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Then

$$
\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I
$$

and

$$
\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z y)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}(Z y)_{k}\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I
$$

Thus $\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Hence $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ is a sequence algebra.

Theorem 3.7. Let $F=\left(f_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of modulus functions. Then

$$
\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} \subset\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} \subset\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}
$$

and the inclusions are proper.
Proof. Let $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Then there exists $L \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$
\left\{r \in \mathbf{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}} \sum_{k \in J_{r}} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \epsilon\right\} \in I
$$

We have

$$
f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{2} f_{k}\left[\left|v_{k}(Z x)_{k}-L\right|\right]^{p_{k}}+\frac{1}{2} f_{k}[|L|]^{p_{k}} .
$$

Taking the supremum over $k$ on both sides we get $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. The inclusion $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I} \subset\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ is obvious. The inclusion is proper follows from the following example.

Let $I=I_{\delta}, f_{k}(x)=x^{2}$, for $x \in[0, \infty), v=\left(v_{k}\right)=1, p=\left(p_{k}\right)=1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.
(i) Consider the sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)$ defined by $x_{k}=1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then
$\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ but $\left(x_{k}\right) \notin\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$.
(ii) Consider the sequence $\left(y_{k}\right)$ defined as

$$
y_{k}= \begin{cases}2, & \text { if } k \text { is even } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\left(y_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}_{\infty}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ but $\left(y_{k}\right) \notin\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$.
Theorem 3.8. The spaces $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are not convergence free in general.

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows from the following example.
Let $I=I_{f}, f_{k}(x)=x^{2}$, for $x \in[0, \infty), v=\left(v_{k}\right)=1, p=\left(p_{k}\right)=1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.
Consider the sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $\left(y_{k}\right)$ defined by $x_{k}=\frac{1}{k^{2}}$ and $y_{k}=k^{2}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\left(x_{k}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$, but $\left(y_{k}\right)$ does not belong to both $\left[\mathbf{Z}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[\mathbf{Z}_{0}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$.
Hence the spaces are not convergence free in general.
Theorem 3.9. The spaces $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are not separable.

Proof. We shall prove the result for the space $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Let $A$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbf{N}$ of increasing natural numbers such that $A \in I$. Let

$$
p_{k}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } k \in A \\ 2, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and $v=\left(v_{k}\right)=1$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let

$$
P_{0}=\left(x_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{n}=1, & n \in A \\ x_{n}=0 & , \quad \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $A$ is infinite, so $P_{0}$ is uncountable. Consider the class of open balls
$B_{1}=\left\{B\left(z, \frac{1}{2}\right): z \in P_{0}\right\}$. Let $C_{1}$ be an open cover of $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ containing $B_{1}$. Since $B_{1}$ is uncountable, so is $C_{1}$ can not be reduced to a countable subcover for $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ as well as $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$. Thus $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ and $\left[m_{\mathbf{Z}_{0}}^{\lambda}(F, p, v)\right]^{I}$ are not separable.
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