Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics Vol. 37, N<sup>o</sup> 1, pp. 153-169, March 2018. Universidad Católica del Norte Antofagasta - Chile

# Sequentially spaces and the finest locally K-convex of topologies having the same onvergent sequences

A. El Amrani

University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Morocco Received : June 2017. Accepted : November 2017

#### Abstract

The present paper is concerned with the concept of sequentially topologies in non-archimedean analysis. We give characterizations of such topologies.

**Keywords :** Non-archimedean topological space, sequentially spaces, convergent sequence in non-archimedean space.

MSC2010 : 11F85 - 46A03 - 46A45.

## 1. Introduction

In 1962 Venkataramen, in [19], posed the following problem: Characterize "the class of topological spaces which can be specified completely by the knowledge of their convergent sequences".

Several authors then agreed to provide a solution, based on the concept of sequential spaces, in particular: In [9] and [10] Franklin gave some properties of sequential spaces, examples, and a relationship with the Frechet spaces; after Snipes in [17], has studied a new class of spaces called Tsequential space and relationships with sequential spaces; in [2], Boone and Siwiec gave a characterization of sequential spaces by sequential quotient mappings; in [4], Cueva and Vinagre have studied the  $\mathbf{K} - c$ -Sequential spaces and the  $\mathbf{K} - s$ -bornological spaces and adapted the results established by Snipes using linear mappings; thereafter Katsaras and Benekas, in [13], starting with a topological vector space (t.v.s.)  $(E,\tau)$ , have built up, the finest of topologies on E having the same convergent sequences as  $\tau$ ; and the thinnest of topologies on E having the same precompact as  $\tau$ ; using the concept of String (this study is a generalization of the study led by Weeb [21], on 1968, in case of locally convex spaces l.c.s.); in [8], Ferrer, Morales and Ruiz, have reproduced previous work by introducing the concept of maximal sequentially topology. Goreham, in [11], has conducted a study linking sequentiality and countable subsets in a topological space by considering the five classes of spaces: spaces of countable first case, sequential spaces, Frechet spaces, spaces of "C.T." type and perfect spaces.

In this work, we will study, in the non-archimedean (n.a) case, for a locally **K**-convex space E the finest sequential locally **K**-convex topology on E having the same convergent sequences as the original topology.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper **K** is a (n.a) non trivially valued complete field with the valuation |.|, and the valuation ring is  $B(0,1) := \{\lambda \in \mathbf{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . There exists  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\rho > 1$  and for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  there exists  $\lambda_n \in \mathbf{K}$ verifing  $|\lambda_n| = \rho^n$  see [18], p.251.

The field  $\mathbf{K}$  is spherically complete if any decreasing sequence of closed balls in  $\mathbf{K}$  has a non-empty intersection.

For the basic notions and properties concerning locally  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex spaces we refer to [14] or [18] if  $\mathbf{K}$  is spherically complete and to [15] if  $\mathbf{K}$  is not spherically complete. However we recall the following:

Let *E* be a **K**-vector space, a nonempty subset *A* of *E* is called **K**-convex if  $\lambda x + \mu y + \gamma z \in A$  whenever  $x, y, z \in A, \lambda, \mu, \gamma \in \mathbf{K}, |\lambda| \leq 1$ ,  $|\mu| \leq 1, |\gamma| \leq 1$  and  $\lambda + \mu + \gamma = 1$ . *A* is said to be absolutely **K**-convex if  $\lambda x + \mu y \in A$  whenever  $x, y \in A, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{K}, |\lambda| \leq 1, |\mu| \leq 1$ . For a nonempty set  $A \subset E$  its absolutely **K**-convex hull  $c_0(A)$  is the smallest absolutely **K**-convex set that contains *A*. If *A* is a finite set  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  we sometimes write  $c_0(x_1, ..., x_n)$  instead of  $c_0(A)$ .

A topology on a vector space E over  $\mathbf{K}$  is said to be locally  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex  $(l\mathbf{K}cs)$  if there exists in E a fundamental system of zero-neighbourhoods consisting of absolutely  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex subsets of E.

If E is a  $l\mathbf{K}cs$ , E' and  $E^*$  denote its topological and algebraic dual, respectively, and  $\sigma(E, E')$  and  $\sigma(E', E)$  the weak topology of E and E', respectively.

If  $(E, \tau)$  is a locally **K**-convex space with topology  $\tau$  we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_E$ , (or by  $\mathcal{P}$  if no confusion is possible) a family of semi-norms determining the topology  $\tau$ . We always assume that  $(E, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff space.

If A is a subset of E we denote by [A] the vector space spanned by A. Remark that, if A is absolutely  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex  $[A] = \mathbf{K}A$ . For an absolutely  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex subset A of E we denote by  $p_A$  the Minkowski functional on [A], i.e for  $x \in [A]$ ,  $p_A(x) = inf \{ | \lambda | : x \in \lambda A \}$ . If A is bounded then  $p_A$  is a norm on [A]. We then denote by  $E_A$  the space [A] normed by  $p_A$ .

Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be a duality between E and F where E and F are two vectors spaces over **K** (see [1] for general results), if A is a subset of E, the polar of A is a subset of F defined by  $A^{\circ} = \{y \in F \mid \forall x \in A \mid \langle x, y \rangle \mid \leq 1\}$ .

We define also the polar of a subset B of F in the same way. A subset A of E is said to be a polar set if  $A^{\circ\circ} = A$  ( $A^{\circ\circ}$  is the bipolar of A)

A continuous semi-norm p on E is called a polar seminorm if the corresponding zero-neighbourhood  $A = \{x \in E : p(x) \leq 1\}$  is a polar set. The space E is called strongly polar if every continuous semi-norm on E is polar, and it is called polar if  $\exists \mathcal{P}_E$  such that every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_E$  is polar. (see [15]). Obviously:

E strongly polar  $\Longrightarrow E$  polar.

If E is a polar space then the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  is Hausdorff. ([15] prop. 5.6). In that case we have a dual pair (E, E'). The value of the bilinear form on  $E \times E'$  (and similarly on  $E \times E$ ) is denoted by  $\langle x, a \rangle$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $a \in E'$ . If E is a polar space and p is a continuous semi-norm on E we denote by  $E_p$  the vector space E/Ker(p) and by  $\pi_p$  the canonical surjection  $\pi_p : E \longrightarrow E_p$ . The space  $E_p$  is normed by  $\|\pi_p(x)\|_p = p(x)$ . Its unit ball is  $\pi_p(U)$ , with  $U = \{x \in E : p(x) \leq 1\}$ . Its completion is denoted by  $\widehat{E_p}$ .

#### 3. Sequential spaces in non-Archimedean analysis

## 3.1. Definitions and properties

**Definitions 1.** 1. Let E a locally **K**-convex space and V a subset of E.

V is called a sequential neighborhood (S - neighborhood) of 0 if every null sequence in E lies eventually in V, that is to say:

$$(\forall (x_n)_n \in C_0) \ (\exists N_0 \in \mathbf{N}) : (\forall n \ge N_0), \ x_n \in V.$$

2. A locally  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex space E is called sequential space if every convex sequential neighborhood of 0 is a neighborhood of 0.

**Remark 1.** Every sequential neighborhood of 0 is absorbent and contains 0.

**Proposition 1.** If V is absolutely  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex and absorbent subset of a locally  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex space E, the following are equivalent:

- (i) V is a S neighborhood of 0;
- (ii)  $p_V$  is sequentially continuous. Where  $p_V$  is the Minkowski functional associated to V.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that V is a sequentially neighbourhood of 0; and let  $(x_n)_n \in C_0(E)$ , let us show that  $P_V(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let us consider  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  such that  $0 < |\lambda| \le \varepsilon$ , then  $\left(\frac{x_n}{\lambda}\right)_n \in C_0(E)$ , from where there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $(\forall n \ge N)$ ,  $\frac{x_n}{\lambda} \in V$ ,

which implies that  $\forall n \geq N$ ,  $p_V\left(\frac{x_n}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1$ , or  $\left(\forall n \geq N\right)$ ,  $p_V(x_n) \leq |\lambda| \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus the result follows.

Reciprocally, suppose that  $p_V$  is sequentially continuous over E. Let  $(x_n)_n \in C_0(E)$ , so  $P_V(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0$ , therefore there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(\forall n \ge N)$ ,  $p_V(x_n) < 1$ , and so  $(\forall n \ge N)$ ,  $x_n \in V$ .

**Proposition 2.** For a locally  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex space E the following are equivalent:

- (i) E is a sequential space;
- (ii) Every sequentially continuous seminorm on E is continuous;
- (iii) For every locally  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex space F, every sequentially continuous linear map from E to F is continuous;
- (iv) For every Banach space F, every sequentially continuous linear map from E to F is continuous.

**Proof.**  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Suppose that *E* is sequential and let *p* a seminorm sequentially continuous on *E*. Let:  $V = \{x \in E : p(x) \le 1\}$ .

V is a sequential neighborhood of 0 and so V is a neighborhood of 0 and consequently p is continuous:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  such that  $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$ . Then:

 $U = \lambda V$  is a neighborhood of 0 and we have  $p(U) \subset B(0, \varepsilon)$ .

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Let V a convex subset of E which is a sequential neighborhood of 0. V is absorbent and contains 0, therefore it's absolutely **K**-convex (**K**-convex and contains 0). Then, by Proposition 1,  $p_V$  is sequetially continuous, then continuous, and so V is a neighborhood of 0. Therefore E is sequential.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ . Let F a locally **K**-convex space and  $f : E \longrightarrow F$  a sequentially-continuous linear mapping.

Let V a **K**-convex neighborhood of 0 in F,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a sequential **K**-convex neighborhood of 0 in E, and so  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a neighborhood of 0 in E, (E is sequential and  $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i)$ ). And then f is continuous.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Let p a sequentially-continuous semi-norm on E; consider the Banach space  $\widehat{E}_p$  the completion of  $E_p = E/Ker(p)$ . The canonical mapping  $\pi_p : E \longrightarrow \widehat{E}_p$  is sequentially continuous, because: for all  $(x_n)_n \subset E$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_n \stackrel{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 & \Rightarrow p\left(x_n\right) \stackrel{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \widehat{p}\left(\widehat{x_n}\right) \stackrel{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \pi_p\left(x_n\right) \stackrel{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \end{array}$$

Then  $\pi_p$  is continuous, and so p is continuous:

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \quad U = \pi_p^{-1} \left( B_{\widehat{p}}(0, \varepsilon) \right) \text{ is a neighborhood of } 0 \text{ in } E$$
  
and we have  $p(U) \subset B(0, \varepsilon)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Obvious.

## 3.2. The sequential topology

Let  $(E, \tau)$  a locally **K**-convex space. Consider  $\mathcal{U}$  the set of all sequentially **K**-convex neighborhood of 0 and let  $\mathcal{P}_s$  the family of all sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous *n.a.* semi-norm on E.

- $\mathcal{U}$  is a base of neighborhood of 0 for a locally **K**-convex topology on E which is denoted  $\tau^s$  [16, 1.2. p.14]. Since every neighborhood of 0 is a sequential neighborhood of 0, then  $\tau \leq \tau^s$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_s$  define a locally **K**-convex topology on E which is denoted  $T^s$ . A base of neighborhood of 0 for  $T^s$  is formed by the balls  $B_p(0,\varepsilon)$ where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and p is a *n.a.* sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous semi-norm.  $B_p(0,\varepsilon)$  is sequential neighborhood of 0, because for all sequence  $(x_n)_n$  converging to zero in  $(E,\tau)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for all  $(n \ge n_0)$ ,  $p(x_n) < \varepsilon (p(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0)$ .

**Remark 2.** The topology  $T^s$  is sequential.

**Proposition 3.**  $\tau^s$  is the coarset of all sequential locally **K**-convex topologies on *E* finer than  $\tau$ .

**Proof.**  $\tau^s$  is sequential and  $\tau^s \ge \tau$ .

Let  $\rho$  a sequential locally **K**-convex topology on E finer than  $\tau$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ; U sequential neighborhood of 0 for  $\tau$ , and so U is a sequential neighborhood of 0 for  $\rho$  ( $\rho \geq \tau$ ) and then U is a neighborhood of 0 for  $\rho$  ( $\rho \in \tau$ ) and then U is a neighborhood of 0 for  $\rho$  ( $\rho \in \tau$ ). Which proves the proposition.

## 3.2.1. Characterization of sequential locally K- convex spaces

**Proposition 4.**  $\tau$  is sequential if, and only if,  $\tau = \tau^s$ .

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$  Obvious.

Suppose that  $\tau$  is sequential and let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ; U is a sequential **K**-convex neighborhood of 0 for  $\tau$ , so U is a neighborhood of 0 for  $\tau$  and then  $\tau \geq \tau^s$ . Finally  $\tau = \tau^s$ .

**Lemma 1.** For all sequence  $(x_n)_n$  of  $(E, \tau)$  we have:

$$\left(x_n \stackrel{n \to +\infty}{\to} 0 \text{ for } \tau\right) \Leftrightarrow \left(x_n \stackrel{n \to +\infty}{\to} 0 \text{ for } \tau^s\right).$$

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ ] Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that:  $\forall n \geq N \quad x_n \in U$ , hence  $x_n \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0$  for  $\tau^s$ .

The converse follows by  $\tau \leq \tau^s$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\rho$  a locally **K**-convex topology on *E* such that for all null sequence for  $\tau$  is a null sequence for  $\rho$ . Then  $\tau^s \geq \rho$ .

**Proof.** Consider  $i: (E, \tau) \longrightarrow (E, \varrho)$  the canonical injection. Then for every sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in E we have:

$$\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{\tau^s} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma } 1} \mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$$

 $\implies x_n \stackrel{\varrho}{\longrightarrow} 0$ 

Then, *i* is sequentially continuous, and since  $(E, \tau^s)$  is sequential, *i* is continuous (Proposition 2). Hence  $\tau \leq \tau^s$ .

**Proposition 5.**  $\tau^s$  is the finest locally **K**-convex topology on *E* having the same convergent suequences as  $\tau$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 1 before,  $\tau^s$  and  $\tau$  has the same convergent sequences. Let  $\rho$  a locally **K**-convex topology on *E* having the same convergent sequence as  $\tau$  and let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence of *E* converging to 0 for  $\tau$ , then  $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  for  $\rho$ , hence, by Lemma 3,  $\tau^s \ge \rho$ .

**Remark 3.**  $\tau^s$  is also the finer topology on *E* having the same null sequences as  $\tau$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(E, \tau)$  a locally **K**-convex space and *A* a subset of *E*, then: *A* is  $\tau$ -bounded if, and only if, for all null sequence  $(\lambda_n)_n$  in **K** and all sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in *A*; the sequence  $(\lambda_n x_n)_n$  converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$  that is to say  $(\lambda_n x_n)_n$  is a null sequence in  $(E, \tau)$ . **Proof.** Suppose that A be bounded in  $(E, \tau)$ . Let  $(\lambda_n)_n \in C_0(\mathbf{K})$  and  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence in A. Let V a  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex neighborhood of zero in E, then there exists  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{K}^*$  such that  $\lambda A \subset V$  and there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}^*$  such that  $(\forall n \ge N) | \lambda_n | \le | \lambda |$ ; but

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\forall n \ge N) & \lambda_n x_n &= \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda} \lambda x_n \\ & \in \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda} \lambda A \\ & \subset \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda} V \\ & \subset V. \end{array}$$

Then the sequence  $(\lambda_n x_n)_n$  converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$ .

Reciprocally, if A is no  $\tau$ -bounded, then there exists U a **K**-convex neighborhood of zero such that  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$   $A \not\subset \frac{1}{\lambda_n} U$  where  $(\lambda_n)_n$  is the sequence of general term  $|\lambda_n| = \varrho^n$  and  $\varrho$  is the real number defined in the preliminary. For all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $x_n$  the element of A such that  $x_n \notin \frac{1}{\lambda_n} U$ , then,  $(\forall n \in \mathbf{N}) \quad \lambda_n x_n \notin U$  that is to say that the sequence  $(\lambda_n x_n)_n$  does not converge to zero, and we have:  $(x_n)_n \subset A$  and  $(\lambda_n)_n \in C_0(\mathbf{K})$ .

**Proposition 6.** Let  $(E, \tau)$  a locally **K**-convex space, then:  $\tau$  and  $\tau^s$  have the same bounded subsets.

**Proof.** Let A a subset of E. If A is  $\tau^s$ -bounded, A is  $\tau$ -bounded, because  $\tau^s \ge \tau$ . If A is  $\tau$ -bounded, let  $(x_n)_n \subset A$  and  $(\lambda_n)_n \in C_0(\mathbf{K})$ , then, according to the previous Lemma, the sequence  $(\lambda_n x_n)_n$  converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$  and therefore it converges to zero in  $(E, \tau^s)$  (Lemma 1). So A is  $\tau^s$ -bounded.

**Proposition 7.** Let  $(F, \tau')$  a locally **K**-convex space and  $f : E \longrightarrow F$  a linear mapping, then:

f is  $\tau^s$ -continuous if, and only if, f is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Suppose that f be  $\tau^s$ -continuous, and let  $(x_n)_n$  a converging sequence to zero in  $(E, \tau)$  and let  $V \in \mathcal{V}_F(0)$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . U being a sequential neighborhood of zero, so there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad x_n \in U$  and consequently  $(\forall n \ge n_0)$  $f(x_n) \in f(U) \ (\subset V)$ . Therefore the sequence  $(f(x_n))_n$  converges to zero in F. Conversely, suppose that f is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous; let us show that  $f: (E, \tau^s) \longrightarrow F$  is continuous. According to Proposition 2, it suffices to show that f is sequentially  $\tau^s$ -continuous. Let then  $(x_n)_n$  a converging sequence to zero in  $(E, \tau^s)$ , then it converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$  (Lemma 1) and consequently  $(f(x_n))_n$  is converging to zero in F.

#### **3.3.** Comparison of topologies $\tau^s$ and $T^s$

**Lemma 4.** For every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $p_U$  is a n.a. sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous seminorm.

**Proof.** Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ; then for all  $(x_n)_n \in C_0(E)$ , all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}^*$  such that  $0 < |\lambda| \le \varepsilon$  we have:  $(\lambda^{-1}x_n)_n \in C_0(E)$  from where it exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N} : (\forall n \ge n_0) \quad \lambda^{-1}x_n \in U$  and then:

 $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad p_U(\lambda^{-1}x_n) \le 1 \Rightarrow (\forall n \ge n_0) \quad p_U(x_n) \le |\lambda| \le \varepsilon.$  Therefore the sequence  $(p_U(x_n))_n$  converges to zero in  $\mathbf{R}^+$  and consequently  $p_U$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous.

# **Proposition 8.** $\tau^s = T^s$

**Proof.**  $T^s$  being a sequential locally **K**-convex topology (*Remark* 2), whence  $\tau^s \geq T^s$ .

For the other inequality, it suffices to show that  $i : (E, T^s) \to (E, \tau^s)$  is continuous, and by *Proposition2*, it suffices to show that the mapping *i* is sequentially  $T^s$ -continuous.

Let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence that tends towards zero in  $(E, T^s)$ . Then for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $p_U$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous, therefore the sequence  $(p_U(x_n))_n$  converges to zero in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , from where it exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall n \ge n_0) \quad p_U(x_n) < 1$ , or  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad x_n \in U$ . Therefore the sequence  $(x_n)_n$  tends to zero in  $(E, \tau^s)$ . From where *i* is  $T^s$ -sequentially continuous. And consequently  $T^s \ge \tau^s$ .

**Remark 4.** We can show otherwise the previous Proposition: Since any n.a.  $\tau$ -continuous seminorm on E is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous,  $T^s \geq \tau$ . But  $T^s$  is sequential and  $\tau^s$  is the coarset sequential locally **K**-convex topology finer than  $\tau$ , then  $T^s \geq \tau^s$ .

#### 3.4. The sequential polar topology

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  the family of all **K**-convex, subsets A of E which are polar and sequential neighborhood of 0 in  $(E, \tau)$ .  $\mathcal{V}$  is a base of neighborhood of 0 of

a locally **K**-convex topology on *E* which we noted  $\tau^{ps}$  [16, 1.2., p. 14].  $\tau^{ps}$  is a polar topology on *E* and  $\tau^{s} \geq \tau^{ps}$  ( $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ ).

**Remark 5.** Since, if  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\overline{V}^{\tau} \in \mathcal{V}$ , we can suppose that all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , V is  $\tau$ -closed.

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that **K** is spherically complete, and let A a subset of E absolutely **K**-convex and  $\tau$ -closed, then:

- 1. If **K** is discrete,  $A^{\circ\circ} = A$ .
- 2. If **K** is dense,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{K} : |\alpha| > 1 A^{\circ \circ} \subset \alpha A$ .

Where  $A^{\circ\circ}$  is the bipolar of A with respect the duality  $\langle E, E' \rangle$ .

**Proof.** See [18, *Theorems* 4.14, 4.15, p.280 - 281].

**Lemma 6.** If **K** is spherically complete, then  $\tau^{ps}$  is a sequential topology.

**Proof.** Let U a subset of E which is  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex,  $\tau$ -closed and sequential neighborhood of 0 on  $(E, \tau)$ . Let us show that U is a neighborhood of 0 of  $\tau^{ps}$ . By Lemma 5, before  $U^{\circ\circ} \subset \alpha U$  for  $\alpha = 1$  if  $\mathbf{K}$  is discrete and  $|\alpha| > 1$  is  $\mathbf{K}$  dense. We pose  $V = U^{\circ\circ}$ , then V is  $\mathbf{K}$ -convex, polar and sequential neighborhood of 0 on  $(E, \tau)$  ( $U \subset V$ ), then V is a neighborhood of 0 for  $\tau^{ps}$  and therefore U is a neighborhood of 0 for  $\tau^{ps} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}V \subset U\right)$ . Then  $\tau^{ps}$  is sequential.

**Proposition 9.** If **K** is spherically complete, then  $\tau^{ps} \geq \tau$ .

**Proof.** It is a matter of showing that  $i : (E, \tau^{ps}) \longrightarrow (E, \tau)$  is continuous, and since  $\tau^{ps}$  is sequential (Lemma 6), it suffices to show that i is sequentially  $\tau^{ps}$ -continuous.

Let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence of E which is converging to zero on  $(E, \tau^{ps})$  and let Uan absolutely **K**-convex and  $\tau$ -closed neighborhood of zero on  $(E, \tau)$ , then  $U^{\circ\circ} \subset \alpha U$  where  $\alpha = 1$  if **K** is discrete and  $|\alpha| > 1$  if **K** is dense (Lemma 5). The sequence  $(\alpha x_n)_n$  converges to zero on  $(E, \tau^{ps})$  and  $U^{\circ\circ} \in \mathcal{V}$  hence there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N} : (\forall n \ge n_0) \quad \alpha x_n \in U^{\circ\circ}$  and so  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad x_n \in \frac{1}{\alpha} U^{\circ\circ} \subset U$ . Then the sequence  $(x_n)_n$  converges to zero on  $(E, \tau)$ . **Remark 6.** If **K** is spherically complete, then  $\tau^{ps} \ge \tau$ ; but  $\tau^s$  is the coarset of all sequential locally **K**-convex topologies finest than  $\tau$  and since  $\tau^{ps}$  is sequential, then  $\tau^{ps} \ge \tau^s$ , and so  $\tau^{ps} = \tau^s$ .

**Proposition 10.** Let  $(E, \tau)$  a locally **K**-convex space. Then  $\tau^{ps}$  is the finer of all polar locally **K**-convex topologies  $\delta$  on E such that all sequence on E which is  $\tau$ -convergent is  $\delta$ -convergent.

**Proof.**  $\tau^{ps}$  is a locally **K**-convex polar topology on *E*. Let  $(x_n)_n$  a converging sequence to zero on  $(E, \tau)$ , then for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N} : (\forall n \ge n_0) \ x_n \in V$ , then  $(x_n)_n$  converges to zero on  $(E, \tau^{ps})$ .

Let  $\delta$  a locally **K**-convex polar topology on E such that all sequence on E which is  $\tau$ -convergent is  $\delta$ -convergent; showing that  $\tau^{ps} \geq \delta$ . Let U a **K**-convex and polar neighborhood of zero for  $\delta$ , and let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence which converges to zero on  $(E, \tau)$ , then it's convergent to zero for  $\delta$ ; hence there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N} : (\forall n \geq n_0) \quad x_n \in U$ . Then U is a sequential neighborhood of zero and so  $U \in \mathcal{V}$ . And then  $\tau^{ps} \geq \delta$ .

**Corollary 1.** If  $\tau$  is polar, then  $\tau^{ps} \geq \tau$  and  $\tau^{ps}$  and  $\tau$  have the same convergent sequences.

**Proof.**  $\tau^{ps} \geq \tau$  follows immediately of the proposition before and we have all  $\tau^{ps}$ -convergent sequence is  $\tau$ -convergent. And we have already all  $\tau$ -convergent sequence is  $\tau^{ps}$ -convergent; then  $\tau^{ps}$  and  $\tau$  have the same convergent sequences.

Or equivalently the two topologies have the same null sequences.

**Lemma 7.** Let p a seminorm n.a. over E. And let:

 $A = \{x \in E : p(x) < 1\}$  and  $B = \{x \in E : p(x) \le 1\}$ .

Then  $A^{\circ} = B^{\circ}$ .

**Proof.** If **K** is discrete, A = B, then we can suppose that **K** is dense.

A is a subset of B, then  $B^{\circ} \subset A^{\circ}$ .

#### A. El Amrani

Let  $f \in E^*$  such that  $f \notin B^\circ$ , then there exists  $y \in B$  such that |f(y)| > 1. Suppose that  $f \in A^\circ$ , that is to say that  $(\forall x \in A) ||f(x)| \le 1$ ; then, since **K** is dense, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  such that  $1 < |\lambda| < |f(y)|$  so:  $1 < |f(\frac{y}{\lambda})| \implies \frac{y}{\lambda} \notin A$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} f(y) \mid \implies \stackrel{a}{\Longrightarrow} \notin A \\ \implies p\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) \ge 1 \\ \implies p\left(y\right) \ge |\lambda| \\ \implies p\left(y\right) > 1 \\ \implies y \notin B \end{array}$$

Which is absurd.

**Proposition 11.**  $\tau^{ps}$  coincides with the locally **K**-convex topology generated by all *n.a.* polar and sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous semi-norms.

**Proof.** Let  $T^{ps}$  the locally **K**-convex topology generated by  $S_p$  the familly of all *n.a.* polar and sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous semi-norms. Then  $T^{ps}$  admits a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of neighborhoods of zero formed by polar balls  $B_p(0, \varepsilon)$  where  $p \in S_p$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Let us show that  $i: (E, \tau^{ps}) \longrightarrow (E, T^{ps})$  is bicontinuous.

Let  $V = B_p(0,\varepsilon)$  an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then V is **K**-convex. Let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence of elements of E which converges to zero in  $(E,\tau)$ , then  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} p(x_n) = 0$  (p is sequetially  $\tau$ -continuous), hence there is  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ :  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad p(x_n) < \varepsilon$ , or  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad x_n \in V$  which implies that V is a sequentially neighborhood of zero, hence  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and so  $T^{ps} \le \tau^{ps}$ .

Conversely, either  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , then it's sequentially **K**-convex neighborhood of zero. Whe have:

$$\{x \in E : p_V(x) < 1\} \subset V \subset \{x \in E : p_V(x) \le 1\}.$$

And by the previous *Lemma* 7:

$$A^{\circ\circ} = B^{\circ\circ} = V^{\circ\circ} = V,$$

where  $A = \{x \in E : p_V(x) < 1\}$  and  $B = \{x \in E : p_V(x) \le 1\}$ ; from where  $B^{\circ\circ} = B$ , and consequently p is polar or  $p_V$  is polar

[15, Proposition 3.4, p. 195]. Let us show that  $p_V$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous. Let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence of elements of E which converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; let us consider  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$  such that  $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$ , then

the sequence  $(\lambda^{-1}x_n)_n$  converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$  and V being a sequential neighborhood of 0, then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ :  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad \lambda^{-1}x_n \in V$ , from where  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad p_V(\lambda^{-1}x_n) \le 1$  or  $(\forall n \ge n_0) \quad p_V(x_n) \le |\lambda^{-1}| < \varepsilon$ ; from where  $p_V$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -continuous and consequently  $p_V \in \mathcal{S}_p$ ; then  $T^{ps} \ge \tau^{ps}$ . So what  $T^{ps} = \tau^{ps}$ .

**Proposition 12.**  $\tau^{ps}$  is the finer of all polar locally **K**-convex topologies which are less fine than  $\tau^s$ .

**Proof.**  $\tau^{ps}$  is a polar locally **K**-convex topology and  $\tau^{ps} \leq \tau^s$ . Let  $\rho$  a polar locally **K**-convex topology such that  $\rho \leq \tau^s$ , and let V a polar **K**-convex neighborhood of 0 for  $\rho$ , then there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U \subset V$  ( $\rho \leq \tau^s$ ), from where V is a sequential neighborhood of zero, consequently it is a sequential neighborhood of zero for  $\tau^{ps}$ . Therefore  $\tau^{ps} \geq \rho$ .

#### 3.4.1. Continuity of linear mappings

**Lemma 8.** Let *E* and *F* be two locally  $\mathbf{K}$ - convex spaces and  $f : E \longrightarrow F$  a continuous linear mapping, then for any subset *V* of *F*.

If V is polar in F,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is polar in E.

**Proof.** Let  $V \subset F$ , putting  $U = f^{-1}(V)$ . Suppose that V is sequential.

Let  $x \in U^{\circ\circ}$ ; let us show that  $x \in U$ . By absurd, suppose that  $x \notin U$ , and let y = f(x) then  $y \notin V$  from where  $y \notin V^{\circ\circ}$   $(V^{\circ\circ} = V)$  then there exists  $\varphi \in V^{\circ}$ :  $|\varphi(y)| > 1$ . But  $\forall t \in U$ ,  $f(t) \in V$  from where

 $\forall t \in U \mid \varphi(f(t)) \mid \leq 1 \text{ and consequently } \varphi \circ f \in U^{\circ} \text{ and so } \mid \varphi(f(x)) \mid \leq 1, \text{ therefore } \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq 1; \text{ which is absurd.} \blacksquare$ 

**Proposition 13.** Let  $(E, \tau)$  and  $(F, \tau_1)$  two locally **K**-convex spaces.

If  $f : (E, \tau) \longrightarrow (F, \tau_1)$  is a continuous linear mapping, then f is  $(\tau^s, \tau_1^s)$  -continuous and  $(\tau^{ps}, \tau_1^{ps})$  -continuous.

**Proof.** Let us show that  $f : (E, \tau^s) \longrightarrow (F, \tau_1^s)$  is continuous. For this it suffices to show that for every sequential neighborhood V of zero for

 $\tau_1, f^{-1}(V)$  is a sequential neighborhood V of zero for  $\tau$ .

Let V a sequential neighborhood of zero for  $\tau_1$  and let  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence of E which converges towards zero in  $(E, \tau)$ ; then the sequence  $(f(x_n))_n$  converges towards zero in  $(F, \tau_1)$ , from where there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ :  $(\forall n \ge n_0) f(x_n) \in V$ , then  $(\forall n \ge n_0) x_n \in f^{-1}(V)$ .

Let us show that  $f : (E, \tau^{ps}) \longrightarrow (F, \tau_1^{ps})$  is continuous. For this it suffices to show that for every polar and sequential neighborhood V of zero for  $\tau_1$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a polar and sequential neighborhood V of zero for  $\tau$ .

Let V a polar and sequential neighborhood of zero for  $\tau_1$ , then by Lemma 8,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is polar for  $\tau$ . In the other hand, for all sequence  $(x_n)_n$  of E which converges towards zero in  $(E, \tau)$ , the sequence  $(f(x_n))_n$  converges to zero in  $(F, \tau_1)$ , from where there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ :  $(\forall n \ge n_0) f(x_n) \in V$ , therefore  $(\forall n \ge n_0) x_n \in f^{-1}(V)$ .

**Proposition 14.** Let  $(E, \tau) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (E_k, \tau_k)$ , then:

(i) 
$$\tau^s = \prod_{k=1}^n \tau_k^s;$$
  
(ii)  $\tau^{ps} = \prod_{k=1}^n \tau_k^{ps}.$ 

**Proof.** Let us show that  $i : (E, \tau^s) \longrightarrow \left(E, \prod_{k=1}^n \tau_k^s\right)$  is continuous. Let us show that V is neighborhood of zero for  $(E, \tau^s)$ , where  $V = (U_k)_{1 \le k \le n}$  is a K-convex neighborhood of zero for the arrival space. Let  $(y_p)_p = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k^p\right)_p$  a sequence of E which converges to zero in  $(E, \tau)$ , then for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ , the sequence  $(x_k^p)_p$  converges to zero in  $(E_k, \tau_k)$ , from where there exists  $p_k \in \mathbf{N}$ :  $(\forall p \ge p_k) \quad x_k^p \in U_k$ . Let  $p_0 = \max_{1 \le k \le n} p_k$ , so  $(\forall p \ge p_0) \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{N}, \ 1 \le k \le n, \ x_k^p \in U_k$ , from where  $(\forall p \ge p_0) \quad y_p \in V$ . Therefore V is a sequential neighborhood of zero in  $(E, \tau^s)$ .

Let us show that  $i: \left(E, \prod_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{k}^{s}\right) \longrightarrow (E, \tau^{s})$  is continuous. Let V a sequential **K**-convex neighborhood of zero in  $(E, \tau)$ . For all  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $j_{k}: E_{k} \to E$  the canonical injection and pose  $V_{k} = j_{k}^{-1}(V)$ , so  $V_{k}$  is a sequential neighborhood of zero in  $(E_{k}, \tau_{k})$ , from where  $V_{k}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $(E_{k}, \tau_{k}^{s})$ , and consequently  $U = \prod_{k=1}^{n} V_{k}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $\left(E, \prod_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{k}^{s}\right)$ . But  $U \subset V$  (V is absolutely  $\mathbf{K} - convex$ ); therefore V is a neighborhood of zero in  $\prod_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{k}^{s}$ .

# References

- R. Ameziane Hassani and M. Babahmed, Topologies polaires compatibles avec une dualité séparante sur un corps valué non-archimédien, Proyecciones. Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 217-241, (2001).
- [2] J. R. Boone and F.Siwiec, Sequentially quotient mappings, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 174-182, (1976).
- [3] J. Boos and T. Leiger, Duals pairs of sequence spaces, IJMMS 28, No.1, pp. 9-23, (2001).
- [4] M. C. Cueva and C. T. Maia Vinagre, Sequences in non-archimedean locally convex spaces, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 25(9): pp. 955-962, (1994).
- [5] N. De Grande-De Kimpe, Perfect locally K-convex sequence spaces, Indag. Math. (33), pp. 371-482, (1971).
- [6] A. El amrani, R. Ameziane Hassani and M. Babahmed, Topologies on sequence spaces in non-archimedean analysis, J. of Mathematical Sciences: Advances and Applications 6, No. 2, pp. 193-214, (2010).
- [7] A. El Amrani, R. Ameziane Hassani and M. Babahmed, Polar topologies in sequence spaces in non-archimedean analysis. Universidad Catolica del Norte Antofagasta-Chile. Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics **31**, No. 2, pp. 103-123, (2012).

- [8] J. R. Ferrer, I. Morales, L. M. Sanchez Ruiz, Sequential convergence in topological vector spaces, Topology and its applications 108, pp. 1-6, (2000).
- [9] S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice," Fundamenta Mathematicae 67, pp. 107-116, (1965).
- [10] S. P. Franklin, "Spaces of which sequences suffice, II," Fund. Math. 61, pp. 51-56, (1967).
- [11] A. Goreham, Sequential convergence in topological spaces, arXiv:math/0412558v2-[math.GN] 10 April, (2016).
- [12] D.A. Gregory, Vector sequence spaces, Ph.D thesis, University of Machigan, (1967).
- [13] A. K. Katsaras and V. Benekas, Sequential convergence in topological vector spaces, Georgian mathematical journal: Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 151-164, (1995).
- [14] A. F. Monna, Analyse non- archimédienne, Springer-Verlag, band 56, (1970).
- [15] W. H. Schikhof, Locally convex spaces over nonspherically complete valued field, I, II, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. sér. B 38, pp. 187-224 (1986).
- [16] H. H. Schaefer, Topological vector spaces, Springer-Verlag New-York, herdlberg Berlin, (1971).
- [17] R. F. Snipes, T-sequential topological spaces, Fundamenta Mathematicae, T. LXXVII, pp. 95-98, (1970).
- [18] J. Van-tiel, Espaces localement K-convexes, I-III. Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. van Wetensch. A 68, pp. 249-289, (1965).
- [19] M. Venkataramen, Directed sets in topology, Math. student 30, pp. 99-100, (1962).
- [20] S. Warner, Topological Fields, North-Holland Mathematics studies 157, (1989).
- [21] J. H. Webb, Sequential convergence in locally convex spaces, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 64, pp. 341-364, (1968).

A. El Amrani
Department of mathematics and computer science
Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz
B. P. 1796 Atlas Fès,
Morocco
e-mail: abdelkhalek.elamrani@usmba.ac.ma