

Proyecciones
Vol. 23, N° 2, pp. 97-110, August 2004.
Universidad Católica del Norte
Antofagasta - Chile
DOI: 10.4067/S0716-09172004000200003

REPRESENTATION THEOREMS OF LINEAR OPERATORS ON P-ADIC FUNCTION SPACES

*JOSÉ AGUAYO **
ELSA CHANDÍA
JACQUELINE OJEDA
Universidad de Concepción, Chile

Received January 2004. Accepted May 2004.

Abstract

Let X be a 0-dimensional Hausdorff topological space, E, F non-archimedean Banach spaces and $C_b(X, E)$ the space of all continuous E -valued functions on X provided with two strict topologies. In this paper we show that every F -valued linear operator which is strictly continuous can be represented by a certain $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ -valued measure defined on the ring of all clopen subsets of X .

*This work is partially supported by Fondecyt No. 1020288

1. Introduction and notations

By the classical Riesz Representation Theorem, a linear functional u on the space of continuous real functions on a compact Hausdorff space X , is continuous for the topology of uniform convergence if, and only if, there exists a bounded regular Borel measure m on X such that $u(f) = \int f dm$. The Riesz Representation Theorem has been extended to many other spaces (see [8]) and linear operators instead of linear functional (see [4]). The relation between vector measures, linear operators and strict topologies in the classical case have been studied by several authors (see [1] [4]). Analogous situation in the non-archimedean case is studied in [5].

This paper is devoted to extend the work given in [5] for another two strict topologies. Throughout this work, X will be a zero dimensional Hausdorff topological space, \mathbf{K} a complete non-archimedean valued field with nontrivial valuation and E, F non-archimedean Banach spaces.

We will denote by $C_b(X, E)$ the space of all E -valued bounded and continuous functions on X and by $C_{rc}(X, E)$ the subspace of $C_b(X, E)$ of those functions whose image of X are relatively compact. If $E = \mathbf{K}$, we will write $C_b(X)$ and $C_{rc}(X)$ respectively.

We will denote by $\beta_o X$ the Banaschewski compactification of X [7] and understand by \hat{f} the unique continuous extension of f to $\beta_o X$. For $A \subset X$, we will denote by $\overline{A}^{\beta_o X}$ the closure of A in $\beta_o X$ and by χ_A the \mathbf{K} -valued characteristic function of A . For an E -valued function f on X and $A \subset X$, we will denote

$$\|f\|_A = \sup_{x \in A} \|f(x)\|, \quad \|f\|_X = \|f\|$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(X)$ be the collection of all clopen subsets of X . An $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ -valued set function m on $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is said to be a measure if:

1. m is finitely additive
2. The set $m(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is bounded in $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$.

We will denote by $M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ the space of all these measures. For $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, we define

$$\|m\|(A) = \sup \{ \|m(B)e\|_F : B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{S}(X), \|e\|_E \leq 1 \}.$$

In order to introduce strict topologies, we will denote by Ω the collection of all compact subsets of $\beta_o X \setminus X$ and by Ω_u the collection of $Q \in \Omega$ such

that Q admits a clopen partition $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of X such that $\overline{U}_\alpha^{\beta \circ X} \cap Q = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

The strict topology $\beta(\beta_u)$ on $C_b(X, E)$ is the inductive limit of the locally convex topologies β_Q , where β_Q is generated by the family of seminorms $f \mapsto \|gf\|$, where $g \in C_Q = \{g \in C_{rc}(X) : \widehat{g}|_Q \equiv 0\}$ and $Q \in \Omega(\Omega_u)$ (see [5], [2, 3]).

Next, we will define the integrability of an E -valued function f on X with respect to a $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$. For $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, $A \neq \emptyset$, let \mathcal{D}_A denote the family of all $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n; x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, where $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is a clopen partition of A and $x_i \in A_i$. We will introduce the following relation: $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ iff the partition of A in α_1 is a refinement of the partition of A in α_2 . We will denote by Ω_A the collection of all these α . Ω_A will become to be a directed set. For f, m and $\alpha \in \Omega_A$, $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_n; x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we will define

$$\varpi(f, m) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i)(f(x_i)).$$

Note that $\varpi(f, m) \in F$.

We will say that f is m -integrable over A if $\lim_\alpha \varpi(f, m)$ exists; in such a case, we will denote this limit by

$$\int_A f dm = \lim_\alpha \varpi(f, m).$$

If $A = \emptyset$, then we will define $\int_\emptyset f dm = 0$. For $A = X$, we will simply write $\int f dm$. It is easy to see that if f is m -integrable over X , then f is m -integrable over every $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

We will present the following very well-known technical result.

Lemma 1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$. Then, there exist disjoint clopen sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n covering X and elements e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n of E such that*

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_{A_i} e_i \right\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{A_i} e_i(x) = e_i$, if $x \in A_i$ and the null element θ of E otherwise.

2. The space $\mathcal{L}(C_{rc}(X, E), F)$.

In this section we will study the relation between measure theory and F -valued continuous linear operators on $C_{rc}(X, E)$. We will denote by $\mathcal{L}(C_{rc}(X, E), F)$ the space of all these operators.

Theorem 2 : If $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$ and $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, then f is m -integrable over A , for each $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $A = X$ and $\|m\|(X) \leq 1$. Let $\mu \in \mathbf{K}$, with $0 < |\mu| \leq 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. We take $\nu \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $0 < |\nu| < |\mu| \varepsilon$. Since $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$, there exists a finite clopen partition $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ of X and finite subset $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of E such that

$$\|f(x) - e_i\|_E \leq |\nu|, \forall x \in A_i$$

Choose $x_i \in A_i$ and consider $\alpha_o = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Take $\alpha = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ such that $\alpha \geq \alpha_o$. Then, for $B_j \subset A_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y_j) - f(x_i)\|_E &= \|f(y_j) - e_i + e_i - f(x_i)\|_E \\ &\leq \max\{\|f(y_j) - e_i\|_E, \|e_i - f(x_i)\|_E\} \\ &\leq |\nu| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\lambda = \nu^{-1}\mu$, then

$$\|\lambda[f(y_j) - f(x_i)]\|_E \leq |\lambda| |\nu| \leq |\mu| \leq 1$$

and then

$$\|m(A)(\lambda[f(y_j) - f(x_i)])\| \leq 1$$

which implies

$$\|m(A)(f(y_j) - f(x_i))\|_F \leq |\nu| |\mu|^{-1} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_\alpha(f, m) - \omega_{\alpha_o}(f, m)\|_F &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N m(A_j) f(y_j) - \sum_{i=1}^k m(B_i) f(x_i) \right\|_F \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \|m(A_j)(f(y_j) - f(x_i))\|_F \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_o$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{\alpha_1}(f, m) - \omega_{\alpha_2}(f, m)\|_F &= \|\omega_{\alpha_1}(f, m) - \omega_{\alpha_o}(f, m) + \omega_{\alpha_o}(f, m) - \omega_{\alpha_2}(f, m)\|_F \\ &\leq \max\{\|\omega_{\alpha_1}(f, m) - \omega_{\alpha_o}(f, m)\|_F, \|\omega_{\alpha_o}(f, m) - \omega_{\alpha_2}(f, m)\|_F\} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the net $\{\omega_\alpha(f, m)\}$ is Cauchy in F and hence convergent, since F is complete.

Lemma 3 : Let $f : X \rightarrow E$ be a m -integrable function over $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

1. If the valuation of \mathbf{K} is dense or the valuation is discrete and $\|E\| \subset |\mathbf{K}|$, then

$$\left\| \int_A f dm \right\| \leq \|f\|_A \|m\|(A).$$

2. If the valuation of \mathbf{K} is discrete and if $\rho > 1$ is the generator of $|\mathbf{K}| \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\left\| \int_A f dm \right\| \leq \rho \|f\|_A \|m\|(A).$$

Proof. 1.) We can assume that $0 < \|f\|_A < \infty$, since otherwise, the statement is trivial. Under the denseness conditions, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ such that

$$\|f\|_A \leq \lambda < \|f\|_A + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\|\lambda^{-1}f(x)\| \leq 1, \forall x \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda|^{-1} \|\omega_\alpha(f, m)\| &= \|\omega_\alpha(\lambda^{-1}f, m)\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) \lambda^{-1} f(x_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \|m\|(A) \end{aligned}$$

for each $\alpha \in \Omega_A$. It follows that

$$\left\| \int_A f dm \right\| \leq \|f\|_A \|m\|(A).$$

- 2.) If we consider the norm $\|e\|^* = \inf \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \mathbf{K}, |\lambda| \geq \|e\|\}$ on E , then $\|E\|^* \subset |\mathbf{K}|$ and $\|\cdot\|^* \leq \rho \|\cdot\|$. Therefore, from 1.), we have

$$\left\| \int_A f dm \right\| \leq \|f\|_A^* \|m\|^*(A) \leq \rho \|f\|_A \|m\|(A).$$

Remark 4 : The previous lemma proves that if $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, then the linear operator $T_m : C_{rc}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ defined by $T_m(f) = \int f dm$ is a \mathcal{T}_u -continuous linear operator, where \mathcal{T}_u denotes the uniform convergence topology on $C_{rc}(X, E)$.

Theorem 5 : If $T : C_{rc}(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is a \mathcal{T}_u -continuous linear operator, then there exists $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ such that $T = T_m$.

Proof. For each $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} m(A) : E &\rightarrow F \\ e &\mapsto m(A)e = T(\mathcal{X}_A e) \end{aligned} .$$

Since T is bounded, with bound $M > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|m(A)e\| &= \|T(\mathcal{X}_A e)\| \\ &\leq M \|\mathcal{X}_A e\| , \\ &\leq M \|e\| \end{aligned}$$

that is, $m(A) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. We claim that the set-function

$$\begin{aligned} m : \mathcal{S}(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F) \\ A &\mapsto m(A) \end{aligned}$$

is a measure. In fact, trivially m is well-defined and finitely additive. To prove that $\{m(A) : A \in \mathcal{S}(X)\}$ is equicontinuous, take $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta = \varepsilon/M$; hence,

$$(\forall A \in \mathcal{S}(X)) (\|e\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|m(A)e\| \leq M \|e\| \leq M\delta = \varepsilon) .$$

Finally, we claim that $T = T_m$. In fact, if $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_{A_i} e_i$, then it is immediately to prove $T_m(f) = T(f)$. On the other hand, by the facts that $\langle \{\mathcal{X}_A e : e \in E, A \in \mathcal{S}(X)\} \rangle$ is \mathcal{T}_u -dense in $C_{rc}(X, E)$ (see Lemma 1) and both T_m and T are \mathcal{T}_u -continuous, we get $T_m(f) = T(f), \forall f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$.

Corollary 6 : The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : M(X, \mathcal{L}(E; F)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C_{rc}(X, E), F) \\ m &\longmapsto \Psi(m) = T_m \end{aligned}$$

is an algebraic isomorphism.

3. τ and u -additive measures.

This section will devote to study certain class of members of $M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and study the behavior of the associated F -valued continuous linear operators given in the previous section.

Definition 7 : Let $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$. We will say that

1. m is τ -additive if for each decreasing net $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in $\mathcal{S}(X)$ such that $A_\alpha \downarrow \emptyset$, we have

$$\|m\| (A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

2. m is u -additive if for each clopen partition $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of X , we have

$$\|m\| \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \right) \rightarrow 0$$

where the limit has to be taken over the directed set of all finite subsets $J \subset I$.

Proposition 8 : $M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F)) \subseteq M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$

Proof. Let $m \in M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a clopen partition of X . For any finite subset J of I , we define the decreasing net $\{A_J\}_J$, where $A_J = X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$. Now, since $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is a clopen partition of X , we have that $A_J \downarrow \emptyset$; therefore, $\|m\| (A_J) = \|m\| (X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $m \in M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$.

In the previous section we proved that if $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$ and $m \in M(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, then f is m -integrable over any $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. The next theorem will extend this result.

Theorem 9 : If $f \in C_b(X, E)$ and $m \in M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, then f is m -integrable over A , for each $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|f\| \leq 1$, and $\|m\| (X) \leq 1$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the following equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

Note that the corresponding equivalent classes $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ form a clopen partition of X . Let us choose $x_i \in A_i$ and define $g = \sum_{i \in I} \chi_{A_i} f(x_i)$. Clearly, $g \in C_b(X, E)$. For a finite subsets J of I , we denote by $B_J = X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$.

Each B_J is clopen and $B_J \downarrow \emptyset$. Since m is u -additive, we have that there exists a finite subset J_o of I such that if J is another finite subset of I with $J_o \subseteq J$, then $\|m\|(B_J) \leq \varepsilon$. For such a J , we define the following functions

$$g_J = \sum_{j \in J} \mathcal{X}_{A_j} f(x_j)$$

$$h_J = g - g_J = \sum_{i \notin J} \mathcal{X}_{A_i} f(x_i)$$

Let us consider the finite clopen partition $\{A_j : j \in J\} \cup \{B_J\}$ of X and take $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ a refinement of $\{A_j : j \in J\} \cup \{B_J\}$. If we choose $y_i \in D_i$, then $g_J(y_i) = f(x_j)$ and $h_J(y_i) = 0$, if $D_i \subseteq A_j$ for some $j \in J$, or $g_J(y_i) = 0$ and $h_J(y_i) = f(x_j)$, for some $j \notin J$, if $D_i \subset B_J$. Therefore, if we denote by $\alpha = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n; y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and $\alpha_o = \{A_1, \dots, A_m, B_J; x_1, \dots, x_m, x_J\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varpi_\alpha(h, m)\| &= \left\| \sum_{D_k \subseteq A_j} m(D_k)(h_J(y_k)) + \sum_{D_k \subseteq B_J} m(D_k)(f(x_i)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{D_k \subseteq B_J} m(D_k)(f(x_i)) \right\| \\ &\leq \max \{ \|m(D_k)(f(x_i))\| : D_k \subseteq B_J \} \\ &\leq \|m\|(B_J) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_\alpha(g_J, m) &= \sum_{D_k \subseteq A_j} m(D_k)(f(x_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} m(A_j)(f(x_j)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \varpi_\alpha(g, m) - \sum_{j \in J} m(A_j)(f(x_j)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n m(D_k)(g(y_k)) - \sum_{k=1}^n m(D_k)(g_J(y_k)) \right\| \\ &= \|\varpi_\alpha(g - g_J, m)\| \\ &= \|\varpi_\alpha(h_J, m)\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since $\|f(y_k) - f(x_i)\| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varpi_\alpha(f - g, m)\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n m(D_k)(f(y_k) - f(x_i)) \right\| \\ &\leq \max \{ \|m(D_k)(f(y_k) - f(x_i))\| ; k = 1, \dots, n \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \|m(D_k)\| \|f(y_k) - f(x_i)\| ; k = 1, \dots, n \} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \max \{ \|m(D_k)\| ; k = 1, \dots, n \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } &\left\| \varpi_\alpha(f, m) - \sum_{j \in J} m(A_j)(f(x_j)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \varpi_\alpha(f, m) - \varpi_\alpha(g, m) + \varpi_\alpha(g, m) - \sum_{j \in J} m(A_j)(f(x_j)) \right\| \\ &= \max \left\{ \left\| \varpi_\alpha(f, m) - \varpi_\alpha(g, m) \right\|, \left\| \varpi_\alpha(g, m) - \sum_{j \in J} m(A_j)(f(x_j)) \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s\}$ is another refinement of $\{A_j : j \in J\} \cup B_J$ and $\beta = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s; z_1, \dots, z_s\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\varpi_\alpha(f, m) - \varpi_\beta(f, m)\| \\ &= \|\varpi_\alpha(f, m) - \sum m(A_j)(f(x_j)) + \sum m(A_j)(f(x_j)) - \varpi_\beta(f, m)\| \\ &= \max \{ \|\varpi_\alpha(f, m) - \sum m(A_j)(f(x_j))\|, \|\sum m(A_j)(f(x_j)) - \varpi_\beta(f, m)\| \} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{\omega_\alpha(f, m)\}$ is a Cauchy net in F , which is convergent since F is Banach. This proves that f is m -integrable over A .

Remark 10 : From Prop. 8, if m is τ -additive measure and $f \in C_b(X, E)$, then f is m -integrable over A . In [6], it has been proved that $M_t(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and the space of all F -valued and β_o -continuous linear operators on $C_b(X, E)$ are algebraically isomorphic. The next theorems will show similar results for $M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and $M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$.

Theorem 11 : If $T \in \mathcal{L}(C_b(X, E), F)$, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. T is β -continuous.
2. The associated measure m is τ -additive.

Proof. 1.) \Rightarrow 2.) Let $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a net of clopen subsets of X such that $A_\alpha \downarrow \emptyset$. By the continuity of T ,

$$\mathcal{W} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|T(f)\| \leq 1\}$$

is a β -neighborhood of 0, and by the definition of β , \mathcal{W} is a β_K -neighborhood of 0, for all $K \in \Omega$. Now, since $\overline{A_\alpha}^{\beta \circ X} \downarrow Q \in \Omega$, there exists $h \in C_Q(X)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\|_h \leq 1\} \subset \mathcal{W}.$$

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $\mu \in \mathbf{K}$, with $0 < |\mu| < \varepsilon$ and define

$$G = \{x \in \beta_o X : |\widehat{h}(x)| \leq |\mu|\}.$$

Note that $Q \subset G$; hence, there exists $\alpha_o \in I$ such that $\overline{A_{\alpha_o}}^{\beta \circ X} \subset G$. Now, if $\alpha \geq \alpha_o$ and $\|e\| \leq 1$, then for any $A \subset A_\alpha$, $A \in \mathcal{S}(X)$,

$$\|\mu^{-1} \mathcal{X}_A e\|_h = \sup_{x \in A} |h(x)| |\mu|^{-1} \|e\|$$

$$\leq |\mu|^{-1} \sup_{x \in A} |h(x)|$$

$$\leq |\mu|^{-1} |\mu| = 1,$$

that is, $\mu^{-1} \mathcal{X}_A e \in \mathcal{U}$, and then $\|T(\mu^{-1} \mathcal{X}_A e)\| \leq 1$ or equivalently

$$\|m(A)e\| \leq |\mu| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, since e and A are arbitrary, we have $\|m\|(A) \leq \varepsilon$.

therefore $m \in M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$.

2.) \Rightarrow 1.) We will prove that

$$\mathcal{W} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|Tf\| \leq 1\}$$

is a β -neighborhood of 0. Let us take $d > 0$ and choose $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $|\lambda| \geq d$ and $|\gamma| \|m\|(X) \leq 1$. Let $Q \in \Omega$; hence, there exists a net $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$

in $\beta_o X$ with $B_\alpha \downarrow Q$. Now, if $A_\alpha = B_\alpha \cap X$, then $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is net of clopen subsets of X with $A_\alpha \downarrow \emptyset$. Thus, there exists α_o such that

$$\alpha \geq \alpha_o \Rightarrow \|m\| (A_\alpha) \leq |\lambda|^{-1}.$$

The clopen subset $D = X \setminus A_{\alpha_o}$ of X satisfies $\overline{D}^{\beta_o X} \cap Q = \emptyset$. We claim that the β_Q -neighborhood of 0

$$\mathcal{U} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\| \leq d \wedge \|f\|_D \leq |\gamma|\}$$

is contained in \mathcal{W} . In fact, if $f \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\| &= \|\int f dm\| \\ &= \left\| \int_D f dm + \int_{A_{\alpha_o}} f dm \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{A_{\alpha_o}} f dm \right\| &\leq \|f\| \|m\| (A_{\alpha_o}) \\ &\leq |\lambda| |\lambda|^{-1} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\int_D f dm\| &\leq \|f\|_D \|m\| (D) \\ &\leq |\gamma| \|m\| (D) \\ &\leq |\gamma| \|m\| (X) \\ &\leq |\gamma| |\gamma|^{-1} = 1 \end{aligned} ,$$

therefore $f \in \mathcal{W}$.

Theorem 12 : If $m \in M_\tau(X, L(E, F))$, then the linear operator

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_m : C_b(X, E) & \rightarrow & F \\ f & \mapsto & T_m(f) = \int f dm \end{array}$$

is β -continuous.

Proof. The same arguments used in the previous theorem proves this statement and then we omit the proof.

Theorem 13 : $M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and the space of all F -valued and β -continuous linear operators on $C_b(X, E)$ are algebraically isomorphic.

Proof. In order to prove this theorem, we need to prove that the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \quad M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\beta(C_b(X, E), F) \\ m &\mapsto \Psi(m) = T_m \end{aligned}$$

is an algebraic isomorphism, where $\mathcal{L}_\beta(C_b(X, E), F)$ denotes the space of all β -continuous linear operators.

It is easy to see that the map Ψ is linear and one to one. To prove that Ψ is onto, take $T : C_b(X, E) \rightarrow F$ and prove that $T = T_m$ for the associated measures m .

By Th. 11, $m \in M_\tau(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and $T = T_m$ on $C_b(X, E)$ follows from the β -denseness of $C_{rc}(X, E)$ in $C_b(X, E)$ and the β -continuity of both T and T_m .

Theorem 14 : If $m \in M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, then T_m is β_u -continuous.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|T_m(f)\| \leq 1\}$ and $Q \in \Omega_u$. There exists a clopen partition $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X such that $Q \cap \overline{A_i}^{\beta \circ X} = \emptyset$, $\forall i \in I$.

For any finite subset J of I , we define $B_J = X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$. Since $m \in M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, there exists a finite subset J_o of I such that for a given $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\|m\|(B_J) \leq \delta^{-1},$$

for any finite subset J of I with $J_o \subset J$. If $B = i \in J_o \cup A_i$, then B is clopen in X and $\overline{B}^{\beta \circ X} \cap Q = \emptyset$.

We claim that $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\| \leq \delta, \|f\|_B \leq 1\} \subset \mathcal{W}$. In fact, if $f \in C_b(X, E)$, then

$$\left\| \int_B f \, dm \right\| \leq \|f\|_B \|m\|(B) \leq \|f\|_B \|m\|(X) \leq 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left\| \int_{X \setminus B} f \, dm \right\| \leq \|f\| \|m\|(B_J) \leq \delta \delta^{-1} = 1$$

Thus,

$$\|T_m(f)\| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \int_B f \, dm \right|, \left| \int_{X \setminus B} f \, dm \right| \right\} \leq 1$$

Therefore, since $Q \in \Omega_u$ is arbitrary, the continuity of T_m follows.

Theorem 15 : $M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$ and the space of all F -valued and β_u -continuous linear operators on $C_b(X, E)$ are algebraically isomorphic.

Proof. As before, we only have to prove that if T is a F -valued and β_u -continuous linear operators on $C_b(X, E)$, then $T = T_m$.

Let T be given and m be the associated measure, that is, $T(f) = \int f \, dm$, $f \in C_{rc}(X, E)$. We need to prove first that m is u -additive.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a clopen partition of X and $Q = \beta_o X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{A_i}^{\beta_o X}$. Clearly, $Q \in \Omega_u$ and then T is β_Q -continuous. Thus, there exists $h \in C_Q$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|f\|_h \leq 1\} \subset \mathcal{W} = \{f \in C_b(X, E) : \|T(f)\| \leq 1\}.$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ with $0 < |\lambda| \leq \varepsilon$. The set

$$A = \left\{x \in \beta_o X : \left|\widehat{h}(x)\right| \leq |\lambda|\right\}$$

is clopen in $\beta_o X$, $Q \subset \beta_o$ and $X \setminus A \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{A_i}^{\beta_o X}$. Now, by the compactness of

$\beta_o X \setminus A$, there exists a finite subset J_o of I such that $\beta_o X \setminus A \subset \bigcup_{i \in J_o} \overline{A_i}^{\beta_o X}$.

Takes a finite subset $J \subset I$ with $J_o \subset J$, and take any clopen B of X contained in $X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$. If $e \in E$ with $\|e\| \leq 1$, then it is easy to see that

$$\lambda^{-1} \mathcal{X}_{Be} \in \mathcal{V}_1. \text{ Therefore, } \|m(B)e\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and then } \|m\| \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

This proves $m \in M_u(X, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$.

Finally, $T = T_m$ on $C_b(X, E)$ follows from the β_u -denseness of $C_{rc}(X, E)$ in $C_b(X, E)$ and the β_u -continuity of both T and T_m . \square

References

- [1] J. Aguayo and J. Sanchez, Weakly compact operators and the strict topologies, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, Vol. 39, pp. 353-359, (1989).
- [2] J. Aguayo, N. De Grande-De Kimpe, S. Navarro, Strict locally convex topologies on $BC(X, \mathbf{K})$, Proc. p-Adic Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., pp. 1 – 9, (1997).
- [3] J. Aguayo, N. De Grande-De Kimpe, S. Navarro, Strict topologies and duals in spaces of functions, Proc. p-Adic Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., pp. 1 – 10, (1999).

- [4] J. Diestel and J. Uhl, Vector Measures, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Number 15, A.M.S., (1977).
- [5] A. Katsaras; Strict topologies in non-archimedean Function Spaces. Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., Vol 1, pp. 23-33, (1984).
- [6] A. Katsaras, Integral Representation of Continuous Linear Operators on p-adic Function Spaces, Proc. p-Adic Functional Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., Vol 222, pp. 161-175, (2001).
- [7] A.C.M. Van Rooij; Non-archimedean functional analysis Marcel Dekker, (1978).
- [8] R.F.Wheeler, A survey of Baire measure y strict topologies, Expo. Math., 2, pp. 97-190, (1983).

José Aguayo

Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemática
Universidad de Concepción,
Casilla 160-C,
Concepción
Chile
e-mail : jaguayo@udec.cl

Elsa Chandía

Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemática
Universidad de Concepción,
Casilla 160-C,
Concepción
Chile
e-mail : echandia@udec.cl

and

Jacqueline Ojeda

Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemática
Universidad de Concepción,
Casilla 160-C,
Concepción
Chile
e-mail : jojeda@udec.cl