

Proyecciones
Vol. 24, N° 3, pp. 287-294, December 2005.
Universidad Católica del Norte
Antofagasta - Chile
DOI: 10.4067/S0716-09172005000300007

COUNTABLE S^* -COMPACTNESS IN L-SPACES

GUI - QIN YANG
Mudanjiang Teachers College, China

Received : September 2005. Accepted : November 2005

Abstract

In this paper, the notions of countable S^ -compactness is introduced in L -topological spaces based on the notion of S^* -compactness. An S^* -compact L -set is countably S^* -compact. If $L = [0, 1]$, then countable strong compactness implies countable S^* -compactness and countable S^* -compactness implies countable F -compactness, but each inverse is not true. The intersection of a countably S^* -compact L -set and a closed L -set is countably S^* -compact. The continuous image of a countably S^* -compact L -set is countably S^* -compact. A weakly induced L -space (X, \mathcal{T}) is countably S^* -compact if and only if $(X, [\mathcal{T}])$ is countably compact.*

Subclass : 54A40

Keywords : L -topology, β_a -open cover, Q_a -open cover, S^* -compactness, countable S^* -compactness.

1. Introduction

The concept of compactness is one of most important concepts in general topology. The concept of compactness in $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy set theory was first introduced by C.L. Chang in terms of open cover [1]. Goguen was the first to point out a deficiency in Chang's compactness theory by showing that the Tychonoff Theorem is false [5]. Since Chang's compactness has some limitations, Gantner, Steinlage and Warren introduced α -compactness [3], Lowen introduced F-compactness, strong compactness and ultra-compactness [9], Liu introduced Q-compactness [7], Li introduced strong Q-compactness [6] which is equivalent to strong F-compactness in [10], and Wang and Zhao introduced N-compactness [16, 21].

In [15], Shi introduced a new notion of fuzzy compactness in L -topological spaces, which is called S^* -compactness. Ultra-compactness implies S^* -compactness. S^* -compactness implies F-compactness. If $L = [0, 1]$, then strong compactness implies S^* -compactness.

There has been many papers about countable fuzzy compactness of L -sets (see [11, 12, 14, 18, 19, 20] etc.). They were based on the concepts of N-compactness, Chang's compactness, strong compactness and F-compactness respectively.

In this paper, based on the S^* -compactness, we shall introduce the notion of countable S^* -compactness and research its properties.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper $(L, \vee, \wedge, ')$ is a completely distributive de Morgan algebra. X is a nonempty set. L^X is the set of all L -fuzzy sets on X . The smallest element and the largest element in L^X are denoted by $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$.

An element a in L is called prime if $a \geq b \wedge c$ implies $a \geq b$ or $a \geq c$. An element a in L is called co-prime if a' is a prime element [4]. The set of nonunit prime elements in L is denoted by $P(L)$. The set of nonzero co-prime elements in L is denoted by $M(L)$. The set of nonzero co-prime elements in L^X is denoted by $M(L^X)$.

The binary relation \prec in L is defined as follows: for $a, b \in L$, $a \prec b$ if and only if for every subset $D \subseteq L$, the relation $b \leq \sup D$ always implies the existence of $d \in D$ with $a \leq d$ [2]. In a completely distributive de Morgan algebra L , each member b is a sup of $\{a \in L \mid a \prec b\}$. In the

sense of [8, 17], $\{a \in L \mid a \prec b\}$ is the greatest minimal family of b , in symbol $\beta(b)$. Moreover for $b \in L$, define $\alpha(b) = \{a \in L \mid a' \prec b'\}$ and $\alpha^*(b) = \alpha(b) \cap P(L)$.

For an L -set $A \in L^X$, $\beta(A)$ denotes the greatest minimal family of A and $\beta^*(A) = \beta(A) \cap M(L^X)$.

For $a \in L$ and $A \in L^X$, we use the following notations in [15].

$$\begin{aligned} A_{[a]} &= \{x \in X \mid A(x) \geq a\}, & A_{(a)} &= \{x \in X \mid a \in \beta(A(x))\}, \\ A^{(a)} &= \{x \in X \mid A(x) \not\leq a\}. \end{aligned}$$

An L -topological space (or L -space for short) is a pair (X, \mathcal{T}) , where \mathcal{T} is a subfamily of L^X which contains $\underline{0}, \underline{1}$ and is closed for any suprema and finite infima. \mathcal{T} is called an L -topology on X . Each member of \mathcal{T} is called an open L -set and its complement is called a closed L -set.

Definition 2.1. [[8, 17]] For a topological space (X, τ) , let $\omega_L(\tau)$ denote the family of all lower semi-continuous maps from (X, τ) to L , i.e., $\omega_L(\tau) = \{A \in L^X \mid A^{(a)} \in \tau, a \in L\}$. Then $\omega_L(\tau)$ is an L -topology on X , in this case, $(X, \omega_L(\tau))$ is called topologically generated by (X, τ) .

Definition 2.2. [[8, 17]] An L -space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called weakly induced if $\forall a \in L, \forall A \in \mathcal{T}$, it follows that $A^{(a)} \in [\mathcal{T}]$, where $[\mathcal{T}]$ denotes the topology formed by all crisp sets in \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 2.3. [[15]] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a weakly induced L -space, $a \in L, A \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $A_{(a)}$ is an open set in $[\mathcal{T}]$.

Definition 2.4. [[20]] An L -space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called countably ultra-compact if $\iota_L(\mathcal{T})$ is countably compact, where $\iota_L(\mathcal{T})$ is the topology generated by $\{A^{(a)} \mid A \in \mathcal{T}, a \in L\}$.

Definition 2.5. [[11]] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -space, $A \in L^X$. A is called countably N-compact if for every $a \in M(L)$, every countable a -R-neighborhood family of G has a finite subfamily which is an a^- -R-neighborhood family of G .

Definition 2.6. [[19]] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -space, $G \in L^X$. G is called countably strong compact if for every $a \in M(L)$, every countable a -R-neighborhood family of G has a finite subfamily which is an a -R-neighborhood family of G .

Definition 2.7. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -space, $a \in L \setminus \{0\}$ and $G \in L^X$. A family $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is called a Q_a -open cover of G if $a \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left(G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}(x)} A \right)$.

It is obvious that for $a \in M(L)$, the notion of Q_a -open cover in Definition 2.7 is the corresponding notion in [15].

Definition 2.8. [[12]] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -space, $G \in L^X$. G is called countably F -compact if for any $a \in M(L)$ and for any $b \in \beta^*(a)$, every constant a -sequence in G has a cluster point in G with height b .

Definition 2.9. [[15]] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -space, $a \in M(L)$ and $G \in L^X$. A family $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is called a β_a -open cover of G if for any $x \in X$, it follows that $a \in \beta \left(G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U} A(x)} \right)$.

When $L = [0, 1]$, \mathcal{U} is a β_a -open cover of $\underline{1}$ if and only if \mathcal{U} is an a -shading of $\underline{1}$ in the sense of [3]. \mathcal{U} is a β_a -open cover of G if and only if \mathcal{U}' is an a' -R-neighborhood family of G .

3. Countable S^* -compactness

Definition 3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -space and $G \in L^X$. Then G is called countably S^* -compact if for any $a \in M(L)$, each countable β_a -open cover of G has a finite subfamily which is a Q_a -open cover of G . (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be countably S^* -compact if $\underline{1}$ is countably S^* -compact.

Obviously we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. S^* -compactness implies countably S^* -compactness.

From Theorem 3.2, we know that an L -set with finite support is S^* -compact. Moreover in an L -space (X, \mathcal{T}) with a finite L -topology, each L -set is S^* -compact.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset L^X$, $G, H \in L^X$ and $a \in M(L)$.

(1) H is called Q_a -nonempty in G if there exists $x \in X$ such that $G(x) \wedge A(x) \not\leq a'$.

(2) H is called weak Q_a -nonempty in G if there exists $x \in X$ such that $a' \notin \alpha(G(x) \wedge A(x))$.

(3) \mathcal{A} is said to have a Q_a -nonempty intersection in G if $\bigwedge \mathcal{U}$ is Q_a -nonempty in G .

(4) \mathcal{A} is said to have a weak Q_a -nonempty intersection in G if $\bigwedge \mathcal{U}$ is weak Q_a -nonempty in G .

(5) If each finite subfamily of \mathcal{A} has Q_a -nonempty intersection in G , then \mathcal{A} is said to have finite Q_a -intersection property in G .

It is obvious that if \mathcal{A} has a Q_a -nonempty intersection in G , then it also has a weak Q_a -nonempty intersection in G .

It is easy to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. For an L -space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $G \in L^X$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) G is countably S^* -compact.
- (2) Each countable family of closed L -sets with finite Q_a -intersection property in G has weakly Q_a -nonempty intersection in G .
- (3) For each decreasing sequence $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \dots$ of closed L -sets which are Q_a -nonempty in G , $\{F_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ has a weakly Q_a -nonempty intersection in G .

Theorem 3.5. If G is countably S^* -compact and H is closed, then $G \wedge H$ is countably S^* -compact.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{U} is a countable β_a -open cover of $G \wedge H$. Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{H'\}$ is a countable β_a -open cover of G . By countable S^* -compactness of G , we know that $\mathcal{U} \cup \{H'\}$ has a finite subfamily \mathcal{V} which is a Q_a -open cover of G . Take $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \setminus \{H'\}$. Then \mathcal{W} is Q_a -open cover of $G \wedge H$. This shows that $G \wedge H$ is countably S^* -compact. \square

Theorem 3.6. If G is countably S^* -compact in (X, \mathcal{T}_1) and $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is continuous, then $f_L^\rightarrow(G)$ is countably S^* -compact in (Y, \mathcal{T}_2) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$ be a countable β_a -open cover of $f_L^\rightarrow(G)$. Then for any $y \in Y$, we have that $a \in \beta \left(f_L^\rightarrow(G)'(y) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A(y) \right)$. Hence for any $x \in X$, $a \in \beta \left(G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{U}} f_L^\leftarrow(A)(x) \right)$. This shows that $f_L^\leftarrow(\mathcal{V}) = \{f_L^\leftarrow(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a countable β_a -open cover of G . By countable S^* -compactness of G , we know that \mathcal{U} has a finite subfamily \mathcal{V} such that $f_L^\leftarrow(\mathcal{V})$ is a Q_a -open cover of G . By the following equation we can obtain that \mathcal{V} is a Q_a -open cover of $f(G)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_L^\rightarrow(G)'(y) \vee \left(\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(y) \right) &= \left(\bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} G'(x) \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(y) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \left(G'(x) \vee \left(\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} A(f(x)) \right) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \left(G'(x) \vee \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{V}} f_L^\leftarrow(A)(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f_L^\rightarrow(G)$ is countably S^* -compact. \square

Theorem 3.7. If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a weakly induced L -space, then (X, \mathcal{T}) is countably S^* -compact if and only if $(X, [\mathcal{T}])$ is countably compact.

Proof. Let $(X, [T])$ be countably compact. For $a \in M(L)$, let \mathcal{U} be a countable β_a -open cover of $\underline{1}$ in (X, T) . Then by Lemma 2.2, $\{A_{(a)} \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a countable open cover of $(X, [T])$. By countable compactness of $(X, [T])$, we know that there exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{V}_{(a)} = \{A_{(a)} \mid A \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is an open cover of $(X, [T])$. Obviously \mathcal{V} is a β_a -open cover of $\underline{1}$ in (X, T) , of course it is also a Q_a -open cover of $\underline{1}$ in (X, T) . This shows that (X, T) is countably S^* -compact.

Conversely let (X, T) be countably S^* -compact and \mathcal{W} be a countable open cover of $(X, [T])$. Then for each $a \in \beta^*(1)$, \mathcal{W} is a countable β_a -open cover of $\underline{1}$ in (X, T) . By countable S^* -compactness of (X, T) , we know that there exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{W} such that \mathcal{V} is a Q_a -open cover of $\underline{1}$ in (X, T) . Obviously \mathcal{V} is an open cover of $(X, [T])$. This shows that $(X, [T])$ is compact. \square

Corollary 3.8. Let (X, τ) be a crisp topological space. Then $(X, \omega_L(\tau))$ is countably S^* -compact if and only if (X, τ) is countably compact.

4. A comparison of different notions of countable compactness

In [13], a characterization of F-compactness was presented by means of Q_a -open cover. Analogously we can present the characterization of countable F-compactness as follows:

Lemma 4.1. Let (X, T) be an L -space, $G \in L^X$. Then G is countably F-compact if and only if for all $a \in M(L)$, for all $b \in \beta^*(a)$, each countable Q_a -open cover Φ of G has a finite subfamily \mathcal{B} such that \mathcal{B} is a Q_b -open cover of G .

Theorem 4.2. Countable S^* -compactness implies countable F-compactness.

Proof. Let (X, T) be an L -space and $G \in L^X$ be countably S^* -compact. To prove that G is countably F-compact, suppose that \mathcal{U} is a countable Q_a -open cover of G . Obviously for any $b \in \beta^*(a)$, \mathcal{U} is a countable β_b -open cover of G . By countable S^* -compactness of G we know that \mathcal{U} has a finite subfamily \mathcal{V} which is a Q_b -open cover of G . By Lemma 4.1 we know that G is countably F-compact. \square

In general, countable F-compactness needn't imply countable S^* -compactness. This can be seen from Example 6.2 in [12].

When $L = [0, 1]$, since each β_a -open cover of G is Q_a -open cover of G and \mathcal{U} is a β_a -open cover of G if and only if \mathcal{U} is an a -shading of G , we can obtain the following:

Theorem 4.3. When $L = [0, 1]$, countable strong compactness implies countable S^* -compactness, hence countable N -compactness implies countable S^* -compactness.

In general, countable S^* -compactness needn't imply countable strong compactness. This can be seen from Example 6.4 in [12].

Theorem 4.4. If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a countably ultra-compact L -space, then it is countably S^* -compact.

Proof. By countable ultra-compactness of (X, \mathcal{T}) we know that $(X, \iota(\mathcal{T}))$ is countably compact. This shows that $(X, \omega_L \circ \iota_L(\mathcal{T}))$ is countably S^* -compact from Corollary 3.8. Further from $\omega_L \circ \iota_L(\mathcal{T}) \supseteq \mathcal{T}$ we can obtain the proof. \square

References

- [1] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24, pp. 182–190, (1968).
- [2] P. Dwinger, *Characterizations of the complete homomorphic images of a completely distributive complete lattice I*, Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings) 85, pp. 403–414, (1982).
- [3] T. E. Gantner, R. C. Steinlage and R.H. Warren, *Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces*, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 62, pp. 547–562, (1978).
- [4] G. Gierz, et al., *A compendium of continuous lattices*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1980).
- [5] J. A. Goguen, *The fuzzy Tychonoff theorem*, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 43, pp. 734–742, (1973).
- [6] Z. F. Li, *Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces*, Chinese Kexue Tongbao 6, pp. 321–323, (1983).
- [7] Y. M. Liu, *Compactness and Tychonoff Theorem in fuzzy topological spaces*, Acta Mathematica Sinica 24, pp. 260–268, (1981).
- [8] Y. M. Liu, M. K. Luo, *Fuzzy topology*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1997).
- [9] R. Lowen, *Fuzzy topological spaces and fuzzy compactness*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 56, pp. 621–633, (1976).

- [10] R. Lowen, *A comparison of different compactness notions in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 64, pp. 446–454, (1978).
- [11] G. W. Meng, *Countable N -compactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics. add, pp. 234–238, (1992).
- [12] F.-G. Shi, G.-Q. Yang, *Countable fuzzy compactness in L -topological spaces*, J. Harbin Univ. Sci. & Tech. 2, pp. 499–507, (1992).
- [13] F.-G. Shi, C.-Y. Zheng, *O -convergence of fuzzy nets and its applications*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 140, pp. 499–507, (2003).
- [14] F.-G. Shi, *Countable compactness and the Lindelöf property of L -fuzzy sets*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 1, pp. 79–88, (2004).
- [15] F.-G. Shi, *A new notion of fuzzy compactness in L -topological spaces*, Information Sciences 173, pp. 35–48, (205), (2005).
- [16] G.-J. Wang, *A new fuzzy compactness defined by fuzzy nets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 94, pp. 1–23, (1983).
- [17] G.-J. Wang, *Theory of L -fuzzy topological space*, Shanxi Normal University Press, Xi'an, (1988). (in Chinese).
- [18] C. K. Wong, *Covering properties of fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 43, (1973), pp. 697–704.
- [19] L. X. Xuan, *Countable strong compactness and strong sequential compactness*, J. Nanjing Normal University 2, pp. 14–19, (1989).
- [20] L. X. Xuan, *Countable ultra-compactness and ultra-sequential compactness*, J. Mathematical Research and Exposition 9, pp. 519–520, (1989).
- [21] D. S. Zhao, *The N -compactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 128, pp. 64–70, (1987).

Yang Gui-Qin

Department of Mathematics
 Mudanjiang Teachers College
 Mudanjiang 157012
 P. R. China
 e-mail : guiqin_yang@hotmail.com